Ultrastructure of Synapses in the Mammalian Brain
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The morphology and molecular composition of synapses provide the structural basis for synaptic function. This article reviews the electron microscopy of excitatory synapses on dendritic spines, using data from rodent hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and cerebellar cortex. Excitatory synapses have a prominent postsynaptic density, in contrast with inhibitory synapses, which have less dense presynaptic or postsynaptic specializations and are usually found on the cell body or proximal dendritic shaft. Immunogold labeling shows that the presynaptic active zone provides a scaffold for key molecules involved in the release of neurotransmitter, whereas the postsynaptic density contains ligand-gated ionic channels, other receptors, and a complex network of signaling molecules. Delineating the structure and molecular organization of these axospinous synapses represents a crucial step toward understanding the mechanisms that underlie synaptic transmission and the dynamic modulation of neurotransmission associated with short- and long-term synaptic plasticity.

The structural basis of information transfer between nerve cells remained obscure until the late 19th century, when neuroanatomists using newly developed silver stains identified axonal boutons that seemed to contact dendrites or dendritic spines of other neurons (Fig. 1) (Shepherd 1995; Guillery 2005). The term “synapse” (from the Greek “to clasp”) was originally suggested to Sherrington by his friend A.W. Verrall, a Greek scholar. However, some still claimed a protoplasmic continuity between presynaptic and postsynaptic elements. This argument between “neuronal” and “reticular” theories was not finally resolved until the introduction of electron microscopy (EM), which enabled direct visualization of the synapse and revealed a cleft separating the presynaptic axon from the postsynaptic dendrite (Fig. 1, inset) (De Robertis and Bennett 1955; Palay and Palade 1955).

Understanding the structure of synapses is a crucial first step in understanding their function. This article addresses the ultrastructure and subcellular composition of synapses in the mammalian brain, as defined by high-resolution electron microscopy (EM). Examples are drawn mainly from rodent hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and cerebellum. Gray (1959) identified two main categories of synapses: type I or asymmetric (later shown to be glutamatergic...
and excitatory), and type II or symmetric (later shown to be inhibitory). Here we focus mainly on the asymmetric synapses on dendritic spines. For contrast, some data are also presented from inhibitory and neuromodulatory synapses. Where possible, we integrate structural descriptions with discussion of key synaptic molecules. For additional description and images, see Peters et al. (1991) and online atlases at http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/atlas/contents.stm, http://ccdb.ucsd.edu/index.shtml, and http://www.drjastrow.de/EMAtlasE.html.
Excitatory synapses are found mainly on dendrites and dendritic spines, whereas inhibitory synapses concentrate on the cell soma and axonal initial segment, with a sparse distribution along both spiny and nonspiny dendritic shafts. Dendrites, axons, and astroglial processes form a fine felt-like mesh—the neuropil—where most of the synaptic interactions occur. Serial-section electron micrographs from ultrathin (∼50 nm) sections of neuropil from the rat hippocampus illustrate dendrites, axons, and astroglial processes (Fig. 2A); within this complex neuropil are a large number of excitatory asymmetric synapses (Fig. 2B–D) and a smaller number of inhibitory symmetric synapses (Fig. 2E,F). This article describes synaptic structure in functional sequence from presynaptic axons to postsynaptic dendritic spines.

PRESYNAPTIC COMPONENTS

Axonal Boutons

The excitatory axospinous synapses in the stratum radiatum (s. radiatum) of hippocampal area CA1 are typical of synapses formed by the thin, often unmyelinated axons that predominate throughout the brain. Most of the presynaptic axons originate from CA3 pyramidal cells and synapse with spines extending from the apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal cells. Individual axons weaving throughout the complex neuropil form presynaptic boutons that contain neurotransmitter-filled vesicles (Fig. 3A,B). The large majority (∼75%) of these vesicle-containing boutons make a single synaptic contact, ∼21% form multiple synapses, and ∼4% lack a postsynaptic partner (Shepherd and Harris 1998; Sorra et al. 2006). Under conditions of rapid synaptogenesis in the mature hippocampus, new postsynaptic partners synapse with existing presynaptic boutons, giving rise to more multisynaptic boutons and avoiding the need to generate presynaptic boutons de novo (Kirov et al. 1999, 2004; Yankova et al. 2001; Petrak et al. 2005). It is not known whether multisynaptic boutons play a significant role during developmental synaptogenesis.

