Cell of Origin for Malignant Gliomas and Its Implication in Therapeutic Development
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Malignant glioma remains incurable despite tremendous advancement in basic research and clinical practice. The identification of the cell(s) of origin should provide deep insights into leverage points for one to halt disease progression. Here we summarize recent studies that support the notion that neural stem cell (NSC), astrocyte, and oligodendrocyte precursor cell (OPC) can all serve as the cell of origin. We also lay out important considerations on technical rigor for further exploring this subject. Finally, we share perspectives on how one could apply the knowledge of cell of origin to develop effective treatment methods. Although it will be a difficult battle, victory should be within reach as long as we continue to assimilate new information and facilitate the collaboration among basic scientists, translational researchers, and clinicians.

Although neurons process and relay information in the central nervous system (CNS), glial cells provide critical support for both the wiring and functions of the neural network (Barres 2008). Because of their importance, malfunctions of glial cells lead to a plethora of diseases, one of them being glioma. Malignant gliomas remain incurable because of two unique properties of the tumor cells (Holland 2001; Maher et al. 2001; Zhu and Parada 2002). The infiltrative nature of glioma cells makes complete surgical resection impossible despite great advancement in neurosurgical techniques, whereas resistance to conventional chemotherapy and radiation spares them from eradication (Stupp et al. 2005). To make matters worse, even those initially diagnosed as low grade tend to progress into malignant glioma within five to ten years. Therefore, it is imperative to gain insights into the detailed mechanisms to develop effective methods of intervention.

Years of molecular characterization of glioma, including efforts by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), revealed prevalent genetic mutations in three well-known molecular pathways in malignant gliomas: receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling, p53 signaling, and Rb-mediated G1 checkpoint machinery (Parsons et al. 2008; TCGA 2008). Recent work also showed that mutation in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) is a
unique signature in an identifiably separate subset of gliomas (Yan et al. 2009; Verhaak et al. 2010). Based on this knowledge, great efforts have been devoted to design molecular-targeted therapies. However, drug resistance is an anticipated problem owing to adaptive responses of the dynamic cell-signaling network (Holohan et al. 2013). Therefore, it is critical to identify the Achilles heel of glioma cells for therapeutic interventions. In this review, we will discuss current progresses on the identification of the cell of origin for gliomas and how we could turn this knowledge into clinical applications.

Although there are different ways to define “cell of origin,” the most accepted definition is the cell type that is uniquely susceptible to particular oncogenic mutation(s) (Visvader 2011). Because understanding the molecular basis of the susceptibility carries great promise for the development of effective therapy, it is of utmost importance to unequivocally identify and carefully characterize potential cell(s) of origin for glioma. We emphasize “potential” because the cell of origin refers to the identity of normal cells within a given organ that have the physiologic potential to transform into gliomas. Therefore, this definition is distinct from the “cancer stem cell” hypothesis, which focuses on a putative subset of cells in the tumor mass that serve as the renewable seed of the tumor.

To study the cell of origin of glioma, it is important to first understand the normal process of glial cell development. In the mammalian CNS, neural stem cells (NSCs) are localized in the ventricular zone of embryonic brains and the subventricular zone and subgranular zone of the dentate gyrus of adult brains, and give rise to both neurons and glial cells (Fig. 1) (Doetsch et al. 1999; Gage 2000; Alvarez-Buylla et al. 2002; Gotz and Barde 2005; Ming and Song 2011). Glial cells can be subdivided into two cell types: astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, which can be distinguished by their unique marker expressions and morphologies (Lee et al. 2000; Rowitch 2004). Although cell culture experiments initially indicated a common progenitor for all glial cells (Raff et al. 1983, 1985; Wolswijk and Noble 1989; Rao and Mayer-Proschel 1997), it now appears that in normal physiology astrocytes and oligodendrocytes develop from different subset of progenitors. Although the astrocytic progenitor cells remain elusive, the oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) have been characterized in great detail (Raff et al. 1983; Barres and Raff 1994; Woodruff et al. 2001; Dawson et al. 2003; Rowitch 2004; Dimou et al. 2008; Nishiyama et al. 2009). It is important to note that, whereas most CNS progenitor cell types terminally differentiate after embryonic development, OPCs persist into adulthood and continue to divide, accounting for up to 4% of the total adult CNS cell population (Imamoto et al. 1978; Ffrench-Constant and Raff 1986; Wolswijk and Noble 1989; Reynolds and Hardy 1997; Gensert and Goldman 2001; Dawson et al. 2003; Nunes et al. 2003). In addition to NSCs and OPCs, astrocytes may also retain some limited capacity to proliferate, especially in the context of brain injuries (Ge et al. 2012; Bardehle et al. 2013). This regenerative potential makes the NSC, OPC, and astrocyte prime suspects as the cell of origin of gliomas (Fig. 1). In the next

