Ubiquitin-Dependent Sorting in Endocytosis

Robert C. Piper¹, Ivan Dikic², and Gergely L. Lukacs³

¹Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242

²Buchmann Institute for Molecular Life Sciences and Institute of Biochemistry II, Goethe University School of Medicine, D-60590 Frankfurt, Germany

³Department of Physiology, McGill University, Montréal, Québec H3G 1Y6, Canada

Correspondence: robert-piper@uiowa.edu

When ubiquitin (Ub) is attached to membrane proteins on the plasma membrane, it directs them through a series of sorting steps that culminate in their delivery to the lumen of the lysosome where they undergo complete proteolysis. Ubiquitin is recognized by a series of complexes that operate at a number of vesicle transport steps. Ubiquitin serves as a sorting signal for internalization at the plasma membrane and is the major signal for incorporation into intraluminal vesicles of multivesicular late endosomes. The sorting machineries that catalyze these steps can bind Ub via a variety of Ub-binding domains. At the same time, many of these complexes are themselves ubiquitinated, thus providing a plethora of potential mechanisms to regulate their activity. Here we provide an overview of how membrane proteins are selected for ubiquitination and deubiquitination within the endocytic pathway and how that ubiquitin signal is interpreted by endocytic sorting machineries.

HOW PROTEINS ARE SELECTED FOR UBIQUITINATION

Ubiquitin (Ub) is covalently attached to substrate proteins by the concerted action of E2-conjugating enzymes and E3 ligases (Varshavsky 2012). Most of the specificity and regulation of ubiquitination is at the level of the E3 ligases, which vastly outnumber the E2-conjugating enzymes. Ubiquitination results from the transfer of Ub, held either by the E2 or in some cases by the E3 as a high-energy thioester bond, onto a substrate protein, typically on the terminal amide of a substrate acceptor lysine side chain. Owing to the intense interest in the ubiquitination system, many of the simple rules and

distinctions concerning different types of ligases, their specificity for forming particular poly-Ub chains, and even the kinds of residues in substrate proteins that can be ubiquitin modified, have been blurred with the discovery of mixed poly-Ub chains, new enzymatic mechanisms for Ub transfer, and ubiquitination of nonlysine residues (Kulathu and Komander 2012; Wenzel and Klevit 2012; McDowell and Philpott 2013). Nonetheless, in basic terms, Ub ligases function as platforms that coordinate substrate recognition with Ub transfer as well as configuring poly-Ub chain topology by the E2-conjugating enzyme. Substrates can be bound directly by the E3 ligase or by adaptor proteins that in turn bind to ligases, and selec-

R.C. Piper et al.

tion of substrate residues that undergo ubiquitination is accomplished by the particular architecture of the substrate/ligase complex that determines the orientation to the thioester-linked Ub. The ubiquitin-peptidases (deubiquitinating enzymes [DUBs]) that remove Ub from substrates also play critical roles in controlling the level of substrate protein ubiquitination. Of the roughly 90 DUBs in humans and 20 DUBs in yeast, many are found associated with Ub ligases or with the endocytic machinery that recognizes ubiquitinated proteins, thus positioning DUBs to regulate the ubiquitination process at a variety of junctures (Table 1) (Clague et al. 2012).

Ubiquitination of cell-surface membrane proteins initiates their journey to lysosomes for degradation (Fig. 1). Ub does this in part by serving as a signal for internalization and subsequent sorting into the interior of lysosomes. In general, the selection of membrane proteins for ubiquitination can either be due to a programmed biological response (such as ligand-mediated receptor down-regulation) or as a way to remove aberrantly folded or damaged proteins from the cell surface as a quality-control mechanism.

Ubiquitination of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) is one of the most studied examples for how Ub traffics proteins through the endocytic system. RTKs consist of >50 members in humans and have an essential role in controlling cell proliferation and migration (Lemmon and Schlessinger 2010). Ligand-induced activation of RTKs leads to rapid ubiquitination of several RTKs at the PM by one of the Cbl (for Cas-Br-M ectopic retroviral transforming sequence) RING (really interesting new gene)-type E3 ubiquitin ligase family members (Levkowitz et al. 1999). A canonical example is the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), which upon activation and autophosphorylation of pY¹⁰⁴⁵, can now bind the amino-terminal pY-binding domain (PTB) of Cbl. In addition, receptor ubiquitination is augmented by the adaptor protein, growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2), a constitutive partner of Cbl that binds to other pY residues of the EGFR. This facilitates multiple-mono- and Lys63-linked polyubiquitination in a manner dependent on the Ubc4-

and Ubc5-conjugating enzymes (Haglund et al. 2003; Huang et al. 2006, 2007). Other RTKs, such as those for hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR-1), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptors, also rapidly undergo ligand-stimulated ubiquitination by Cbl (Haglund and Dikic 2012). Cbl has other targets as well, such as integrins, which connect cells to the extracellular matrix to control cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation. Ubiquitination of integrins promotes their lysosomal degradation, which in turn prevents the accumulation of ligand-bound integrins within endosomes that might go on to form nonproductive adhesion sites upon exocytosis (Lobert et al. 2010). Ubiquitination of the $\alpha 5$ subunit of the $\alpha 5 \beta 1$ fibronectin integrin receptor is triggered by the activation of the FGFR2 (fibroblast growth factor receptor 2) that induces the recruitment of c-Cbl in osteoblasts (Kaabeche et al. 2005).

A group of Ub ligases with emerging importance are the membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH) ligases, encompassing a family of 11 RING-CH E3 Ub ligases (Nathan and Lehner 2009). MARCH ligases are orthologs of the Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) immunoevasion ligases K3 and K5 and contain two transmembrane segments and a cytosolic RING-CH domain (Boname and Lehner 2011). Endogenous or overexpressed MARCH ligases play a key role in the ubiquitination-dependent down-regulation and lysosomal degradation of a variety of cell-surface receptors (e.g., MHC-I; K3, K5, MARCH-9 and -4, MHC-II; MARCH-2 and -8, CD4, CD44, and CD98; MARCH-4 and -8) (Nathan and Lehner 2009). The mechanism used by these integral membrane ligases to recognize other membrane protein substrates is intriguing and appears to involve recognition of hydrophobic transmembrane as well as the proximal regions juxtaposed to these transmembrane-spanning segments. A transmembrane hydrophobic patch in concert with a membrane proximal Lys residue at the exofacial interface of HLA-DR constitutes key elements of the recognition surface for MARCH-8, whereas the Ub-acceptor Lys residue has to be located at the proximity of the

Table 1. Established and emerging proteins involved in ubiquitin-dependent control of the endocytic system

	Function and characteristics	References
Ub ligases		
Nedd4-2	Member of the larger family of HECT-type ligases that includes NEDD4-1 (ITCH/AIP4), WWP1, WWP2, SMURF1, SMURF2, NEDL1/HECW1, and NEDL2; ubiquitinates cargo such as ENaC and voltage-gated sodium channels.	Fotia et al. 2004; Zhou et al. 2007
Itch	Ubiquitinates CXCR-4 and ErbB-4; also ubiquitinates nonmembrane proteins such as endophilin and PI4-kinase type I α that regulate endocytosis.	Angers et al. 2004; Bhandari et al. 2007; Sundvall et al. 2008; Mossinger et al. 2012
Rsp5	The sole member of the Nedd4 family in yeast; responsible for ubiquitinating the vast majority of membrane proteins that are degraded within vacuole/lysosome.	Belgareh-Touze et al. 2008
Cbl	Major ligase that targets a broad range of receptor tyrosine kinases.	Lipkowitz and Weissman 2011
SCF (β -TRCP)	Ubiquitinates the growth hormone receptor, which has a motif that mimics the phosphorylated recognition motif that is found within other SCF (β -TRCP) substrates.	van Kerkhof et al. 2011; da Silva Almeida et al. 2013
CHIP	Modifies damaged conformationally defective proteins in the plasma membrane to stimulate their lysosomal degradation and also misfolded proteins in the ER to stimulate their degradation in the proteasome.	Apaja et al. 2010; Okiyonedo et al. 2010
MARCH1	Member of the family of membrane-associated RING-CH ligases; ubiquitinates MHC-II complex.	Ishido et al. 2009
MARCH2	Can target CFTR and β 2-adrenergic receptors for lysosomal degradation.	Han et al. 2012; Cheng and Guggino 2013
MARCH4	Targets MHC-I for degradation.	Ishido et al. 2009
MARCH8	Targets TRAIL-R1, transferrin receptor, type I IL-1 receptor, CD44, and CD96.	Eyster et al. 2011; Fujita et al. 2013; van de Kooij et al. 2013
IDOL	Inducible degrader of the LDLR targets members of the LDL receptor family.	Zelcer et al. 2009
MAGE-L2-TRIM27	Targets the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein member WASH to regulate activity of retromer complex.	Hao et al. 2013
RNF167	Localized to endosomes and modifies the SNARE Vamp3, thus altering its sorting.	Yamazaki et al. 2013
EEA1	Early endosomal tethering factor that mediates fusion and binds to E2-conjugating enzymes, which allows it to ubiquitinate itself and alter its function.	Ramanathan et al. 2013
HOPS	Late endosomal tethering factor that mediates fusion. In vivo targets of its ligase activity are unknown.	Yogosawa et al. 2006
Rabex-5	Exchange factor for Rab5; binds Ub and has activity as a Ub ligase, which can be used to catalyze autoubiquitination. GEF activity may be regulated by ubiquitination and Rabex-5 may recognize ubiquitinated proteins to alter their trafficking.	Mattera et al. 2006; Raiborg et al. 2006; Mattera and Bonifacino 2008
Ub peptidases		
Doa4	Localized to yeast endosomes via direct and indirect interactions with ESCRT-III; required for releasing Ub from cargo before Ub is sorted and degraded in lysosome/vacuoles.	Richter et al. 2007, 2013
USP8/UBPY	Similar in structure to yeast Doa4; associates with both ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-III and plays complementary roles in sorting of ubiquitinated cargoes into MVBs.	Wright et al. 2011

Continued

R.C. Piper et al.

Table 1. *Continued*

	Function and characteristics	References
AMSH	Specific for K63 polyubiquitin chains. AMSH also associates with both ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-III to provide multiple functions during MVB sorting.	Clague et al. 2012
USP20	Together with USP33, these DUBs associate with β 2-adrenergic receptors to promote their deubiquitination and recycling.	Berthouze et al. 2009
USP2	Can be alternatively spliced to generate proteins with different functions; can inhibit down-regulation of ENaC and EGFR.	Oberfeld et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013
Ub-binding proteins		
ESCRT-0	Comprised of Hrs and STAM in animal cells or Vps27 and Hse1 in yeast cells; contains multiple Ub-binding domains and localizes with clathrin and PtdIns-3P on endosomes to function as a Ub-sorting receptor.	Komada and Kitamura 2005
Bro1	Yeast homolog of HD-PTP and ALIX; contributes to the recruitment of the DUB Doa4 to endosomes via its association with ESCRT-III; also, may work as an alternate ESCRT-0-like Ub-sorting receptor.	Richter et al. 2007, 2013; Pashkova et al. 2013
HD-PTP	Functions in concert with ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-I for MVB sorting. Its Ub binding suggests it functions as a Ub-sorting receptor.	Doyotte et al. 2008; Ali et al. 2013; Pashkova et al. 2013
ALIX	Plays multiple roles in MVB biogenesis, viral budding, cytokinesis, and exosome formation; recognizes ubiquitinated viral gag proteins to promote viral budding; may use its Ub-binding properties for alternate functions as well.	Dowlathshahi et al. 2012; Sette et al. 2013
ESCRT-I	Heterotetramer that bridges associations with ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-II; has multiple cellular functions, many shared with Alix. Several alternative subunits can be combined to make specialized ESCRT-I complexes that allow it to provide specific functions.	Morita 2012
ESCRT-II	Tetrameric complex that binds PtdIns-3P and Ub via the amino terminus of Vps36/Eap45; bridges association of ESCRT-I with ESCRT-III during MVB biogenesis.	Gill et al. 2007; Henne et al. 2012
GGA	Shares domain organization and similar biochemical interactions with ESCRT-0 components; binds Ub via VHS and GAT domains; involved as a Ub-sorting receptor at endosomes and the TGN.	Puertollano and Bonifacino 2004; Scott et al. 2004; Ren and Hurley 2010
Tom1/Tollip	Endosomal complex with multiple Ub-binding domains housed in VHS, C2, and UIMs.	Brissoni et al. 2006; Blanc et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2012
VPS9	Exchange factor for yeast Rab5/Vps21; binds Ub via CUE domain, which is required for efficient endocytosis to the vacuole.	Davies et al. 2003; Donaldson et al. 2003
Epsin	ENTH domain family protein involved in internalization from the cell surface in animal and yeast cells; associates with ubiquitinated cargo and may be a major Ub-sorting receptor for clathrin-mediated endocytosis.	Sen et al. 2012
Eps15	Adaptor for internalization from the plasma membrane; may serve as a Ub-sorting receptor for endocytosis; also undergoes ubiquitination itself, which might regulate its function.	de Melker et al. 2004; Stang et al. 2004; Sigismund et al. 2005

EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; MVBs, multivesicular bodies; TGN, *trans*-Golgi network.