Other axons throughout the brain form distinct types of synapses. For example, the mossy fiber axons arising from granule cells of the dentate gyrus that terminate on the proximal dendrites of area CA3 pyramidal cells have very large presynaptic boutons, each synapsing with multiple dendritic spines (Fig. 3C). These boutons also form small thin protrusions that synapse on the shafts of nonspiny interneurons (Amaral and Dent 1981; Lawrence and McBain 2003). Axons in the cerebellar cortex form a variety of synapses (Palay and Chan-Palay 1974). Cerebellar granule cells give rise to a single parallel fiber, which makes axospinous synapses with numerous Purkinje cell dendritic spines; in contrast, a single climbing fiber (originating from the inferior olive) forms numerous synaptic boutons along the proximal dendritic shaft of a single Purkinje cell (Xu-Friedman et al. 2001). Specialized contacts on the dendrites of cerebellar granule cells are termed “synaptic glomeruli”; each glomerulus is characterized by an exceptionally large presynaptic bouton synapsing with multiple postsynaptic dendrites.

The Active Zone

The active zone (AZ) is a specialized region on the presynaptic plasma membrane, where synaptic vesicles are docked and primed for release (Heuser and Reese 1977; Landis et al. 1988; Sudhof 1995). The AZ is aligned in registry with the postsynaptic density. In electron micrographs, it can be recognized by the increased electron density of the presynaptic membrane in this region. Associated with the AZ are cytoplasmic “dense projections,” apparently organized into a presynaptic grid (Fig. 4A); the status of these structures is uncertain, perhaps because they are variable and sensitive to fixation and processing (Gray 1963; Pfenninger et al. 1972; Landis et al. 1988; Siksou et al. 2007). At some synapses (e.g.,
Figure 2. Synaptic neuropil. (A) Serial section EM images from the CA1 field of adult rat hippocampus are color-coded to illustrate the felt-like neuropil, which is dominated by excitatory axons (green) and spiny dendrites (yellow). One nonspiny dendrite (brown, also NS in panel D) and pieces of four inhibitory axons (orange) are visible in these sections, typical of their sparse distribution in the neuropil. Several thin astroglial processes (light blue) interdigitate among the axons and dendrites. (B) Asymmetric synapse with a macular PSD (green arrow) on a medium-size dendritic spine (sp). (C) PSD (green arrows) perforated by an electron-lucent region (red arrow) on a large dendritic spine (sp) with some SER at its base. (D) Asymmetric synapses (green arrows) on the shaft of a nonspiny dendrite (ns) containing a mitochondrion (mito) have comparable thicknesses to the macular and perforated PSDs on dendritic spines in the same field. (E) Adjacent sections through a symmetric synapse (orange arrows) on a spiny dendritic shaft (the arrow in E2 points to a presynaptic docked vesicle). (F) Adjacent sections through another symmetric synapse (orange arrows) formed by the same axon as in E, but on a different spiny dendritic shaft. The 1-μm scale bar in section 139 is for the neuropil series in A; the 1-μm scale bar in C is for panels B–F.
Figure 3. Presynaptic axons. (A) Three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions of CA3-to-CA1 axons (Schaffer collaterals). (B) 3D reconstructions of axons with vesicles (yellow) and associated postsynaptic partners (dendritic spine, gray; PSD surface, red). Typical single synaptic boutons (SSB) have a single postsynaptic partner, multisynaptic boutons (MSB) have more than one postsynaptic partner, and nonsynaptic boutons (NSB) contain vesicles but have no postsynaptic partners. Also illustrated are small dense core vesicles (dcvs, dark blue), mitochondria (mito, pale blue), and a multivesicular body (mvb, dark green with brown vesicles). (C1) 3D reconstruction of a proximal CA3 pyramidal cell dendrite (blue) and a large mossy fiber bouton (translucent yellow), which contains numerous mitochondria (yellow) and vesicles (green). The cut-away in C2 shows synapses (red) onto multiple dendritic spines, some of which are highly branched. The bouton also forms nonsynaptic cell adhesion junctions (fuchsia). (D) Electron micrograph through inhibitory presynaptic boutons (purple) that form symmetric synapses (red arrows) on the soma of a CA1 pyramidal cell. Scale bar, 500 nm. (E) 3D reconstruction of four complete inhibitory synaptic boutons (purple) interspersed with glial processes (green) on the surface of a CA1 pyramidal cell soma (gray). The magnifications of all 3D images in A–C have been rescaled to match the 1-μm scale bar in E. (Panel A is from Shepherd and Harris 1998; reprinted, with permission, from the authors; B is from Sorra et al. 2006; reprinted, with permission, from the authors; the image in panel C is modified from a supplemental movie by Rollenhagen et al. 2007; reprinted, with permission, from the Journal of Neuroscience © 2007; panels D and E are from Ledoux and Woolley 2005; reprinted, with permission, from the Journal of Neuroscience © 2005.)
Figure 4. Presynaptic active zone (AZ) and vesicles. (A) Presynaptic dense projections (dp), a postsynaptic density (PSD), and the “intercleft line” (iL) at cerebellar synapses, revealed by ethanolic phosphotungstic acid. (B) Two serial sections through a parallel fiber synapse on a dendritic spine (Sp) in cerebellar cortex illustrate docked synaptic vesicles (green), which have no cytoplasm between the membrane of the vesicle and the AZ membrane (red arrows). (See facing page for legend.)
photoceptors, hair cells), the dense projections form a specialized “synaptic ribbon” also thought to dock and prime vesicles for release (LoGiudice and Matthews 2009). Recent work with cryoelectron tomography in tissue culture and organotypic slices suggests that the complex network of filaments in the AZ changes dimensions during release, further supporting its role in vesicle mobilization and release (Fernandez-Busnadiego et al. 2010).