Figure 1. Cell lineage in the brain. Neural stem cells (NSCs) give rise to neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) that can in turn differentiate into oligodendrocytes. It should be noted that there could be transient, intermediate progenitor cell types between NSCs and progeny cell types, and that OPC is a stable cell type in the adult brain. Dotted red lines depict the cell types that could serve as the cell of origin for malignant gliomas.
sections, we will summarize the data that support each cell type as the cell of origin, then conclude with important clarifications of technical and conceptual issues for these types of studies, and perspective on how the identification of cell of origin could impact research approaches and treatment strategies for malignant gliomas.

**NSCs AS THE CELLS OF ORIGIN**

The developmental potential and regenerative plasticity of NSCs make them natural candidates to serve as cells of origin for glioma. Clinically, complex cellular composition and multilineage marker staining in the tumor mass, suggestive of ongoing differentiation, also hint the tumor origin at the NSC level, although dedifferentiation of restricted progenitors for this observation cannot be ruled out. Finally, cultured tumor cells tend to express multiple NSC markers, form renewable tumor spheres, and differentiate into multiple lineages on serum induction, all of which are characteristics of NSCs. Although these clues are tantalizing, it is naturally difficult to firmly pinpoint cell of origin with endpoint studies. Therefore, direct evidence has to come from genetically engineered animal model studies, in which introduced physiologically relevant oncogenic mutations permit analysis of the earliest tumor events.

To precisely define a cell of origin for a tumor arising in vivo, it is necessary to use highly selective methods to induce gene mutations only in specific populations of cells. Introduction of mutations by retroviral injection into brain is an effective approach to target relatively few cells and in an anatomically restricted area. This allows the distinction between tumors arising from resident cells compared with the possibility of cells escaping from the proliferative niche to colonize tumor growth in distant sites. Using this approach, several recent reports identified NSC as the likely cell of origin for gliomas in mouse models and showed that cells outside of the proliferative niche failed to form gliomas with combinations of deletion in *Pten*, *Tp53*, *Nf1*, and *Rb1* (Holland et al. 2000; Alcantara Llaguno et al. 2009; Jacques et al. 2010). This approach is a rigorous test of the ability of tumors to initiate in specific regions, and clearly showed that the cells in the NSC niche are more readily transformed than differentiated cell types outside the niche (Fig. 2). Such studies serve to highlight the susceptibility and even propensity of NSCs to function as glioma cells of origin, but they do not rule out alternative cell types—in particular progenitor cells—as potential additional sources for originating gliomas.

Although viral injection is highly flexible, it does cause brain injury that could complicate the issue. The best way to get around that caveat is to use genetically engineered mouse models, which direct the expression of mutations to specific cell populations. It was found that introduction of *p53* and *NF1* mutations into NSCs led to consistent glioma formation (Zhu et al. 2005). Another study found that induced expression of *KRAS*G12D alone in embryonic radial glia was able to induce gliomas, however cooperating loss of *p53* was required for postnatal gliomagenesis, indicating that cells in a less differentiated more stem-like state are more readily transformed (Munoz et al. 2013a). Additionally, there are numerous examples of introducing mutations into nestin-expressing neural progenitor cells in the postnatal brain to generate gliomas.