Figure 1. The prominent Ub-ligation complexes that work on cell-surface proteins are pictured here. Cullin-Ring ligases, such as the SCF β -TRCP complex, can modify a subset of receptors (such as the growth hormone receptor) at the cell surface. The Cbl family of ligases has a prominent role in binding to tyrosine phosphorylated receptor tyrosine kinases and ubiquitinating them to induce their ligand-stimulated down-regulation. A wide variety of cell-surface proteins are ubiquitinated by members of the Nedd4 family of ligases that possess a HECT Ub-ligase domain. These ligases can bind directly to substrate proteins or work through an intermediary adaptor protein. MARCH ligases are found in multiple domains of the secretory/endocytic system and can modify a wide variety of membrane proteins. Unfolded and damaged membrane proteins can be recognized by some of the same machinery that recognizes damaged proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Pictured here is the CHIP ligase, which functions in a complex with Hsp70 and Hsc70 chaperones.

membrane–cytosol interface (Jahnke et al. 2012; Kajikawa et al. 2012).

Another major class of E3 ligases is the neural precursor-cell-expressed, developmentally down-regulated 4 (Nedd4) family, which contains a catalytic HECT (homologous E6-AP carboxy-terminal) domain (Rotin and Kumar 2009). The Nedd4 family includes yeast Rsp5 and nine human members (e.g., WWP1/2, Smurf1/2, Nedd4, Nedd4-2, and AIP4/Itch) each containing an amino-terminal C2 domain, 2–4 WW domains, and a carboxy-terminal catalytic HECT domain. The WW domains bind PPXY consensus sequences found in substrate proteins. PPXY-containing proteins that undergo this direct recognition mechanism include the heterotrimeric epithelial sodium channel (ENaC), which is down-regulated by Nedd4-2 and mutations within the PPXY motifs stabilize ENaC at the cell surface to cause hypertensive Liddle's syndrome (Snyder 2009). A similar regulation was recently documented for the PPXY-containing spliced isoform of the ErbB-4 (Car-

rawy 2010). Some WW-binding motifs of substrate proteins are activated by phosphorylation; for instance, phosphorylation of the CXCR-4 carboxy-terminal tail recruits the AIP4/Itch ligase and phosphorylation of the Ste2 GPCR in yeast is required for Rsp5-mediated ubiquitination (Hicke et al. 1998; Marchese et al. 2003; Vina-Vilaseca and Sorkin 2010).

Nedd4 family ligases can also use an indirect mechanism to recognize substrates through the use of adaptor proteins that bind substrates and have their own PPXY motifs allowing them to attach to the ligase WW domains. So far, the biggest collection of adaptors identified is in yeast, which uses an indirect recognition method for targeting Rsp5 to most of its cell-surface membrane proteins. These adaptors include Bsd2 and Sna3, which can mediate Rsp5-catalyzed ubiquitination of manganese and methionine transporters, respectively (Hettema et al. 2004; MacDonald et al. 2012b). A similar set of integral membrane protein Nedd4-family adaptors is found in mammalian cells. Ndfip1/

R.C. Piper et al.

N4WBP5 and Ndfip2/N4WBP5A also contain PPXY motifs and bind to the WW domains of the WWP2 E3 ligase, illustrating the evolutionary conservation of aspects of substrate recognition. This interaction is required for ubiquitination/degradation of the PM metal transporter DMT1/NRAMP2, which plays a critical role in iron homeostasis (Foot et al. 2011). As integral membrane proteins, these adaptors conceivably recognize intermembrane domains analogous to what is proposed for MARCH ligases, although the exact mechanism for how they do this and whether that is sensitive to transporter activity remains to be clarified.

Adaptors also include a larger family of soluble proteins that include Bul1, Bul2, and 11 so-called ART proteins (arrestin-related trafficking) in yeast (O'Donnell 2012). These soluble adaptors, including Bul1/2, belong to the family of α -arrestins. These are related to the better-studied β -arrestin family that has well recognized multiple roles in receptor down-regulation, except that they are equipped with PPXY motifs allowing them to interact with Nedd4-family ligases. Mammalian α -arrestins consist of six arrestin domain-containing adaptors and include ARRDC1-5 and TXNIP. Each Art Rsp5 adaptor is thought to have a repertoire of plasma membrane protein cargo that overlaps to various degrees with that of other Art adaptors. For instance, Bul1/2 has a critical role in ubiquitinating and sorting the Gap1 transporter to the vacuole, whereas Art1 plays a critical role in trafficking the Mup1 transporter (Helliwell et al. 2001; Soetens et al. 2001; Lin et al. 2008). In mammalian cells, ARRDC3 controls ubiquitination and down-regulation of β 2 and β 3 adrenergic receptors as well as the β 4 integrin (Draheim et al. 2010; Nabhan et al. 2010; Patwari et al. 2011; Shea et al. 2012). Recent studies have shown that some α -arrestin proteins are regulated by phosphorylation, in particular kinases downstream from Tor1, thus allowing cell global regulation of plasma membrane protein levels in response to nutrient status (MacGurn et al. 2011; Merhi and Andre 2012). As a consequence of directly binding Nedd4 Ub ligases, all of the α -arrestin-family proteins also undergo ubiquitination. Interestingly, mutants of Art1 or

Art4 lacking their major ubiquitinatable lysine target residues function poorly as adaptors for their Can1 and Jen1 transporter substrates suggesting that ubiquitination activates the α -arrestins to bind better to substrate proteins or to the endocytic sorting machinery (Lin et al. 2008; Becuwe et al. 2012).

SELECTION OF DAMAGED PM PROTEINS FOR UBIQUITINATION

Cells also use Ub-dependent endosomal sorting to rid themselves of damaged plasma membrane proteins. To do this, cells must use ligases that can recognize “degrons” indicative of partially unfolded proteins. In yeast cells, damaged membrane proteins—at least those that can escape the endoplasmic reticulum quality-control degradative process (ERAD), are largely targeted by Rsp5, the Nedd4-family ligase that is also responsible for the down-regulation of properly folded transporters and receptors in response to specific biological stimuli. Particular examples include mutant alleles of the Pma1 ATPase, the GPCR Ste2, the cell wall sensor Wsc1, and the arginine permease Can1 (Li et al. 1999; Pizzirusso and Chang 2004; Wang et al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2013). Exactly how Rsp5 recognizes damaged proteins is not yet known, but likely involves the same broad set of adaptor proteins that Rsp5 uses to recognize other substrates. One interesting possibility is that properly folded proteins are induced to form a similar unfolded “degron”-like motif as a trigger for their ubiquitination and down-regulation in response to particular stimuli. For instance, transporter activity itself induces conformational changes that expose arrestin/Rsp5-binding sites and these might mimic small disordered protein segments thought to be exposed upon damaging (Cain and Kaiser 2011; Merhi and Andre 2012; Keener and Babst 2013). Once ubiquitinated, these proteins follow an endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT)-dependent trafficking route into multivesicular bodies and onto lysosomes for degradation.

Damaged plasma membrane proteins in animal cells also are trafficked to lysosomes for degradation, but how they are recognized for

ubiquitination is somewhat different. Here, many of the components that recognize unfolded membrane proteins at the endoplasmic reticulum also operate at the cell surface. A proteomic analysis isolated components of the ubiquitination machinery in association with the temperature-sensitive form of CD4- λ as a reporter protein. When the reporter was triggered to unfold, it recruited heat shock protein 70 (Hsc70), Hsp90, and CHIP (carboxy-terminal Hsp70 interacting protein) (Apaja et al. 2010). CHIP is an E3 ligase that functions in ER and cytosolic protein quality control (Cyr et al. 2002). CHIP consists of an amino-terminal tetratricopeptide (TPR) domain that binds to Hsc70, Hsp70, and Hsp90 molecular chaperones, a central helical domain mediating CHIP dimerization and a carboxy-terminal U-box domain responsible for binding E2-Ub-conjugating enzyme (Zhang et al. 2005). A similar mechanism appears to be involved in the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the unfolded mutant (Δ F508) CFTR with exposed misfolded cytosolic domains. Both Hsc70 and Hsp90, together with a subset of cochaperones (DNAJA1 [Hdj2], DNAJB2 [HSJ1], Aha1, and HOP) contribute to efficient CHIP recruitment, ubiquitination, and ESCRT-dependent lysosomal targeting of the mutant CFTR (Okuyoneda et al. 2010). Besides CHIP, other Ub ligases can recognize non-native polypeptides via chaperone interactions. These include Ubr1/2, UBE3A, and Cul5; however, their contribution to plasma membrane quality control has not been addressed (Okuyoneda et al. 2011). There are also precedents for chaperone-independent recognition of non-native client protein as exemplified by CHIP, Hrd1, and San1 activity in the cytoplasm, ER, and nucleus, respectively (Rosser et al. 2007; Kanehara et al. 2010; Rosenbaum et al. 2011). Substrate recognition by San1 is catalyzed by intrinsically disordered amino- and carboxy-terminal domains with embedded conserved recognition motifs (Rosenbaum et al. 2011). It is conceivable that multiple ligases are involved in plasma membrane quality control, each with an overlapping set of possible substrates. This possibility is supported by the finding that loss of CHIP partially inhibits lysosomal trafficking of unfolded

Ubiquitin-Dependent Sorting in Endocytosis

CFTR, DRD4, and V2R, whereas depletion of other Ub ligases (e.g., Hrd1 and Gp78) attenuate the Δ F508-CFTR removal from the cell surface (Apaja et al. 2010; Okuyoneda et al. 2010).

BASICS OF Ub-BINDING DOMAINS

Distinct Ub signals are recognized and decoded by Ub-binding domains (UBDs) present in a wide variety of cellular proteins (Ub receptors) that are able to link these signals to specific cellular responses (e.g., endocytosis, degradation, or translocation of the modified protein). Ub operates in the endocytic pathway in two main ways: as a sorting signal on cargo being recognized by UBD-containing sorting receptors, or by modifying the endocytic machinery. To date, around 20 families of UBDs present in around 300 proteins have been described, although the repertoire of proteins with Ub-binding activity is still expanding (Rahighi et al. 2009; Husnjak and Dikic 2012). Most of these UBDs bind to an exposed hydrophobic patch of the Ub molecule surrounding I44 with fairly weak micromolar affinity (Fig. 2). In addition, Ub exists in different conformations that become apparent when comparing the structures of various UBD:Ub complexes (Lange et al. 2008). Thus Ub can dynamically adopt several conformations that can subsequently be captured by UBDs by a variable combination of conformational selection and induced fit (Wlodarski and Zagrovic 2009; Long and Bruschweiler 2011; Peters and de Groot 2012). The weak binding of isolated UBDs forces the cell to apply a number of supportive mechanisms that increase the strength of binding and ensure specific signaling. These include increasing avidity by forming oligomeric complexes that contain multiple UBDs, forming chains of poly-Ub exposing several UBD-binding surfaces, and crowding together binding partners where movement is restricted. Importantly, recognition of Ub in the endocytic pathway mostly occurs on membranes where proteins diffuse in only two dimensions rather than in three. Moreover, Ub receptors can have additional motifs for weakly binding their ubiquitinated partner proteins, which not only increases avidity but also adds to the specificity of

R.C. Piper et al.