Vesicles in Axonal Boutons

Presynaptic boutons of excitatory synapses contain round, clear vesicles (~35 nm diameter) loaded with the neurotransmitter glutamate (Harris and Sultan 1995; Qu et al. 2009). Vesicles distributed throughout the presynaptic bouton compose a “reserve” pool distinct from the anatomically docked vesicles that contact the presynaptic membrane at the AZ (Fig. 4B,C). Neurotransmitter is released from a docked vesicle into the synaptic cleft. Vesicles docked at the presynaptic active zone are often smaller than nondocked vesicles, as if some of their contents had been released at the time of fixation (Harris and Sultan 1995). After release, the vesicular membrane is recycled via clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Fig. 4C) or via bulk endocytosis, especially with strong stimulation (Clayton and Cousin 2009; Royle and Lagnado 2010).

Presynaptic boutons can also contain other vesicles of diverse shapes, sizes, and content (Torrealba and Carrasco 2004). In addition to the typical small synaptic vesicles, some excitatory glutamatergic synapses also contain larger clear vesicles (>60 nm), consistent with the occurrence of “giant” miniature EPSCs at these synapses (Fig. 4D) (Henze et al. 2002). In addition to the clear vesicles, some glutamatergic axonal varicosities contain dense core vesicles (~80 nm, DCV) among the pool of clear vesicles (Fig. 4E). A few (1–10) DCVs are present in ~20% of mature presynaptic boutons in CA1 (Sorra et al. 2006). The outer membranes of these DCVs label with antibodies to proteins like Piccolo and Bassoon (Fig. 4H), which also concentrate at the AZ (Fig. 4H). Similar immunolabeled vesicles are common along axons in the developing nervous system, suggesting that

Figure 4. (Continued) The small black arrow points to a vesicle close to the membrane, but not docked. (C) Hippocampal CA3→CA1 synapse; note the docked vesicle (curved arrow, red arrow) and a presynaptic endocytic zone identified by a coated pit (straight arrow). (D) Large clear vesicles (arrows) and a DCV (red arrowhead) at the presynaptic AZ, and nondocked small synaptic vesicles in the reserve pool (arrowheads) of a CA3 mossy fiber bouton synapsing with a large dendritic spine (SP). (E) Small DCVs (red arrowheads) colocalize with small clear vesicles at a hippocampal CA1 spine synapse; and pre-embedding immunogold labeled for Piccolo (F) and Bassoon (G). (H) Bassoon localized to the AZ. (I) Post-embedding immunogold labeling for the big potassium channel (BK) located at the presynaptic active zone of a synapse on a small dendritic spine in s. radiatum of area CA1 (double-headed red arrow). (J) Positions of gold particles were compiled from the postsynaptic to presynaptic side; the glutamatergic NMDA receptor concentrated on the postsynaptic side, whereas BK channels concentrated on the presynaptic side (gold particles for NMDA are not visible in 1). (K) Post-embedding immunogold labeling for CAST. Gold particles have been colored red for emphasis; original version of boxed region is shown in C. (M) Quantitative distribution of CAST with distance (in nanometers) from the synaptic active zone (delimited beneath the PSD by triangles and line) of a large CA1 dendritic spine. (O) Silver-enhanced immunogold labeling for the cell adhesion molecule β-catenin, at the edge of an AZ on a cerebellar dendritic spines. All images have been scaled to match the 100-nm bar in C. (Panel A is adapted from van der Want et al. 1984; reprinted, with permission, from Elsevier © 1984; panel B is from Xu-Friedman et al. 2001; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel C is from Harris and Sultan 1995; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel D is from Henze et al. 2002; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel E is from Sorra et al. 2006; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel F is from Zhai et al. 2001; panels G and H are from Tao-Cheng 2007; reprinted, with permission, from Elsevier © 2001; panels I and J are from Hu et al. 2001; reprinted, with permission, from the Journal of Neuroscience © 2001; panels K–M are modified from Siksou et al. 2007; panel N is from Spacek and Harris 1998; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel O is modified from Uchida et al. 1996.)
these DCVs are a local source of new AZs (Ahmari and Smith 2002; Ziv and Garner 2004). In support of this hypothesis, DCVs are lost from presynaptic axonal boutons during rapid synaptogenesis in the mature hippocampus, as would be expected if they had been used to generate new AZ sites (Sorra et al. 2006).