**Figure 2.** Supporting evidence for NSC as the cell of origin for glioma. (A) Oncogenic mutations induced by viral vector injection into the brain parenchyma failed to lead to gliomagenesis. (B) Oncogenic mutations induced by viral vector injection near NSC niche consistently led to gliomagenesis. LV, lateral ventricle.
high-grade gliomas, convincingly demonstrating that NSCs are susceptible to gliomagenic transformation.

Although the studies above established that the introduction of mutations into NSCs is sufficient for gliomagenesis, it would be most interesting to analyze the necessity of NSC in gliomas. Using a nestin-TK-green fluorescent protein (GFP) transgene, it was found that most dividing cells in the tumor mass after chemotherapy are GFP positive (Chen et al. 2012). More importantly, ablation of nestin\(^+\) cells by ganciclovir administration significantly arrested tumor growth, implicating the critical roles of NSCs in tumor maintenance. Interestingly, NSC-ablation did not result in complete remission of gliomas in the mouse model. This observation, although potentially attributable to technical issues, also could be the consequence of emergence of tumors derived from other pools of progenitor cells (Chen et al. 2012).

**ASTROCYTE AS THE CELL OF ORIGIN**

A large proportion of astrocytes in the rodent postnatal cortex are generated through local proliferation of differentiated astrocytes, which generate increasing numbers of mature astrocytes through symmetric cell division. The frequency of these proliferating astrocytes was greatest in the first 2 weeks postnatally, but they were still detectable at postnatal day 52, the latest time point evaluated in this study (Ge et al. 2012). Recent studies also found that perivascular astrocytes in adult mouse brains can enter cell cycle on injuries (Bardehle et al. 2013). The ability of mature astrocytes to undergo extensive proliferation and generate a significant cell population, lends strong support to the hypothesis that mature astrocytes could serve as cells of origin for cancer. Of course, it will be important to determine the extent and timing of this process in the human brain.

A number of approaches have provided experimental evidence that astrocytes can serve as a cell of origin for malignant glioma. For example, overexpressing oncogenes, including \(EGFRvI\), \(PDGFR\), \(RAS\), \(MYC\), and \(AKT\) in primary astrocyte cultures isolated from \(Ink4a/\ Arf^{-/-}\ or \(Tp53^{-/-}\) mutant mice, and then implanting the cells into host mouse brain led to malignant gliomas (Bachoo et al. 2002; Endersby et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2011b; Ghazi et al. 2012; Munoz et al. 2013a,b; Paugh et al. 2013; Radke et al. 2013). In addition to in vitro modeling, a number of genetically engineered mouse models (GEMM) also imply that astrocytes can act as a cell of origin for gliomas. However, precisely defining astrocytes as a cell of origin poses a technical challenge that is complicated by the fact that many of the markers used to identify astrocytes are also expressed in neural precursor cells (Molofsky et al. 2012). For example, the use of the \(GFAP\) promoter to drive expression of Cre recombinase results in irreversible Cre-mediated recombination in neural precursor cells and all of their progeny, in addition to mature astrocytes (Fraser et al. 2004). This developmental expression resulting in broad Cre activity can be bypassed by use of a tamoxifen–inducible \(GFAP-creER\) transgene. However, these transgenes continue to be expressed in the adult NSCs of the subventricular zone of the lateral ventricle and the subgranular zone of the dentate gyrus, the two regions of ongoing neurogenesis in the postnatal rodent brain, with expression patterns varying somewhat among different transgenic founder lines of similar transgenes.