Figure 2. Ub presents a hydrophobic interaction surface, pictured in green, which is responsible for binding to the vast majority of Ub-binding proteins. (A) Scheme of the types of Ub topologies that can be added to substrate proteins. Mono-Ub is a single Ub attached directly to the substrate. Multiple attached Ubs in a chain can be linked via K63 or by the amino terminus to form a linear chain. These chains have an “open” configuration in which the hydrophobic interface of each Ub moiety is highly exposed. Poly-Ub chains linked through K48 adopt a more closed conformation that makes the hydrophobic interface less accessible. Other linkages position this interaction interface in more varied orientations making it more suitable for binding particular subsets of UBD-containing proteins. (B) Structure of Ub (PDBID:1UBQ) with the hydrophobic interaction surface highlighted in green. (C) Examples of three Ub-interaction modules in a complex with Ub. VHS domain: PDBID:3LDZ; UIM: PDBID:1Q0W; GAT: PDBID:1WR6.

target selection. This mechanism can also provide the capacity for “coincidence” detection whereby these binding motifs are only active when Ub binding is instigated.

There are a wide variety of Ub modifications (mono-, multi-, polyubiquitination of various linkage types), and an important aspect of Ub signaling concerns how the cell distinguishes different topologies. These distinctions are not only relevant for the function of UBD-containing endocytic proteins but also particular DUBs that hydrolyze specific chain types. In isolation and in solution, most known UBDs interact with mono-Ub and do not show a significant preference for a certain chain type (Husnjak and Dikic 2012). Although this preference may be owing to the bias that most of the currently known UBDs were identified and characterized by their ability to bind mono-Ub. A subset of UBDs is able to specifically recognize a particular poly-Ub topology and this is typically

achieved by simultaneously binding to two neighboring Ub within the chain that shows a linkage-specific conformation. For example, the UBAN domain of NEMO and the NZF domain of HOIL-1L specifically recognize linear (Met1-linked) Ub chains by making contacts to a surface on one Ub moiety and the canonical hydrophobic surface on the other Ub (Rahighi et al. 2009; Sato et al. 2011). The NZF domains of TAB2 and TAB3 also simultaneously interact with two neighboring Ub moieties, but can do so only when linked via a K63 linkage (Kulathu et al. 2009). Likewise, the K63-specific DUB AMSH, which operates on ubiquitinated endosomal cargo, simultaneously binds two linked Ub moieties to properly position the isopeptide bond for cleavage on its active site (Sato et al. 2008). These examples aside, many UBDs still show some chain preference with many disfavoring binding to K48-linked poly-Ub even though they bind a single molecule of Ub (Ra-

highi et al. 2009). Structural studies reveal that K48-linked chains adopt a compact conformation that occludes the I44 hydrophobic region. In contrast, K63-linked chains are more extended and the hydrophobic patch is fully exposed. Poly-Ub chains also show a high level of “quaternary” dynamics allowing a variety of dramatically different conformations in solution. Constraining these conformations can directly alter the ability of particular UBDs and DUBs to recognize these chains thus providing additional physical parameters that might regulate Ub recognition in vivo (Ye et al. 2012).

For the most part, mono-Ub and K63-linked chains predominate in the endocytic pathway as sorting signals when attached to cargo proteins, although other linkages such as K11, K29, and K48 may be operational as well (Shields and Piper 2011). In experimental settings, namely, where Ub is permanently attached cargo, a single Ub is sufficient for delivery to lysosomes (Shih et al. 2000; Raiborg et al. 2002; Stringer and Piper 2011). However, as an internalization signal, a single Ub is exceptionally weak and Ub is likely operational only in chains (Barriere et al. 2006; Hawryluk et al. 2006; Bertelsen et al. 2011). For multivesicular body (MVB) sorting, multiple Ubs are the favored signal over mono-Ub (Huang et al. 2006, 2013). In addition, chain formation and disassembly by DUBs are continuously occurring during transport of Ub cargo along the endocytic pathway. Making chains might play a dual role of not only offering better binding to UBD-containing sorting receptors, but serving as a buffer against DUBs encountered along the way that might erode Ub signals before they can engage endosomal sorting machinery (Clague et al. 2012). Similarly, the endocytic machinery, particularly that which contains UBDs, can be ubiquitinated and are predominantly modified by mono-Ub or short K63-linked chains. Mono-Ub is sufficient to induce conformational changes within UBD-containing proteins rendering them unable to recognize and sort ubiquitinated cargo (Hoeller et al. 2006).

In addition to Ub, some UBDs also bind Nedd8, which is 57% identical to Ub; this offers the possibility that this Ub-like protein might

partially substitute for Ub in the endocytic pathway (den Besten et al. 2012). Indeed, there is increasing evidence that Nedd8 plays a role in the endocytosis of EGF and TGF- β receptors (Oved et al. 2006; Zuo et al. 2013). In both cases, neddylation is mediated by the Ub E3-ligase c-Cbl. Neddylation of the EGFR seems to serve the same purpose as its ubiquitination: lysosomal sorting and degradation of EGFR. In contrast, neddylation of T β R II inhibits ubiquitination and degradation by promoting its internalization via EEA1-positive early endosomes rather than the caveolar pathway. It still needs to be clarified to which extent neddylation of transmembrane receptors takes place under endogenous conditions; however, these observations hint at a larger cross talk between Ub and other Ub-like systems.

HOW UBIQUITIN MEDIATES INTERNALIZATION FROM THE PLASMA MEMBRANE

Ub can serve as an internalization signal from the plasma membrane. For most proteins, Ub serves as a sorting signal into clathrin-dependent internalization pathways. When compared with other internalization signals, such as YxxL or DxxxLL motifs that bind the AP-2 clathrin adaptor complex, a single Ub molecule is a paltry internalization signal. Engineered Ub-fusion reporter proteins show that multiple Ubs are required to act as an efficient internalization signal on their own (Barriere et al. 2006, 2007; Hawryluk et al. 2006; Bertelsen et al. 2011). Multiple monoubiquitinations as well as polyubiquitin chains are likely to constitute an efficient internalization signal. The major poly-Ub chain that operates at the internalization step appears to be K63 linked, although other linkages have been implicated as well (Boname and Lehner 2011; Zhang et al. 2013). Ub can also function in the context of other weaker internalization signals such that the aggregate effect of multiple Ub and non-Ub signals become sufficient for incorporation into clathrin-coated vesicles (Kumar et al. 2007). Still for other proteins that undergo ubiquitination and internalization from the plasma membrane, Ub itself

R.C. Piper et al.

may not be the operative internalization signal, but instead plays a role later in the endocytic pathway for other trafficking steps (Shenoy et al. 2001; Huang et al. 2006, 2007).

The two best candidates for endocytic adaptor proteins that can link Ub cargoes to the clathrin-mediated endocytic machinery are Epsin and Eps15, which interact with each other and contain UIM domains (Table 1). Epsin favors binding to K63-polyubiquitin, which correlates well with the requirement of multiple Ubs as an internalization signal (Hawryluk et al. 2006; Sato et al. 2009). Other UBDs are present at sites of CME including ones in CIN85 and Hrs, and others are likely to be uncovered (Soubeyran et al. 2002; Stamenova et al. 2007; Mayers et al. 2013). Because relatively few proteins have been assessed for Ub-dependent internalization, it is possible that these additional UBD-containing proteins operate as adaptors for different subsets of ubiquitinated proteins. Depletion of Epsin or Eps15 inhibits internalization of Ub-fusion chimeric reporters showing their overall importance in the process of Ub-dependent internalization (Hawryluk et al. 2006; Sato et al. 2009). Altering Epsin levels can perturb the dynamics of clathrin-coated vesicle assembly and this activity is curtailed when Epsin lacks its UIM domains (Barriere et al. 2006). The biochemical properties of Epsin and its spatiotemporal characteristics during clathrin-coated vesicle assembly qualify it as the main Ub-receptor candidate for CME internalization. Yet, much of the analysis specifically focused on the Ub-binding property of Epsin has been in the context of overexpression experiments that find a loss in the ability of Epsin lacking its UIMs to affect aspects of CME (Sugiyama et al. 2005). A more complicated picture emerges from experiments in yeast, in which several endocytic adaptors can be substituted with versions lacking their UBDs. Here, internalization of ubiquitinated proteins is blocked as well as internalization of proteins bearing alternate internalization signals suggesting the ability to bind Ub serves purposes beyond capturing ubiquitinated cargo (Dores et al. 2010). Interestingly, experiments in *Caenorhabditis elegans* have shown the Epsin UIMs to be important for

Ub-independent endocytosis of LDLR (Kang et al. 2013). One possibility is that Ub cargoes are not simply passive passengers but that their Ub moiety helps properly assemble and orchestrate the clathrin-coated vesicle formation through interaction with UBDs of the associated machinery. This possibility is supported by experiments showing that the presence of Ub on cargo affects the dynamics of clathrin-coated vesicle (CCV) assembly (Henry et al. 2012). This view is also consistent with experiments on the endocytic Ub-sorting ESCRT apparatus that relies on the presence of ubiquitinated cargo to productively assemble on the surface of endosomes (MacDonald et al. 2012a). Yet another view is that ubiquitination of the internalization machinery might drive a powerful regulatory mechanism that involves formation of multiple intra- and intermolecular Ub-UBD interactions. The outcome would be that their ubiquitination inhibits their capacity to bind to and control the functions of other ubiquitinated targets. Both Epsin and Eps15 undergo ubiquitination in cells via mechanisms that rely on their ability to bind to Ub-associated with Ub-ligation machinery (Polo et al. 2002; Woelk et al. 2006). Experimental demonstration that ubiquitination could have consequences on the function of clathrin vesicle machinery has been obtained via overexpression experiments and chimeric Ub-fusion proteins (Fallon et al. 2006; Hoeller et al. 2006). These experiments provide an important conceptual possibility, yet the precise and likely intricate mechanisms that may regulate the physiological functions of clathrin vesicle machinery via its ubiquitination remain unresolved.

SORTING OF UBIQUITINATED MEMBRANE PROTEINS INTO MULTIVESICULAR ENDOSOMES

Once Ub cargo is delivered to early endosomes it can undergo sorting into intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) or remain on the limiting membrane of endosomes to later be recycled to the plasma membrane or *trans*-Golgi network (TGN) (Huotari and Helenius 2011). For most proteins, incorporation into intraluminal vesicles

seals their degradative fate because this sorting step is generally irreversible. ILV formation continues until the late endosome stage, whereupon fusion to lysosomes allows the ILVs and their protein cargo to be delivered to the proteolytic lumen of the lysosome thus affording complete degradation of integral membrane protein cargo (Fig. 3). The intraluminal vesicles that populate late endosomes make them synonymous with multivesicular endosomes or multivesicular bodies (MVBs); however, not all ILVs contain proteins that are sorted from endosomal membranes and destined for lysosomal degradation. For instance, at least some of these intraluminal membranes serve as storage compartments as exosomes, lamellar bodies, or MHC-II complexes (Babst 2011; Raposo and Stoorvogel 2013). How Ub might be used to target proteins to this alternative ILV compartments is not yet

clear and may be independent of Ub as has been shown for the sorting of MHC-II in activated antigen-presenting cells. In addition, the main sorting apparatus for sorting Ub cargo, the ESCRT apparatus, is not critically required for exosome formation or the generation of other classes of ILVs. The machinery responsible for the generation of these alternate ILVs will be interesting to elucidate, especially given the recent recognition of their profound regulatory activity given their capacity to deliver proteins and miRNA to distant cells. Such alternative Ub-independent MVB pathways appear absent from yeast, and the combination of structure/function studies in both yeast and animal cells provide a solid yet still evolving biochemical understanding of the conserved MVB sorting pathway responsible for lysosomal degradation of ubiquitinated membrane proteins.

Figure 3. Cohort of proteins involved in recognition and processing of ubiquitinated membrane proteins at the cell surface and at endosomes. At the cell surface, proteins such as Epsin and Eps15 recognize ubiquitinated proteins and recruit them into clathrin-coated vesicles. Other Ub-binding proteins such as CIN85 and Hrs may have a similar role in helping usher ubiquitinated cargo into vesicles. Once ubiquitinated cargo reaches the endosomes, it is recognized by the ESCRT apparatus, composed of ESCRT-0, -I, -II, and -III. The Hrs subunit of ESCRT-0 has multiple Ub-binding domains making it a critical Ub-sorting receptor, which might pass Ub cargo to other ESCRTs that also bear Ub-binding domains. Other endosomal proteins may serve as alternative ESCRT-0-like receptors that deliver ubiquitinated cargo into the larger ESCRT apparatus. These “Alt 0” proteins include GGAs, TOM1, and the Bro1-family of proteins that includes Bro1, Alix, and HD-PTP.