To clarify this issue, fully penetrant mouse models of high-grade astrocytoma were induced by combined deletion of the tumor suppressors \(Tp53\) and \(Pten\) with or without concomitant \(Rb\) deletion driven by tamoxifen induction of \(GFAP-CreER\) in mature mice (Chow et al. 2011). This approach drove widespread and unbiased deletion of these tumor suppressor genes in mature astrocytes from all regions of the central nervous system, as well as a small subpopulation of Gfap–expressing NSCs in the subventricular zone (SVZ) and subgranular layer. Seventy-eight percent of tumors arose within or contiguous with proliferative niches, although the number of these cells with Cre activity was dramatically less than that found in mature astrocytes, consistent with progenitor/stem cells having a greater propensity for malignant transformation. However, >20% of astrocytomas formed in nonproliferative zones including the...
cortex, brain stem, cerebellum, and spinal cord (Fig. 3). In these cases, there were no detectable other tumor foci that could have disseminated to form these distal masses, and no detectable areas of aberrant proliferation, including within the proliferative niches (Chow et al. 2011). Based on the use of GFAP-creER to induce mutations in this model, tumors may have arisen from progenitor cells that escaped from proliferative niches to populate distant sites, from locally resident progenitor cells (Laywell et al. 2000; Lee et al. 2005), or from mature astrocytes (Dufour et al. 2009; Ge et al. 2012). Clearly, GEMMs using both virally delivered Cre and mouse Cre-expressing lines have shown that gliomas can arise outside of the canonical adult neural progenitor cell niches (Hambardzumyan et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2009; Chow et al. 2011). The nature of the tumor-initiating cell has yet to be rigorously investigated in these models.

The specific mutation under investigation plays a critical role in determining which cell types are most susceptible to transformation. Targeted inactivation of the retinoblastoma (RB) family of proteins by expression of a mutated form of SV40 T antigen that dominantly inactivates RB, and related proteins p107 and p130, acts as a potent initiation event in gliomagenesis. Inducing inactivation of the RB family in GFAP-expressing astrocytes of the adult brain caused widespread hyperproliferation of astrocytes similar to histopathology of grade II gliomas, even in the absence of other cooperating mutations. Expression of activated KRAS or loss of Pten failed to initiate gliomas, but cooperated with RB family loss to cause progression to high-grade gliomas (Song et al. 2013). These experiments show that some mutations are capable of initiating gliomagenesis in mature astrocytes, although others do not rapidly induce tumors in this cell background. It is worth noting that use of Kras or SV40 oncogenes to generate glioma animal models represents an artificial departure from genes that are directly implicated by mutation in human tumors. Thus, the biological conclusions from the use of such approaches must be taken with this reservation.

Taken together, we conclude that NSCs may be more readily transformed in the experimental setting, and in other circumstances, gliomas can also arise from mature astrocytes.

**OPC AS THE CELL OF ORIGIN**

Although the renewability of NSCs makes them an obvious candidate as the cell of origin for gliomas (Sanai et al. 2005), OPCs actually outnumber NSCs in the adult brain in both rodents and human (Dawson et al. 2003; Dimou et al. 2008; Geha et al. 2010). Equally important, OPCs continue to slowly divide in adult brains, making them the major dividing cell population of the adult CNS (Imamoto et al. 1978; Dawson et al. 2003). Because of their abundance, OPCs (also called NG2 cells or polydendrocytes) are now called a fourth cell type in the adult CNS in addition to neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (Nishiyama 2007; Nishiyama et al. 2009). Considering its large population, proliferative capacity in adult CNS, and developmental plasticity (Kondo and Raff 2000; Nunes et al. 2003), OPCs should be as good a candidate as NSCs for the glioma cell of origin (Canoll and Goldman 2008).