R.C. Piper et al.

Many of the proteins required for the biogenesis of ILVs and Ub-dependent sorting were first identified in yeast genetic screens (Coonrod and Stevens 2010). Loss of these proteins, often referred to as class E Vps proteins, cause defects in MVB formation, sorting of Ub cargo into the lysosome (vacuole) lumen, and cause a cohort of other phenotypes including enlarged late endosomes that accumulated recycling Golgi proteins and vacuolar membrane proteins. Collectively, these proteins define the ESCRT apparatus (endosomal sorting complex required for transport) comprised of discrete complexes (ESCRTs -0, -I, -II, and -III) as well as accessory factors that regulate ESCRT function. The ESCRT apparatus couples cargo recognition and sorting with the formation and scission of ILVs (Hurley and Hanson 2010). ILV formation is distinct from other vesicle formation events such as those formed by COP-I or clathrin because vesicle formation proceeds by pushing membranes out of the cytosol rather than pulling vesicle buds into it. In addition, ESCRT proteins are not stoichiometric components of the finished vesicle and have a robust mechanism(s) to ensure that they are not consumed in the vesicle-making process, although how this is achieved remains unclear currently. Finally, ILVs must have lipid composition that is functionally distinct from that of the limiting membrane, which allows the lysosomal hydrolases to selectively destroy ILV but not the limiting membrane. A wealth of structural studies, combined with liposome-based reconstitution of key events in vitro has suggested a concerted mechanism whereby lipids undergo sorting and phase separation into a microdomain constrained by an interconnected ESCRT apparatus clustered at the neck of forming ILVs (Hurley and Hanson 2010). A spiral polymer of ESCRT-III subunits forms at the bud neck to allow ILVs to pinch off into the lumen. This same membrane constriction activity of ESCRT-III that is harnessed for ILV formation on endosomes is also used for budding of retroviruses from the plasma membrane and membrane scission during cytokinesis in animal cells and some Archaea (Hanson et al. 2008; Lata et al. 2008; Lindas et al. 2008; Samson et al. 2008;

Wollert et al. 2009; Henne et al. 2012, 2013). Before ILV scission, Ub can be removed from cargo to help replenish the cytosolic pool of Ub. This process has been best observed in yeast, whereby the Doa4 deubiquitinating enzyme is recruited to ESCRT-III via the accessory factor Bro1 (Luhtala and Odorizzi 2004). Loss of Doa4 causes Ub to accumulate in the vacuole lumen and be depleted from the cytosol, which in turn impacts a number of Ub-dependent processes (Amerik et al. 2000). Interestingly, the activity of Doa4 appears to be regulated in response to Ub levels indicating that disposal of Ub via the MVB pathway may be used as a regulatory device to alter the activity of the entire ubiquitin/proteasome system (Kimura et al. 2009). The best candidate mammalian Doa4 ortholog is Usp8/UBPY, which can also bind ESCRT-III; however, its role in rescuing Ub from lysosomal degradation has not been specifically determined (Wright et al. 2011). Indeed, USP8/UBPY as well as a similarly positioned DUB, AMSH, can bind both ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-III and a host of experiments looking at different cargoes suggest they can play a role in deubiquitinating cargo before MVB sorting to promote cargo recycling (McCullough et al. 2004; Mizuno et al. 2005; Bowers et al. 2006; Berlin et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2013), cargo deubiquitination after sorting as a way to release them from the ESCRT apparatus and promote sorting into MVBs (Alwan and van Leeuwen 2007; Balut et al. 2011), or as a way of deubiquitinating ESCRT components to rescue them from degradation or inactive conformations (Row et al. 2007; Sierra et al. 2010). One of the interesting aspects of cargo deubiquitination in the final stages of sorting is that it predicts that cargo is sorted into a domain capable of trapping Ub cargo such that it cannot escape upon deubiquitination. It is unknown what constitutes such a cargo trap; however, a tight meshwork of polymerized ESCRT-III in the initial stages of vesicle constriction has been proposed (Henne et al. 2012).

Ub cargo at endosomes is first recognized by ESCRT-0, composed of Vps27 and Hse1 in yeast and of Hrs and STAM1/2 in humans. ESCRT-0 has a number of biochemical features that allow

it to function as the initial receptor for Ub cargo (Shields and Piper 2011). First, it has several UBDs: one within each of its two amino-terminal VHS domains, three UIMs found in the middle of both proteins, and an additional UBD within the SH3 domain of STAM2 (Lange et al. 2012a). The UBDs within ESCRT-0 are required for its association with Ub cargo and its ability to sort that cargo into MVB ILVs. Hrs also binds an isoform of Eps15, another UBD-containing protein, which localizes to endosomes and may help convey a subset of internalized proteins to the ESCRT-0 complex. ESCRT-0 also binds PtdIns3-P via an FYVE domain and clathrin with its carboxyl terminus, allowing it to localize to specialized subregions within early endosomes where proteins are segregated for recycling versus degradation.

ESCRT-0 also binds directly to ESCRT-I and can initiate recruitment of ESCRT-I to membranes. ESCRT-I binds to and recruits ESCRT-II, which then directs the assembly and activity of the ESCRT-III vesicle scission machinery. ESCRT-I and -II also have UBDs. ESCRT-I is a heterotetramer. Only four subunit exist for composing yeast ESCRT-I, whereas humans have additional isoforms that may help specialize ESCRT-I for a variety of cellular functions. ESCRT-I binds Ub via a UEV domain within TSG101 and a triplet of UBA domains within UBAP1, two subunits that are functionally orthologous to yeast Vps23 and Mvb12, respectively, which also bind Ub. UBAP1 is one of three alternative Mvb12 orthologs that can be incorporated into the mammalian ESCRT-I heterotetramer, but is the one with the highest Ub-binding activity and appears to be the one most suited for sorting Ub cargo into MVBs (Stefani et al. 2011; Agromayor et al. 2012). Mutations that inactivate Ub binding by yeast Vps23 and Mvb12 or by mammalian UBAP1 block sorting of Ub cargo suggesting that ESCRT-I also functions as a Ub-sorting receptor (Shields et al. 2009; Stefani et al. 2011). ESCRT-II binds Ub via its Vps36/Eap45 subunit, which also binds endosomal PtdIns-3P. The yeast and mammalian Vps36 bind Ub via different mechanisms with an NZF domain mediating Ub binding by yeast Vps36 and mammalian

Vps36/Eap45 binding via a pleckstrin homology domain variant termed a GLUE domain (Shields and Piper 2011). It is not yet clear whether Ub binding by ESCRT-II is critical for sorting Ub cargo. Depletion of mammalian ESCRT-II does not cause dramatic sorting defects comparable to ESCRT-I depletion and the contribution of its Ub-binding activity in the sorting process has not been determined (Bowers et al. 2006). Yeast ESCRT-II is essential for MVB sorting; however, loss of its Ub-binding activity produces only minor to moderate sorting defects.

Sequential Orchestration of ESCRT Function

The fact that the many UBDs within the ESCRT apparatus bind to similar overlapping surfaces on Ub and that cargo can be sorted into ILVs when it is modified only by a single Ub or short polyubiquitin chain supports the idea that individual ESCRTs work in sequence to bind Ub cargo and pass it onto successive acting ESCRTs. This sequential sorting process is orchestrated by the ability of ESCRTs to directly interact with ESCRT-0 binding, activating, and transferring cargo to ESCRT-I, and similarly ESCRT-I to ESCRT-II. The ability of ESCRT-I and -II to form a supercomplex and the lack of a clear necessary role for Ub binding by ESCRT-II suggests ESCRT-I and -II function together rather than individually in sequence, as a secondary Ub-sorting receptor that receives cargo from ESCRT-0 (Amerik et al. 2006; Shields et al. 2009; Boura et al. 2012). The idea that these work in sequence is supported by immunolocalization experiments showing a differential distribution of ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-I, live cell imaging that shows sequential assembly of ESCRTs at the sites of viral budding and cytokinesis, and biochemical studies in yeast mutants where assembly intermediates can be accumulated (Babst et al. 2002; Bache et al. 2003; Elia et al. 2011; Guizetti et al. 2011; Jouvenet et al. 2011). In addition, several other proteins that are structurally related to ESCRT-0 have been proposed to work in parallel to ESCRT-0 as alternative early Ub-cargo receptors. These include Tom1, Tom1L1, and GGA3, which bind clathrin, Ub,

R.C. Piper et al.

and ESCRT-I supporting the idea that several ESCRT-0-like complexes gather Ub cargo and pass it to a central ESCRT-I/-II supercomplex (Shields and Piper 2011; Haglund and Dikic 2012). Recently, other contenders for Ub-sorting receptors have been identified including Bro1, Alix, and HD-PTP, which bind Ub, and interact with ESCRT-I and ESCRT-III (Ali et al. 2013; Pashkova et al. 2013). These proteins could potentially offer an additional sorting pathway for Ub cargo in parallel with ESCRT-0.

Exactly how ESCRTs are spatially coordinated to move Ub cargo has yet to be determined. This aspect has not been reconstituted in vitro and our understanding remains largely speculative. Any model must account for two features: getting Ub cargo into the forming ILV and getting the sorting receptors off of it. Current models favor a variation of a concentric model for ILV formation, guided by the circular structures generated by the ESCRT-III polymer that is ultimately responsible for vesicle scission (Nickerson et al. 2007; Henne et al. 2012; Rozyski et al. 2012). Here, ESCRT-I and -II are either on the outside of a forming vesicle neck, pushing cargo into the center, or are already in the center being surrounded by a polymerizing ESCRT-III.

Roles of Multiple UBDs

The ESCRT apparatus has multiple UBDs that could potentially provide a number of functions. One would be to recognize a wide variety of Ub cargoes, each presenting Ub in a variety of contexts (Mayers et al. 2011). Multiple UBDs would also boost the avidity of the ESCRTs for Ub cargo. Indeed, the affinity of individual ESCRT UBDs for mono-Ub is quite low and crowding them together would help increase their ability to gather Ub cargo; likewise, disassembly of the ESCRT apparatus would provide a mechanism to disengage from Ub cargo (Haglund and Dikic 2012). Another possibility is that multiple UBDs may specify recognition of polyubiquitin chains. Endocytic cargoes are typically marked with short K63 polyubiquitin chains, and yeast mutants incapable of making K63 chains have major defects in MVB sorting

(Lauwers et al. 2010). Specificity for K63-linked chains, as opposed to multiple-mono-Ub, has been suggested by the cooperative binding K63 poly-Ub shows for the multiple UBDs within ESCRT-0 or a single UBD within yeast Vps36 (Kulathu et al. 2009; Lange et al. 2012b). However, these effects are moderate and a single Ub on cargo is sufficient to confer sorting into ILVs, indicating that the role of K63 chains may be to simply increase the number of mono-Ub sorting signals attached to cargo (Ren and Hurley 2010; Stringer and Piper 2011).

The other possibility is that multiple UBDs may serve as a means to regulate ESCRT activity. Indeed, elimination of multiple UBDs within ESCRT-0 or the ESCRT-I/II supercomplex cause defects beyond merely loss of Ub-cargo sorting. Recent studies indicate that multiple UBDs may provide a coincidence mechanism for the ESCRT apparatus to properly assemble wherein the availability of proper lipids, membrane-associated ESCRTs, and Ub cargo are simultaneously required before sorting and ILV formation can ensue (MacDonald et al. 2012a). Other functions for UBDs within the ESCRT apparatus have been proposed based on the observation that many ESCRT components become ubiquitinated themselves (Erpapazoglou et al. 2012). Often, UBDs confer ubiquitination owing to the fact that proteins with UBDs can bind Ub chains that bring them into the proximity of Ub ligases forming those chains. A potential role for ubiquitination of the machinery is that it could mediate interaction with UBDs in either intra- or intermolecular interactions (Haglund and Dikic 2012). Artificial ubiquitination of ESCRTs can inactivate them. Moreover, ESCRTs-0 and -I associate with a variety of Ub ligases and DUBs and their depletion and overexpression hint at a variety of regulatory roles apart from merely modifying Ub cargo (Wright et al. 2011). Nevertheless, preventing ubiquitination of ESCRT-0 and ESCRT-I does not block their ability to execute MVB sorting indicating that the role of ESCRT ubiquitination may be for an ancillary function (such as controlling their levels via targeting to the proteasome) or providing an additional layer of regulation (Shields and Piper 2011).

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The last decade has established a compelling paradigm for how Ub mediates trafficking events within the endocytic pathway by acting as a sorting signal. This paradigm is replete with cellular machinery that recognizes Ub cargo and sorts into a variety of transport intermediates. In broad terms, this process works by aggregation of multiple low-affinity UBDs within a particular sorting apparatus, which generally recognizes Ub cargo modified by several Ub moieties, often presented as short K63-linked polyubiquitin chains. This basic framework is helpful; however, many aspects are significantly underdetermined and more work is required for us to transition from a basic and generic process we can describe now to a more accurate, sophisticated, and nuanced understanding that accounts for the complexity of the endocytic system. Such an understanding is becoming more approachable both experimentally and conceptually with the development of new tools to manipulate and measure ubiquitination and with an increasing appreciation for the expanding effects ubiquitination can exert and the specialized functions different polyubiquitin chains can fulfill.

In terms of understanding Ub as a lysosomal sorting signal, the vast majority of studies have focused on well-folded and well-behaved cell-surface proteins that undergo “down-regulation” and delivery to the lysosome in response to a particular trigger. Far less is known about how damaged proteins are selected and sorted toward lysosomes and whether different machinery is required to process these proteins. In addition, if Ub works as a sorting signal for internalization from the cell surface, as a sorting signal from the TGN, or possibly at other locales distinct from the MVB, it makes sense that a Ub-sorting signal might be used only transiently, as a stepwise sorting signal to discrete locales within the endocytic system without committing cargo entirely to lysosomal degradation. Yet, few experimental strategies are configured to test for this possibility.

The endocytic machinery that recognizes Ub cargo is largely coming into focus. Our

most complete understanding is of the ESCRT apparatus, which functions on endosomes to mediate sorting into ILVs of multivesicular late endosomes. We have far less understanding of how Ub cargoes are recognized and sorted at the plasma membrane, TGN, and elsewhere within the endocytic system. Despite our detailed structural understanding of the ESCRT apparatus, a better understanding remains to be ascertained in terms of knowing all the proteins that interact with Ub cargo, whether some are specialized for different types of cargo, what they do to move that cargo into ILVs, and how their activity can be stimulated or inhibited. Moreover, several players within the Ub/endosomal system have multiple functions within the cell (such as the ESCRTs that function in MVB sorting, cytokinesis, and transcription) or multiple roles in the same process (such as the AMSH, USP8, and Bro1 during MVB sorting) making it difficult for overexpression or underexpression experiments to deconvolute their contributions. Exploiting the emerging structural details for how these components interact to make specific mutants defective in particular functional aspects should help parse these roles more precisely.

Another challenge is posed by the nature of how Ub is recognized, which is typically accomplished via an expanding variety of domains that often bind with poor affinity sometimes in the millimolar range. Indeed the plethora of low-affinity UBDs that are emerging not only presents the daunting prospect that Ub may control a large swath of biology we have yet to fathom but also poses the experimental obligation to show that such UBDs indeed contribute to a Ub-dependent biological process. The low affinity of UBDs typically obliges them to work in a larger context, in concert with other UBDs or perhaps within protein complexes that undergo a conformational change in response to its own ubiquitination. A seductive observation is that most UBDs make the proteins they reside in susceptible to ubiquitination, affording them a vast potential for different modes of regulation. For instance, the GTP exchange factor for Rab5, Rabex5, has proposed roles as a Ub ligase against itself and other targets in the endocytic

R.C. Piper et al.

pathway and as a Ub-binding protein that engages Ub cargo as well as a ubiquitinated form of itself—all of which may impinge on the ability of Rabex-5 to activate Rab5 (Mattera et al. 2006; Mattera and Bonifacino 2008; Xu et al. 2010; Yan et al. 2010; Aikawa et al. 2012). Such discoveries promise a rich and interesting future. Nonetheless, they pose a significant challenge because the physiological relevance of these models can be difficult to dissect clearly.

REFERENCES

*Reference is also in this collection.

- Agromayor M, Soler N, Caballe A, Kueck T, Freund SM, Allen MD, Bycroft M, Perisic O, Ye Y, McDonald B, et al. 2012. The UBAP1 subunit of ESCRT-I interacts with ubiquitin via a SOUBA domain. *Structure* **20**: 414–428.
- Aikawa Y, Hirakawa H, Lee S. 2012. Spatiotemporal regulation of the ubiquitinated cargo-binding activity of Rabex-5 in the endocytic pathway. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 40586–40597.
- Ali N, Zhang L, Taylor S, Mironov A, Urbe S, Woodman P. 2013. Recruitment of UBPY and ESCRT exchange drive HD-PTP-dependent sorting of EGFR to the MVB. *Curr Biol* **23**: 453–461.
- Alwan HA, van Leeuwen JE. 2007. UBPY-mediated epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) de-ubiquitination promotes EGFR degradation. *J Biol Chem* **282**: 1658–1669.
- Amerik AY, Nowak J, Swaminathan S, Hochstrasser M. 2000. The Doa4 deubiquitinating enzyme is functionally linked to the vacuolar protein-sorting and endocytic pathways. *Mol Biol Cell* **11**: 3365–3380.
- Amerik A, Sindhi N, Hochstrasser M. 2006. A conserved late endosome-targeting signal required for Doa4 deubiquitinating enzyme function. *J Cell Biol* **175**: 825–835.
- Angers A, Ramjaun AR, McPherson PS. 2004. The HECT domain ligase itch ubiquitinates endophilin and localizes to the trans-Golgi network and endosomal system. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 11471–11479.
- Apaja PM, Xu H, Lukacs GL. 2010. Quality control for unfolded proteins at the plasma membrane. *J Cell Biol* **191**: 553–570.
- Babst M. 2011. MVB vesicle formation: ESCRT-dependent, ESCRT-independent and everything in between. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **23**: 452–457.
- Babst M, Katzmann DJ, Snyder WB, Wendland B, Emr SD. 2002. Endosome-associated complex, ESCRT-II, recruits transport machinery for protein sorting at the multivesicular body. *Dev Cell* **3**: 283–289.
- Bache KG, Brech A, Mehlum A, Stenmark H. 2003. Hrs regulates multivesicular body formation via ESCRT recruitment to endosomes. *J Cell Biol* **162**: 435–442.
- Balut CM, Loch CM, Devor DC. 2011. Role of ubiquitylation and USP8-dependent deubiquitylation in the endocytosis and lysosomal targeting of plasma membrane KCa3.1. *FASEB J* **25**: 3938–3948.
- Barriere H, Nemes C, Lechardeur D, Khan-Mohammad M, Fruh K, Lukacs GL. 2006. Molecular basis of oligoubiquitin-dependent internalization of membrane proteins in mammalian cells. *Traffic* **7**: 282–297.
- Barriere H, Nemes C, Du K, Lukacs GL. 2007. Plasticity of polyubiquitin recognition as lysosomal targeting signals by the endosomal sorting machinery. *Mol Biol Cell* **18**: 3952–3965.
- Becuwe M, Vieira N, Lara D, Gomes-Rezende J, Soares-Cunha C, Casal M, Haguenaue-Tsapis R, Vincent O, Paiva S, Leon S. 2012. A molecular switch on an arrestin-like protein relays glucose signaling to transporter endocytosis. *J Cell Biol* **196**: 247–259.
- Belgareh-Touze N, Leon S, Erpapazoglou Z, Stawiecka-Mirota M, Urban-Grimal D, Haguenaue-Tsapis R. 2008. Versatile role of the yeast ubiquitin ligase Rsp5p in intracellular trafficking. *Biochem Soc Trans* **36**: 791–796.
- Berlin I, Schwartz H, Nash PD. 2010. Regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor ubiquitination and trafficking by the USP8.STAM complex. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 34909–34921.
- Bertelsen V, Sak MM, Breen K, Rodland MS, Johannessen LE, Traub LM, Stang E, Madhus IH. 2011. A chimeric pre-ubiquitinated EGF receptor is constitutively endocytosed in a clathrin-dependent, but kinase-independent manner. *Traffic* **12**: 507–520.
- Berthouze M, Venkataramanan V, Li Y, Shenoy SK. 2009. The deubiquitinases USP33 and USP20 coordinate β 2 adrenergic receptor recycling and resensitization. *EMBO J* **28**: 1684–1696.
- Bhandari D, Trejo J, Benovic JL, Marchese A. 2007. Arrestin-2 interacts with the ubiquitin-protein isopeptide ligase atrophin-interacting protein 4 and mediates endosomal sorting of the chemokine receptor CXCR4. *J Biol Chem* **282**: 36971–36979.
- Blanc C, Charette SJ, Mattei S, Aubry L, Smith EW, Cosson P, Letourneur F. 2009. *Dictyostelium* Tom1 participates to an ancestral ESCRT-0 complex. *Traffic* **10**: 161–171.
- Boname JM, Lehner PJ. 2011. What has the study of the K3 and K5 viral ubiquitin E3 ligases taught us about ubiquitin-mediated receptor regulation? *Viruses* **3**: 118–131.
- Boura E, Rozycki B, Chung HS, Herrick DZ, Canagarajah B, Cafiso DS, Eaton WA, Hummer G, Hurley JH. 2012. Solution structure of the ESCRT-I and -II supercomplex: Implications for membrane budding and scission. *Structure* **20**: 874–886.
- Bowers K, Piper SC, Edeling MA, Gray SR, Owen DJ, Lehner PJ, Luzio JP. 2006. Degradation of endocytosed epidermal growth factor and virally ubiquitinated major histocompatibility complex class I is independent of mammalian ESCRTII. *J Biol Chem* **281**: 5094–5105.
- Brissoni B, Agostini L, Kropf M, Martinon F, Swoboda V, Lippens S, Everett H, Aebi N, Janssens S, Meylan E, et al. 2006. Intracellular trafficking of interleukin-1 receptor I requires Tollip. *Curr Biol* **16**: 2265–2270.
- Cain NE, Kaiser CA. 2011. Transport activity-dependent intracellular sorting of the yeast general amino acid permease. *Mol Biol Cell* **22**: 1919–1929.