There are at least three lines of evidence that support OPC as the cell of origin for gliomas. First, histopathological analysis of human glioma samples revealed prevalent expression of OPC-specific markers, such as NG2, Olig2, and...
O4 (Shoshan et al. 1999; Lu et al. 2001; Ligon et al. 2004; Rebetz et al. 2008). Second, the molecular signature of proneural subtype glioma based on TCGA studies matched OPC profile extensively, suggesting at least this subtype of glioma is related to OPCs (Verhaak et al. 2010). Finally, an extensive body of work with murine models convincingly showed the transforming ability of OPCs. For example, malignant gliomas were reproducibly induced when platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), the mitogen for OPCs, is overexpressed in various populations of glial and neural progenitors in neonatal brains (Uhrbom et al. 1998; Dai et al. 2001; Lindberg et al. 2009) or introduced into the brain with retroviral vectors (Assanah et al. 2006; Hambardzumyan et al. 2009; Lei et al. 2011). Importantly, actively proliferating tumor cells in these models expressed OPC markers (PDGFRα and NG2), suggesting that OPCs are the transformed cell type (Assanah et al. 2006). In addition to the PDGF overexpression models, it was reported that S100b promoter-directed expression of v-erbB, an activated variant of EGFR, could induce glioma formation in a p53 null mouse model (Weiss et al. 2003; Persson et al. 2010). The fact that S100b does not turn on its expression in NSCs strongly suggests that cells other than NSCs can function as the glioma origin. Importantly, grafting of as few as 50 tumor cells isolated with OPC-specific surface antigen NG2 consistently generated gliomas in immunocompromised mice, whereas cells isolated with NSC marker CD15 failed to do so, suggesting that OPCs are not only the origin but also the propagating population in this model (Persson et al. 2010). An independent study also showed that Olig2, a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor essential for OPC development, was functionally required for gliomagenesis in a mouse model (Ligon et al. 2007), suggesting the importance of this lineage in gliomagenesis.

Recently, a mouse model based on a genetic mosaic system called MADM (mosaic analysis with double markers) (Fig. 4A) (Zong et al. 2005; Muzumdar et al. 2007) added a new twist to our understanding of cell of origin for gliomas (Liu et al. 2011a). Because MADM generates GFP-positive mutant cells and their sibling WT cells labeled with red fluorescent protein (RFP), the aberrant expansion of each cell lineage can be examined at early stages of gliomagenesis after Tp53 and NF1 mutations were initiated in NSCs. Surprisingly, there was little expansion of NSCs, astrocytes, and neurons, suggesting that these cells were not susceptible to the loss of these two tumor suppressor genes. In stark contrast, mutant OPCs expanded over WT counterparts by more than 100-fold, a few months before malignant transformation (Fig. 4B). On tumor formation, marker staining and gene expression profiling clearly showed that OPC is the transforming cell type. Last, direct introduction of p53 and NF1 mutations into OPCs with NG2-Cre resulted in gliomas with indistinguishable signatures from those initiated from NSCs. These findings suggest that, even though the initial mutations may occur in either NSC or OPC, the latter serves as the cell of origin in this model (Liu et al. 2011a).

In summary, this set of evidence clearly shows that OPC is a cell of origin for malignant gliomas, and is susceptible to transformation by a wide range of mutations often found in human gliomas, such as p53, PTEN, v-erbB, Nf1, and Ras (Persson et al. 2010; Lei et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2011a; Barrett et al. 2012).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

From the discussion above, it is obvious that the question about which cells are the origin for glioma does not have a simple answer. It is not surprising because malignant glioma is likely a collection of diseases and, thus, may have multiple origins. Nevertheless, all three candidate cell types, NSCs, astrocytes, and OPCs, are all regenerative cell types in the brain, implying that the transformation of these cells depends on the synergy of intrinsic regenerative properties of these cells and the oncogenic mutations. Obviously, there are still many unanswered questions. For example, can molecular subtype of gliomas defined by the TCGA effort (Verhaak et al. 2010) be explained by distinct cell of origins? If that is the case, then it is puzzling why gene expression profile of proneural subtype of gliomas matches exceptionally well with OPCs, whereas profiles
of other subtypes do not match as well with NSCs or astrocytes. Furthermore, mutations in RTK signaling and p53 pathways can transform multiple cells of origin, although they have distinct developmental potential and signaling context (Liu and Zong 2012). It would be of great interest to carefully investigate the transforming process in each cell type with superb temporal and spatial resolution to tease apart common and unique features that are targetable for therapeutic purpose.