- Carraway KL III. 2010. E3 ubiquitin ligases in ErbB receptor quantity control. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **21**: 936–943.
- Cheng J, Guggino W. 2013. Ubiquitination and degradation of CFTR by the E3 ubiquitin ligase MARCH2 through its association with adaptor proteins CAL and STX6. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e68001.
- Clague MJ, Liu H, Urbe S. 2012. Governance of endocytic trafficking and signaling by reversible ubiquitylation. *Dev Cell* **23**: 457–467.
- Coonrod EM, Stevens TH. 2010. The yeast *vps* class E mutants: The beginning of the molecular genetic analysis of multivesicular body biogenesis. *Mol Biol Cell* **21**: 4057–4060.
- Cyr DM, Hohfeld J, Patterson C. 2002. Protein quality control: U-box-containing E3 ubiquitin ligases join the fold. *Trends Biochem Sci* **27**: 368–375.
- da Silva Almeida AC, Hocking HG, Boelens R, Strous GJ, van Rossum AG. 2013. β TrCP interacts with the ubiquitin-dependent endocytosis motif of the GH receptor in an unconventional manner. *Biochem J* **453**: 291–301.
- Davies BA, Topp JD, Sfeir AJ, Katzmann DJ, Carney DS, Tall GG, Friedberg AS, Deng L, Chen Z, Horazdovsky BE. 2003. Vps9p CUE domain ubiquitin binding is required for efficient endocytic protein traffic. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 19826–19833.
- de Melker AA, van der Horst G, Borst J. 2004. Ubiquitin ligase activity of c-Cbl guides the epidermal growth factor receptor into clathrin-coated pits by two distinct modes of Eps15 recruitment. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 55465–55473.
- den Besten W, Verma R, Kleiger G, Oania RS, Deshaies RJ. 2012. NEDD8 links cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase function to the p97 pathway. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**: 511–516, S1.
- Donaldson KM, Yin H, Gekakis N, Supek F, Joazeiro CA. 2003. Ubiquitin signals protein trafficking via interaction with a novel ubiquitin binding domain in the membrane fusion regulator, Vps9p. *Curr Biol* **13**: 258–262.
- Dores MR, Schnell JD, Maldonado-Baez L, Wendland B, Hicke L. 2010. The function of yeast epsin and Edl1 ubiquitin-binding domains during receptor internalization. *Traffic* **11**: 151–160.
- Dowlathshahi DP, Sandrin V, Vivona S, Shaler TA, Kaiser SE, Melandri F, Sundquist WI, Kopito RR. 2012. ALIX is a Lys63-specific polyubiquitin binding protein that functions in retrovirus budding. *Dev Cell* **23**: 1247–1254.
- Doyotte A, Mironov A, McKenzie E, Woodman P. 2008. The Bro1-related protein HD-PTP/PTPN23 is required for endosomal cargo sorting and multivesicular body morphogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 6308–6313.
- Draheim KM, Chen HB, Tao Q, Moore N, Roche M, Lyle S. 2010. ARDC3 suppresses breast cancer progression by negatively regulating integrin $\beta 4$. *Oncogene* **29**: 5032–5047.
- Elia N, Sougrat R, Spurlin TA, Hurley JH, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2011. Dynamics of endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery during cytokinesis and its role in abscission. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 4846–4851.
- Erapapazoglou Z, Dhaoui M, Pantazopoulou M, Giordano F, Mari M, Leon S, Raposo G, Reggiori F, Haguenaier-Tsapir R. 2012. A dual role for K63-linked ubiquitin chains in multivesicular body biogenesis and cargo sorting. *Mol Biol Cell* **23**: 2170–2183.
- Eyster CA, Cole NB, Petersen S, Viswanathan K, Fruh K, Donaldson JG. 2011. MARCH ubiquitin ligases alter the itinerary of clathrin-independent cargo from recycling to degradation. *Mol Biol Cell* **22**: 3218–3230.
- Fallon L, Belanger CM, Corera AT, Kontogiannina M, Regan-Klapisz E, Moreau F, Voortman J, Haber M, Rouleau G, Thorarindottir T, et al. 2006. A regulated interaction with the UIM protein Eps15 implicates parkin in EGF receptor trafficking and PI3K-Akt signalling. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**: 834–842.
- Foot NJ, Leong YA, Dorstyn LE, Dalton HE, Ho K, Zhao L, Garrick MD, Yang B, Hiwase D, Kumar S. 2011. *Ndfip1*-deficient mice have impaired DMT1 regulation and iron homeostasis. *Blood* **117**: 638–646.
- Fotia AB, Ekberg J, Adams DJ, Cook DI, Poronnik P, Kumar S. 2004. Regulation of neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels by the ubiquitin-protein ligases Nedd4 and Nedd4-2. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 28930–28935.
- Fujita H, Iwabu Y, Tokunaga K, Tanaka Y. 2013. Membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH) 8 mediates the ubiquitination and lysosomal degradation of the transferrin receptor. *J Cell Sci* **126**: 2798–2809.
- Gill DJ, Teo H, Sun J, Perisic O, Veprintsev DB, Vallis Y, Emr SD, Williams RL. 2007. Structural studies of phosphoinositide 3-kinase-dependent traffic to multivesicular bodies. *Biochem Soc Symp* **74**: 47–57.
- Guizetti J, Schermelleh L, Mantler J, Maar S, Poser I, Leonhardt H, Muller-Reichert T, Gerlich DW. 2011. Cortical constriction during abscission involves helices of ESCRT-III-dependent filaments. *Science* **331**: 1616–1620.
- Haglund K, Dikic I. 2012. The role of ubiquitylation in receptor endocytosis and endosomal sorting. *J Cell Sci* **125**: 265–275.
- Haglund K, Sigismund S, Polo S, Szymkiewicz I, Di Fiore PP, Dikic I. 2003. Multiple monoubiquitination of RTKs is sufficient for their endocytosis and degradation. *Nat Cell Biol* **5**: 461–466.
- Han SO, Xiao K, Kim J, Wu JH, Wisler JW, Nakamura N, Freedman NJ, Shenoy SK. 2012. MARCH2 promotes endocytosis and lysosomal sorting of carvedilol-bound $\beta 2$ -adrenergic receptors. *J Cell Biol* **199**: 817–830.
- Hanson PI, Roth R, Lin Y, Heuser JE. 2008. Plasma membrane deformation by circular arrays of ESCRT-III protein filaments. *J Cell Biol* **180**: 389–402.
- Hao YH, Doyle JM, Ramanathan S, Gomez TS, Jia D, Xu M, Chen ZJ, Billadeau DD, Rosen MK, Potts PR. 2013. Regulation of WASH-dependent actin polymerization and protein trafficking by ubiquitination. *Cell* **152**: 1051–1064.
- Hawryluk MJ, Keyel PA, Mishra SK, Watkins SC, Heuser JE, Traub LM. 2006. Epsin 1 is a polyubiquitin-selective clathrin-associated sorting protein. *Traffic* **7**: 262–281.
- Helliwell SB, Losko S, Kaiser CA. 2001. Components of a ubiquitin ligase complex specify polyubiquitination and intracellular trafficking of the general amino acid permease. *J Cell Biol* **153**: 649–662.
- Henne WM, Buchkovich NJ, Zhao Y, Emr SD. 2012. The endosomal sorting complex ESCRT-II mediates the as-

R.C. Piper et al.

- sembly and architecture of ESCRT-III helices. *Cell* **151**: 356–371.
- * Henne WM, Stenmark H, Emr SD. 2013. Molecular mechanisms of the membrane sculpting ESCRT pathway. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **5**: a016766.
- Henry AG, Hislop JN, Grove J, Thorn K, Marsh M, von Zastrow M. 2012. Regulation of endocytic clathrin dynamics by cargo ubiquitination. *Dev Cell* **23**: 519–532.
- Hettema EH, Valdez-Taubas J, Pelham HR. 2004. Bsd2 binds the ubiquitin ligase Rsp5 and mediates the ubiquitination of transmembrane proteins. *EMBO J* **23**: 1279–1288.
- Hicke L, Zanolari B, Riezman H. 1998. Cytoplasmic tail phosphorylation of the α -factor receptor is required for its ubiquitination and internalization. *J Cell Biol* **141**: 349–358.
- Hoeller D, Crosetto N, Blagoev B, Raiborg C, Tikkanen R, Wagner S, Kowanetz K, Breitling R, Mann M, Stenmark H, et al. 2006. Regulation of ubiquitin-binding proteins by monoubiquitination. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**: 163–169.
- Huang F, Kirkpatrick D, Jiang X, Gygi S, Sorkin A. 2006. Differential regulation of EGF receptor internalization and degradation by multiubiquitination within the kinase domain. *Mol Cell* **21**: 737–748.
- Huang F, Goh LK, Sorkin A. 2007. EGF receptor ubiquitination is not necessary for its internalization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 16904–16909.
- Huang F, Zeng X, Kim W, Balasubramani M, Fortian A, Gygi SP, Yates NA, Sorkin A. 2013. Lysine 63-linked polyubiquitination is required for EGF receptor degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**: 15722–15727.
- Huotari J, Helenius A. 2011. Endosome maturation. *EMBO J* **30**: 3481–3500.
- Hurley JH, Hanson PI. 2010. Membrane budding and scission by the ESCRT machinery: It's all in the neck. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 556–566.
- Husnjak K, Dikic I. 2012. Ubiquitin-binding proteins: Decoders of ubiquitin-mediated cellular functions. *Annu Rev Biochem* **81**: 291–322.
- Ishido S, Goto E, Matsuki Y, Ohmura-Hoshino M. 2009. E3 ubiquitin ligases for MHC molecules. *Curr Opin Immunol* **21**: 78–83.
- Jahnke M, Trowsdale J, Kelly AP. 2012. Structural requirements for recognition of major histocompatibility complex class II by membrane-associated RING-CH (MARCH) protein E3 ligases. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 28779–28789.
- Jouvenet N, Zhadina M, Bieniasz PD, Simon SM. 2011. Dynamics of ESCRT protein recruitment during retroviral assembly. *Nat Cell Biol* **13**: 394–401.
- Kaabeche K, Guenou H, Bouvard D, Didelot N, Listrat A, Marie PJ. 2005. Cbl-mediated ubiquitination of $\alpha 5$ integrin subunit mediates fibronectin-dependent osteoblast detachment and apoptosis induced by FGFR2 activation. *J Cell Sci* **118**: 1223–1232.
- Kajikawa M, Li PC, Goto E, Miyashita N, Aoki-Kawasumi M, Mito-Yoshida M, Ikegaya M, Sugita Y, Ishido S. 2012. The intertransmembrane region of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus modulator of immune recognition 2 contributes to B7-2 downregulation. *J Virol* **86**: 5288–5296.
- Kanehara K, Xie W, Ng DT. 2010. Modularity of the Hrd1 ERAD complex underlies its diverse client range. *J Cell Biol* **188**: 707–716.
- Kang YL, Yochem J, Bell L, Sorensen EB, Chen L, Conner SD. 2013. *Caenorhabditis elegans* reveals a FxNPyY-independent low-density lipoprotein receptor internalization mechanism mediated by epsin1. *Mol Biol Cell* **24**: 308–318.
- Keener JM, Babst M. 2013. Quality control and substrate-dependent downregulation of the nutrient transporter Fur4. *Traffic* **14**: 412–427.
- Kimura Y, Yashiroda H, Kudo T, Koitabashi S, Murata S, Kakizuka A, Tanaka K. 2009. An inhibitor of a deubiquitinating enzyme regulates ubiquitin homeostasis. *Cell* **137**: 549–559.
- Komada M, Kitamura N. 2005. The Hrs/STAM complex in the downregulation of receptor tyrosine kinases. *J Biochem* **137**: 1–8.
- Kulathu Y, Komander D. 2012. Atypical ubiquitylation—The unexplored world of polyubiquitin beyond Lys48 and Lys63 linkages. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**: 508–523.
- Kulathu Y, Akutsu M, Bremm A, Hofmann K, Komander D. 2009. Two-sided ubiquitin binding explains specificity of the TAB2 NZF domain. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **16**: 1328–1330.
- Kumar KG, Barriere H, Carbone CJ, Liu J, Swaminathan G, Xu P, Li Y, Baker DP, Peng J, Lukacs GL, et al. 2007. Site-specific ubiquitination exposes a linear motif to promote interferon- α receptor endocytosis. *J Cell Biol* **179**: 935–950.
- Lange OE, Lakomek NA, Fares C, Schroder GE, Walter KE, Becker S, Meiler J, Grubmüller H, Griesinger C, de Groot BL. 2008. Recognition dynamics up to microseconds revealed from an RDC-derived ubiquitin ensemble in solution. *Science* **320**: 1471–1475.
- Lange A, Castaneda C, Hoeller D, Lancelin JM, Fushman D, Walker O. 2012a. Evidence for cooperative and domain-specific binding of the signal transducing adaptor molecule 2 (STAM2) to Lys63-linked diubiquitin. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 18687–18699.
- Lange A, Ismail MB, Riviere G, Hologne M, Lacabanne D, Guilliere F, Lancelin JM, Krimm I, Walker O. 2012b. Competitive binding of UBPY and ubiquitin to the STAM2 SH3 domain revealed by NMR. *FEBS Lett* **586**: 3379–3384.
- Lata S, Schoehn G, Jain A, Pires R, Piehler J, Gottlinger HG, Weissenhorn W. 2008. Helical structures of ESCRT-III are disassembled by VPS4. *Science* **321**: 1354–1357.
- Lauwers E, Erpapazoglou Z, Haguenaer-Tsapis R, Andre B. 2010. The ubiquitin code of yeast permease trafficking. *Trends Cell Biol* **20**: 196–204.
- Lemmon MA, Schlessinger J. 2010. Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. *Cell* **141**: 1117–1134.
- Levkowitz G, Waterman H, Ettenberg SA, Katz M, Tsyanikov AY, Alroy I, Lavi S, Iwai K, Reiss Y, Ciechanover A, et al. 1999. Ubiquitin ligase activity and tyrosine phosphorylation underlie suppression of growth factor signaling by c-Cbl/Sli-1. *Mol Cell* **4**: 1029–1040.
- Li Y, Kane T, Tipper C, Spatrick P, Jenness DD. 1999. Yeast mutants affecting possible quality control of plasma membrane proteins. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**: 3588–3599.

- Lin CH, MacGurn JA, Chu T, Stefan CJ, Emr SD. 2008. Arrestin-related ubiquitin-ligase adaptors regulate endocytosis and protein turnover at the cell surface. *Cell* **135**: 714–725.
- Lindas AC, Karlsson EA, Lindgren MT, Ettema TJ, Bernander R. 2008. A unique cell division machinery in the Archaea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 18942–18946.
- Lipkowitz S, Weissman AM. 2011. RINGs of good and evil: RING finger ubiquitin ligases at the crossroads of tumour suppression and oncogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* **11**: 629–643.
- Liu Z, Zanata SM, Kim J, Peterson MA, Di Vizio D, Chirieac LR, Pyne S, Agostini M, Freeman MR, Loda M. 2013. The ubiquitin-specific protease USP2a prevents endocytosis-mediated EGFR degradation. *Oncogene* **32**: 1660–1669.
- Loberth VH, Brech A, Pedersen NM, Wesche J, Oppelt A, Malerod L, Stenmark H. 2010. Ubiquitination of $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrin controls fibroblast migration through lysosomal degradation of fibronectin-integrin complexes. *Dev Cell* **19**: 148–159.
- Long D, Bruschweiler R. 2011. In silico elucidation of the recognition dynamics of ubiquitin. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**: e1002035.
- Luhtala N, Odorizzi G. 2004. Bro1 coordinates deubiquitination in the multivesicular body pathway by recruiting Doa4 to endosomes. *J Cell Biol* **166**: 717–729.
- MacDonald C, Buchkovich NJ, Stringer DK, Emr SD, Piper RC. 2012a. Cargo ubiquitination is essential for multivesicular body intraluminal vesicle formation. *EMBO Rep* **13**: 331–338.
- MacDonald C, Stringer DK, Piper RC. 2012b. Sna3 is an Rsp5 adaptor protein that relies on ubiquitination for its MVB sorting. *Traffic* **13**: 586–598.
- MacGurn JA, Hsu PC, Smolka MB, Emr SD. 2011. TORC1 regulates endocytosis via Npr1-mediated phosphoinhibition of a ubiquitin ligase adaptor. *Cell* **147**: 1104–1117.
- Marchese A, Raiborg C, Santini F, Keen JH, Stenmark H, Benovic JL. 2003. The E3 ubiquitin ligase AIP4 mediates ubiquitination and sorting of the G protein-coupled receptor CXCR4. *Dev Cell* **5**: 709–722.
- Mattera R, Bonifacino JS. 2008. Ubiquitin binding and conjugation regulate the recruitment of Rabex-5 to early endosomes. *EMBO J* **27**: 2484–2494.
- Mattera R, Tsai YC, Weissman AM, Bonifacino JS. 2006. The Rab5 guanine nucleotide exchange factor Rabex-5 binds ubiquitin (Ub) and functions as a Ub ligase through an atypical Ub-interacting motif and a zinc finger domain. *J Biol Chem* **281**: 6874–6883.
- Mayers JR, Fyfe I, Schuh AL, Chapman ER, Edwardson JM, Audhya A. 2011. ESCRT-0 assembles as a heterotetrameric complex on membranes and binds multiple ubiquitinated cargoes simultaneously. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 9636–9645.
- Mayers JR, Wang L, Pramanik J, Johnson A, Sarkeshik A, Wang Y, Saengsawang W, Yates JR III, Audhya A. 2013. Regulation of ubiquitin-dependent cargo sorting by multiple endocytic adaptors at the plasma membrane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**: 11857–11862.
- McCullough J, Clague MJ, Urbe S. 2004. AMSH is an endosome-associated ubiquitin isopeptidase. *J Cell Biol* **166**: 487–492.
- McDowell GS, Philpott A. 2013. Non-canonical ubiquitylation: Mechanisms and consequences. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **45**: 1833–1842.
- Merhi A, Andre B. 2012. Internal amino acids promote Gap1 permease ubiquitylation via TORC1/Npr1/14-3-3-dependent control of the Bul arrestin-like adaptors. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**: 4510–4522.
- Mizuno E, Iura T, Mukai A, Yoshimori T, Kitamura N, Komada M. 2005. Regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor down-regulation by UBPY-mediated deubiquitination at endosomes. *Mol Biol Cell* **16**: 5163–5174.
- Morita E. 2012. Differential requirements of mammalian ESCRTs in multivesicular body formation, virus budding and cell division. *FEBS J* **279**: 1399–1406.
- Mossinger J, Wiewer M, Krause E, Freund C, Gerth F, Krauss M, Haucke V. 2012. Phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase II α function at endosomes is regulated by the ubiquitin ligase Itch. *EMBO Rep* **13**: 1087–1094.
- Nabhan JE, Pan H, Lu Q. 2010. Arrestin domain-containing protein 3 recruits the NEDD4 E3 ligase to mediate ubiquitination of the $\beta 2$ -adrenergic receptor. *EMBO Rep* **11**: 605–611.
- Nathan JA, Lehner PJ. 2009. The trafficking and regulation of membrane receptors by the RING-CH ubiquitin E3 ligases. *Exp Cell Res* **315**: 1593–1600.
- Nickerson DP, Russell MR, Odorizzi G. 2007. A concentric circle model of multivesicular body cargo sorting. *EMBO Rep* **8**: 644–650.
- Oberfeld B, Ruffieux-Daidie D, Vitagliano JJ, Pos KM, Verrey F, Staub O. 2011. Ubiquitin-specific protease 2-45 (Usp2-45) binds to epithelial Na⁺ channel (ENaC)-ubiquitylating enzyme Nedd4-2. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* **301**: F189–F196.
- O'Donnell AE. 2012. The running of the Bulls: Control of permease trafficking by α -arrestins Bul1 and Bul2. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**: 4506–4509.
- Okiyoneda T, Barriere H, Bagdany M, Rabeh WM, Du K, Hohfeld J, Young JC, Lukacs GL. 2010. Peripheral protein quality control removes unfolded CFTR from the plasma membrane. *Science* **329**: 805–810.
- Okiyoneda T, Apaja PM, Lukacs GL. 2011. Protein quality control at the plasma membrane. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **23**: 483–491.
- Oved S, Mosesson Y, Zwang Y, Santonico E, Shtiegmán K, Marmor MD, Kochupurakkal BS, Katz M, Lavi S, Cesarani G, et al. 2006. Conjugation to Nedd8 instigates ubiquitylation and down-regulation of activated receptor tyrosine kinases. *J Biol Chem* **281**: 21640–21651.
- Pashkova N, Gakhar L, Winistorfer SC, Sunshine AB, Rich M, Dunham MJ, Yu L, Piper RC. 2013. The yeast Alix Homolog Bro1 functions as a ubiquitin receptor for protein sorting into multivesicular endosomes. *Dev Cell* **25**: 520–533.
- Patwari P, Emilsson V, Schadt EE, Chutkow WA, Lee S, Marsili A, Zhang Y, Dobrin R, Cohen DE, Larsen PR, et al. 2011. The arrestin domain-containing 3 protein regulates body mass and energy expenditure. *Cell Metab* **14**: 671–683.
- Peters JH, de Groot BL. 2012. Ubiquitin dynamics in complexes reveal molecular recognition mechanisms beyond

R.C. Piper et al.

- induced fit and conformational selection. *PLoS Comput Biol* **8**: e1002704.
- Pizzirusso M, Chang A. 2004. Ubiquitin-mediated targeting of a mutant plasma membrane ATPase, Pma1-7, to the endosomal/vacuolar system in yeast. *Mol Biol Cell* **15**: 2401–2409.
- Polo S, Sigismund S, Faretta M, Guidi M, Capua MR, Bossi G, Chen H, De Camilli P, Di Fiore PP. 2002. A single motif responsible for ubiquitin recognition and monoubiquitination in endocytic proteins. *Nature* **416**: 451–455.
- Puertollano R, Bonifacino JS. 2004. Interactions of GGA3 with the ubiquitin sorting machinery. *Nat Cell Biol* **6**: 244–251.
- Rahighi S, Ikeda F, Kawasaki M, Akutsu M, Suzuki N, Kato R, Kensche T, Uejima T, Bloor S, Komander D, et al. 2009. Specific recognition of linear ubiquitin chains by NEMO is important for NF- κ B activation. *Cell* **136**: 1098–1109.
- Raiborg C, Bache KG, Gillooly DJ, Madhus I, Stang E, Stenmark H. 2002. Hrs sorts ubiquitinated proteins into clathrin-coated microdomains of early endosomes. *Nat Cell Biol* **4**: 394–398.
- Raiborg C, Slagsvold T, Stenmark H. 2006. A new side to ubiquitin. *Trends Biochem Sci* **31**: 541–544.
- Ramanathan HN, Zhang G, Ye Y. 2013. Monoubiquitination of EEA1 regulates endosome fusion and trafficking. *Cell Biosci* **3**: 24.
- Raposo G, Stoorvogel W. 2013. Extracellular vesicles: Exosomes, microvesicles, and friends. *J Cell Biol* **200**: 373–383.
- Ren X, Hurley JH. 2010. VHS domains of ESCRT-0 cooperate in high-avidity binding to polyubiquitinated cargo. *EMBO J* **29**: 1045–1054.
- Richter C, West M, Odorizzi G. 2007. Dual mechanisms specify Doa4-mediated deubiquitination at multivesicular bodies. *EMBO J* **26**: 2454–2464.
- Richter CM, West M, Odorizzi G. 2013. Doa4 function in ILV budding is restricted through its interaction with the Vps20 subunit of ESCRT-III. *J Cell Sci* **126**: 1881–1890.
- Rosenbaum JC, Fredrickson EK, Oeser ML, Garrett-Engele CM, Locke MN, Richardson LA, Nelson ZW, Hetrick ED, Milac TI, Gottschling DE, et al. 2011. Disorder targets misorder in nuclear quality control degradation: A disordered ubiquitin ligase directly recognizes its misfolded substrates. *Mol Cell* **41**: 93–106.
- Rosser ME, Washburn E, Muchowski PJ, Patterson C, Cyr DM. 2007. Chaperone functions of the E3 ubiquitin ligase CHIP. *J Biol Chem* **282**: 22267–22277.
- Rotin D, Kumar S. 2009. Physiological functions of the HECT family of ubiquitin ligases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**: 398–409.
- Row PE, Liu H, Hayes S, Welchman R, Charalabous P, Hofmann K, Clague MJ, Sanderson CM, Urbe S. 2007. The MIT domain of UBPY constitutes a CHMP binding and endosomal localization signal required for efficient epidermal growth factor receptor degradation. *J Biol Chem* **282**: 30929–30937.
- Zożycki B, Boura E, Hurley JH, Hummer G. 2012. Membrane-elasticity model of Coatless vesicle budding induced by ESCRT complexes. *PLoS Comput Biol* **8**: e1002736.
- Samson RY, Obita T, Freund SM, Williams RL, Bell SD. 2008. A role for the ESCRT system in cell division in archaea. *Science* **322**: 1710–1713.
- Sato Y, Yoshikawa A, Yamagata A, Mimura H, Yamashita M, Ookata K, Nureki O, Iwai K, Komada M, Fukai S. 2008. Structural basis for specific cleavage of Lys 63-linked polyubiquitin chains. *Nature* **455**: 358–362.
- Sato Y, Yoshikawa A, Mimura H, Yamashita M, Yamagata A, Fukai S. 2009. Structural basis for specific recognition of Lys 63-linked polyubiquitin chains by tandem UIMs of RAP80. *EMBO J* **28**: 2461–2468.
- Sato Y, Fujita H, Yoshikawa A, Yamashita M, Yamagata A, Kaiser SE, Iwai K, Fukai S. 2011. Specific recognition of linear ubiquitin chains by the Npl4 zinc finger (NZF) domain of the HOIL-1L subunit of the linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 20520–20525.
- Scott PM, Bilodeau PS, Zhdankina O, Winistorfer SC, Hauglund MJ, Allaman MM, Kearney WR, Robertson AD, Boman AL, Piper RC. 2004. GGA proteins bind ubiquitin to facilitate sorting at the trans-Golgi network. *Nat Cell Biol* **6**: 252–259.
- Sen A, Madhivanan K, Mukherjee D, Aguilar RC. 2012. The epsin protein family: Coordinators of endocytosis and signaling. *Biomol Concepts* **3**: 117–126.
- Sette P, Nagashima K, Piper RC, Bouamr F. 2013. Ubiquitin conjugation to Gag is essential for ESCRT-mediated HIV-1 budding. *Retrovirology* **10**: 79.
- Shea FF, Rowell JL, Li Y, Chang TH, Alvarez CE. 2012. Mammalian α -arrestins link activated seven transmembrane receptors to Nedd4 family E3 ubiquitin ligases and interact with β -arrestins. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e50557.
- Shenoy SK, McDonald PH, Kohout TA, Lefkowitz RJ. 2001. Regulation of receptor fate by ubiquitination of activated β 2-adrenergic receptor and β -arrestin. *Science* **294**: 1307–1313.
- Shields SB, Piper RC. 2011. How ubiquitin functions with ESCRTs. *Traffic* **12**: 1306–1317.
- Shields SB, Oestreich AJ, Winistorfer S, Nguyen D, Payne JA, Katzmann DJ, Piper R. 2009. ESCRT ubiquitin-binding domains function cooperatively during MVB cargo sorting. *J Cell Biol* **185**: 213–224.
- Shih SC, Sloper-Mould KE, Hicke L. 2000. Monoubiquitin carries a novel internalization signal that is appended to activated receptors. *EMBO J* **19**: 187–198.
- Sierra MI, Wright MH, Nash PD. 2010. AMSH interacts with ESCRT-0 to regulate the stability and trafficking of CXCR4. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 13990–14004.
- Sigismund S, Woelk T, Puri C, Maspero E, Tacchetti C, Trandico P, Di Fiore PP, Polo S. 2005. Clathrin-independent endocytosis of ubiquitinated cargos. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **102**: 2760–2765.
- Snyder PM. 2009. Down-regulating destruction: Phosphorylation regulates the E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4-2. *Sci Signal* **2**: pe41.
- Soetens O, De Craene JO, Andre B. 2001. Ubiquitin is required for sorting to the vacuole of the yeast general amino acid permease, Gap1. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 43949–43957.
- Soubeyran P, Kowanetz K, Szymkiewicz I, Langdon WY, Dikic I. 2002. Cbl-CIN85-endophilin complex mediates