To continuously advance our understanding on this subject, we would like to point out the importance of technical rigor for future studies.

- The obvious advantage of patient sample analysis, either marker staining or gene expression profiling, is the direct relevance to human disease. However, the presence of niche cells in late-stage tumor mass and abnormal gene expression patterns of malignant cells could confound our findings. A few recent findings could help resolve this issue. First, the recent finding of IDH1 mutation in gliomas allows one to use the antibody-recognizing mutant IDH1 to reveal bona fide tumor cells. Costaining tumor sections with mutant IDH1 and cell-specific markers should help reveal their identities with little ambiguity. Second, single-cell RNA sequencing (Patel et al. 2014) is another approach to help understand not only the cell of origin but also the heterogeneity in the tumor mass.

- We raise two cautionary notes for the reliance of marker genes to claim cell identity. One problem is that many broadly used markers are not as specific as previously thought. The other is the potential misexpression of marker genes in late-stage tumor cells. These problems could be alleviated by verifying marker choices based on recent cell-type-specific gene expression profiling analysis (Cahoy et al. 2008) and by using whole transcriptomic analysis rather than a few marker genes (Verhaak et al. 2010).

- Ongoing transformative advances in genomic technology have accelerated the unraveling of molecular landscapes of tumors in-
including gliomas. This rapidly moving field must, however, continually be tempered with the biological and physiological imperatives of organ systems and how tumors naturally occur and grow within. A complementary application of these two fields will sustain the natural tension between possibility and plausibility in the search for the cause and the cure of glioma.

- Mouse genetic models are highly valuable because they allow the study of cell of origin from the initial transforming process, thus circumventing issues associated with late stage analysis. These highly relevant mouse models can also be enlisted for preclinical drug testing before clinical trials. However, we must characterize these models carefully to avoid confusion in the field. First, we must ensure that transgene expression is restricted within a specific cell type. For example, although nestin has been widely considered as an NSC marker, it was also found to express in reactive astrocytes and perinatal OPCs (Zhu et al. 2008). Second, it would be ideal to initiate gliomagenesis at clonal level during defined time window to closely mimic human physiology. Previous findings derived from transgenic mice that introduce genetic alterations to a large number of cells at early stages of brain development might need to be revisited with more advanced tools. Third, whenever we introduce mutations into NSCs or multipotent progenitor cells, we need to analyze lineage-specific expansion of progeny cell types before claiming the cell of origin, as illustrated by the MADM study that revealed transforming ability of OPCs (Liu et al. 2011a).

- Finally, we must keep in mind that progenitor populations in humans could be different from those in mice. Findings from mouse models should be carefully confirmed with human samples or primary cell culture before the attempt to translate them into clinics.

The identification of cell of origin will have a transformative impact on our research approaches and conceptual framework. Previously, we tended to compare the gene expression profiles between tumor samples and normal tissues to look for clues of signaling changes. The problem with that approach is that such comparisons could simply reveal signature genes of the cell of origin that may have no roles in the malignancy at all. For example, Olig2 levels would be significantly higher when an OPC-originated glioma sample is compared with neighboring tissue, simply because of the enrichment of OPCs in the tumor mass. Once we identify the cell of origin, we must use purified normal cell of origin for the comparison in this case so we could identify true candidate genes. Another important consideration is the conditions for in vitro culture. Investigators have started shifting from serum containing to serum-free media after realizing the drastic changes of cellular properties induced by serum (Lee et al. 2006). The current practice is to culture tumor cells in NSC media that contains epidermal growth factor (EGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF). However, this change is only suitable for NSC-originated gliomas, although authentic culture condition for astrocytes (Foo et al. 2011) and OPCs (Dugas et al. 2006) should be used for gliomas originated from these cell types because they express a distinct set of RTKs and, thus, need different growth factors in the serum-free media.