Ubiquitin-Dependent Sorting in Endocytosis

- ligand-induced downregulation of EGF receptors. *Nature* **416**: 183–187.
- Stamenova SD, French ME, He Y, Francis SA, Kramer ZB, Hicke L. 2007. Ubiquitin binds to and regulates a subset of SH3 domains. *Mol Cell* **25**: 273–284.
- Stang E, Blystad FD, Kazazic M, Bertelsen V, Brodahl T, Raiborg C, Stenmark H, Madhusu IH. 2004. Cbl-dependent ubiquitination is required for progression of EGF receptors into clathrin-coated pits. *Mol Biol Cell* **15**: 3591–3604.
- Stefani F, Zhang L, Taylor S, Donovan J, Rollinson S, Doyotte A, Brownhill K, Bennion J, Pickering-Brown S, Woodman P. 2011. UBAP1 is a component of an endosome-specific ESCRT-I complex that is essential for MVB sorting. *Curr Biol* **21**: 1245–1250.
- Stringer DK, Piper RC. 2011. A single ubiquitin is sufficient for cargo protein entry into MVBs in the absence of ESCRT ubiquitination. *J Cell Biol* **192**: 229–242.
- Sugiyama S, Kishida S, Chayama K, Koyama S, Kikuchi A. 2005. Ubiquitin-interacting motifs of Epsin are involved in the regulation of insulin-dependent endocytosis. *J Biochem* **137**: 355–364.
- Sundvall M, Korhonen A, Paatero I, Gaudio E, Melino G, Croce CM, Aeilan RI, Elenius K. 2008. Isoform-specific monoubiquitination, endocytosis, and degradation of alternatively spliced ErbB4 isoforms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 4162–4167.
- van de Kooij B, Verbrugge I, de Vries E, Gijzen M, Montserat V, Maas C, Neeffes J, Borst J. 2013. Ubiquitination by the membrane-associated RING-CH-8 (MARCH-8) ligase controls steady-state cell surface expression of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL) receptor 1. *J Biol Chem* **288**: 6617–6628.
- van Kerkhof P, Westgeest M, Hassink G, Strous GJ. 2011. SCF^{TRCP} acts in endosomal sorting of the GH receptor. *Exp Cell Res* **317**: 1071–1082.
- Varshavsky A. 2012. The ubiquitin system, an immense realm. *Annu Rev Biochem* **81**: 167–176.
- Vina-Vilaseca A, Sorkin A. 2010. Lysine 63-linked polyubiquitination of the dopamine transporter requires WW3 and WW4 domains of Nedd4-2 and UBE2D ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 7645–7656.
- Wang S, Thibault G, Ng DT. 2011. Routing misfolded proteins through the multivesicular body (MVB) pathway protects against proteotoxicity. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 29376–29387.
- Wenzel DM, Klevit RE. 2012. Following Ariadne's thread: A new perspective on RBR ubiquitin ligases. *BMC Biol* **10**: 24.
- Wlodarski T, Zagrovic B. 2009. Conformational selection and induced fit mechanism underlie specificity in non-covalent interactions with ubiquitin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 19346–19351.
- Woelk T, Oldrini B, Maspero E, Confalonieri S, Cavallaro E, Di Fiore PP, Polo S. 2006. Molecular mechanisms of coupled monoubiquitination. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**: 1246–1254.
- Wollert T, Wunder C, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hurley JH. 2009. Membrane scission by the ESCRT-III complex. *Nature* **458**: 172–177.
- Wright MH, Berlin I, Nash PD. 2011. Regulation of endocytic sorting by ESCRT-DUB-mediated deubiquitination. *Cell Biochem Biophys* **60**: 39–46.
- Xu L, Lubkov V, Taylor LJ, Bar-Sagi D. 2010. Feedback regulation of Ras signaling by Rabex-5-mediated ubiquitination. *Curr Biol* **20**: 1372–1377.
- Yamazaki Y, Schonherr C, Varshney GK, Dogru M, Hallberg B, Palmer RH. 2013. Goliath family E3 ligases regulate the recycling endosome pathway via VAMP3 ubiquitylation. *EMBO J* **32**: 524–537.
- Yan H, Jahanshahi M, Horvath EA, Liu HY, Pfleger CM. 2010. Rabex-5 ubiquitin ligase activity restricts Ras signaling to establish pathway homeostasis in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* **20**: 1378–1382.
- Ye Y, Blaser G, Horrocks MH, Ruedas-Rama MJ, Ibrahim S, Zhukov AA, Orte A, Klenerman D, Jackson SE, Komander D. 2012. Ubiquitin chain conformation regulates recognition and activity of interacting proteins. *Nature* **492**: 266–270.
- Yogosawa S, Kawasaki M, Wakatsuki S, Kominami E, Shiba Y, Nakayama K, Kohsaka S, Akazawa C. 2006. Mono-ubiquitylation of GGA3 by hVPS18 regulates its ubiquitin-binding ability. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **350**: 82–90.
- Zelcer N, Hong C, Boyadjian R, Tontonoz P. 2009. LXR regulates cholesterol uptake through Idol-dependent ubiquitination of the LDL receptor. *Science* **325**: 100–104.
- Zhang M, Windheim M, Roe SM, Peggie M, Cohen P, Prodromou C, Pearl LH. 2005. Chaperoned ubiquitylation—Crystal structures of the CHIP U box E3 ubiquitin ligase and a CHIP-Ubc13-Uev1a complex. *Mol Cell* **20**: 525–538.
- Zhang L, Xu M, Scotti E, Chen ZJ, Tontonoz P. 2013. Both K63 and K48 ubiquitin linkages signal lysosomal degradation of the LDL receptor. *J Lipid Res* **54**: 1410–1420.
- Zhao Y, Macgurn JA, Liu M, Emr S. 2013. The ART-Rsp5 ubiquitin ligase network comprises a plasma membrane quality control system that protects yeast cells from proteotoxic stress. *Elife* **2**: e00459.
- Zhou R, Patel SV, Snyder PM. 2007. Nedd4-2 catalyzes ubiquitination and degradation of cell surface ENaC. *J Biol Chem* **282**: 20207–20212.
- Zhou R, Tomkovicz VR, Butler PL, Ochoa LA, Peterson ZJ, Snyder PM. 2013. Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 8 (USP8) regulates endosomal trafficking of the epithelial Na⁺ channel. *J Biol Chem* **288**: 5389–5397.
- Zhu L, Wang L, Luo X, Zhang Y, Ding Q, Jiang X, Wang X, Pan Y, Chen Y. 2012. Tollip, an intracellular trafficking protein, is a novel modulator of the transforming growth factor- β signaling pathway. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 39653–39663.
- Zuo W, Huang F, Chiang YJ, Li M, Du J, Ding Y, Zhang T, Lee HW, Jeong LS, Chen Y, et al. 2013. c-Cbl-mediated neddylation antagonizes ubiquitination and degradation of the TGF- β type II receptor. *Mol Cell* **49**: 499–510.

Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology

Ubiquitin-Dependent Sorting in Endocytosis

Robert C. Piper, Ivan Dikic and Gergely L. Lukacs

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2014; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a016808

Subject Collection [Endocytosis](#)

Endocytosis: Past, Present, and Future

Sandra L. Schmid, Alexander Sorkin and Marino Zerial

Rab Proteins and the Compartmentalization of the Endosomal System

Angela Wandinger-Ness and Marino Zerial

Cargo Sorting in the Endocytic Pathway: A Key Regulator of Cell Polarity and Tissue Dynamics

Suzanne Eaton and Fernando Martin-Belmonte

Unconventional Functions for Clathrin, ESCRTs, and Other Endocytic Regulators in the Cytoskeleton, Cell Cycle, Nucleus, and Beyond: Links to Human Disease

Frances M. Brodsky, R. Thomas Sosa, Joel A. Ybe, et al.

Endocytosis of Viruses and Bacteria

Pascale Cossart and Ari Helenius

Lysosomal Adaptation: How the Lysosome Responds to External Cues

Carmine Settembre and Andrea Ballabio

Reciprocal Regulation of Endocytosis and Metabolism

Costin N. Antonescu, Timothy E. McGraw and Amira Klip

Endocytosis and Autophagy: Exploitation or Cooperation?

Sharon A. Tooze, Adi Abada and Zvulun Elazar

Imaging and Modeling the Dynamics of Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis

Marcel Mettlen and Gaudenz Danuser

Endocytic Accessory Factors and Regulation of Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis

Christien J. Merrifield and Marko Kaksonen

The Complex Ultrastructure of the Endolysosomal System

Judith Klumperman and Graça Raposo

The Biogenesis of Lysosomes and Lysosome-Related Organelles

J. Paul Luzio, Yvonne Hackmann, Nele M.G. Dieckmann, et al.

Endocytosis, Signaling, and Beyond

Pier Paolo Di Fiore and Mark von Zastrow

Clathrin-Independent Pathways of Endocytosis

Satyajit Mayor, Robert G. Parton and Julie G. Donaldson

The Role of Endocytosis during Morphogenetic Signaling

Marcos Gonzalez-Gaitan and Frank Jülicher

Role of Endosomes and Lysosomes in Human Disease

Frederick R. Maxfield

For additional articles in this collection, see <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/>

**All Modifications and
Oligo Types Synthesized**

Long Oligos • Fluorescent • Chimeric • DNA • RNA • Antisense

Oligo Modifications?

Your wish is our command.

For additional articles in this collection, see <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/>

**All Modifications and
Oligo Types Synthesized**

Oligo Modifications?
Your wish is our command.

Long Oligos • Fluorescent • Chimeric • DNA • RNA • Antisense