Our ultimate goal of studying the cell of origin for glioma is to discover novel therapeutic methods that could effectively treat this devastating disease (Zong et al. 2012). Because gliomas from distinct origins likely have different prognosis and unique susceptibility to treatment, the understanding of cell of origin could facilitate patient stratification and the development of tailored treatment methods. The knowledge of the cellular origin could contribute to drug development from three aspects. First, cell-type-specific membrane proteins could be used as beacons for targeted drug delivery, which should greatly reduce general toxicity to other cells in the brain. Second, the susceptibility of cell of origin to particular genetic lesions implies the existence of cell-specific signaling nodes on which oncogenic mutations take actions. Targeting the interactions between signaling nodes.
and mutations could provide the most effective treatment methods to halt gliomagenesis. Of course, a thorough understanding of signaling network in the cell of origin is a prerequisite for such efforts. Third, clear identification of the cell of origin for glioma would greatly promote the collaborations between cancer and stem cell/regenerative medicine fields. On one hand, studying augmented renewability of NSCs and progenitor cells in the tumor setting could provide insights on how to propagate these cells better for regeneration purposes. On the other hand, methods developed for regenerative biologists to coerce NSCs and progenitor cells into terminally differentiated cells could be applied to glioma treatment. Such strategy carries great potential to avoid drug resistance because it should reduce the tumor evolution process that tends to be facilitated by cytotoxic drugs.

In conclusion, our studies on the cell of origin for gliomas are still in progress rather than reaching the end. The key to our success as a field is to continuously adopt advanced technologies and incorporate new concepts into our existing strength. As long as our minds could evolve faster than those tumor cells, an effective treatment for glioma should be within our reach.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Chong Liu for critical comments and for contributing figures for the manuscript.

REFERENCES


Cell of Origin for Malignant Gliomas
H. Zong et al.


Cell of Origin for Malignant Gliomas and Its Implication in Therapeutic Development

Hui Zong, Luis F. Parada and Suzanne J. Baker

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol published online January 29, 2015

Subject Collection Glia

The Nodes of Ranvier: Molecular Assembly and Maintenance
Matthew N. Rasband and Elior Peles

Microglia in Health and Disease
Richard M. Ransohoff and Joseph El Khoury

The Astrocyte: Powerhouse and Recycling Center
Bruno Weber and L. Felipe Barros

Microglia Function in Central Nervous System Development and Plasticity
Dorothy P. Schafer and Beth Stevens

Transcriptional and Epigenetic Regulation of Oligodendrocyte Development and Myelination in the Central Nervous System
Ben Emery and Q. Richard Lu

Origin of Microglia: Current Concepts and Past Controversies
Florent Ginhoux and Marco Prinz

Glia Disease and Repair—Remyelination
Robin J.M. Franklin and Steven A. Goldman

Astrocytes in Neurodegenerative Disease
Hemali Phatnani and Tom Maniatis

Oligodendrocyte Development and Plasticity
Dwight E. Bergles and William D. Richardson

Oligodendrocytes: Myelination and Axonal Support
Mikael Simons and Klaus-Armin Nave

Drosophila Central Nervous System Glia
Marc R. Freeman

Perisynaptic Schwann Cells at the Neuromuscular Synapse: Adaptable, Multitasking Glial Cells
Chien-Ping Ko and Richard Robitaille

Astrocytes Control Synapse Formation, Function, and Elimination
Won-Suk Chung, Nicola J. Allen and Cagla Eroglu

Schwann Cell Myelination
James L. Salzer

Schwann Cells: Development and Role in Nerve Repair
Kristján R. Jessen, Rhona Mirsky and Alison C. Lloyd

Perineurial Glia
Sarah Kucenas

For additional articles in this collection, see http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/