Inhibitory presynaptic boutons contain slightly smaller vesicles of both round and flattened shapes in aldehyde-fixed tissue (Fig. 2E,F). Rapid freezing reveals rounder vesicles in the symmetric synapses (Tatsuoka and Reese 1989), suggesting that differences in the subvesicular composition of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic vesicles may render those at symmetric synapses more susceptible to shape changes during aldehyde fixation. The vesicles at symmetric synapses usually contain the neurotransmitters GABA or glycine. Inhibitory synapses are most abundant at the neuronal soma and along proximal dendritic shafts. Inhibitory synapses are also interspersed among the excitatory synapses along dendrites; for example, \( \sim 5\% - 10\% \) of the synapses along a dendrite in stratum radiatum of area CA1 are inhibitory. Inhibitory synapses are sometimes found at the axon hillock, where their activation might provide especially potent inhibition. In some brain regions, inhibitory synapses can also be found on the necks of dendritic spines (Wilson et al. 1983; Dehay et al. 1991; Knott et al. 2002). Some neuropeptides, as well as aminergic neurotransmitters, are typically packaged in large (\( \sim 100 \) nm) dense core vesicles (Bauerfeind et al. 1995; Torrealba and Carrasco 2004; Crivellato et al. 2005), although neuropeptides have also been detected in the cytoplasm surrounding pleomorphic vesicles of inhibitory synapses (Harris et al. 1985).

Other Axonal Components

Microtubules are cytoskeletal elements that interact with dynein- and kinesin-based motors to transport vesicles, mitochondria, smooth endoplasmic reticulum, and endosomal compartments along axons. Microtubules are obvious along axons (e.g., see http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/atlas/1_1_8intro.stm) but are uncommon within central synaptic boutons, perhaps because the calcium transients associated with transmitter release cause their depolymerization under normal conditions (Weisenberg 1972; Gray 1975; Weisenberg and Deery 1981; Gray et al. 1982; O’Brien et al. 1997). Similar activity-dependent effects may explain their absence in dendritic spines (see below), in contrast to the transient formation of microtubules in spines during early development and when synapses are completely inactive (Westrum et al. 1980; Fiala et al. 2003).

Mitochondria are distributed heterogeneously along axons and in presynaptic boutons. In hippocampal CA1, for example, only 41% of presynaptic boutons contain mitochondria (e.g., Fig. 3B) (Shepherd and Harris 1998). In contrast, some large boutons each contain many mitochondria (e.g., Fig. 3C). Sorting endosomes are associated with multivesicular bodies (see Fig. 3B, top axon) that transport dysfunctional proteins and membrane from presynaptic boutons back to the soma for degradation. Recent work in cultured hippocampal axons shows that local protein synthesis is needed for vesicular release (Sebeo et al. 2009), but polyribosomes are uncommon in presynaptic boutons in the CNS. In squid giant axons, the local protein synthesis machinery appears to derive from the ensheathing glia (Crispino et al. 1997; Eyman et al. 2007); whether persynaptic astroglial processes are a source of local synthesis at mammalian synapses remains an open question (Chicurel et al. 1993).

Molecular Anatomy of the Active Zone

Extensive research has focused on the biochemistry of presynaptic vesicles and transmitter release (Sudhof 1995, 2004; Schoch and Gundelfinger 2006; Takamori et al. 2006; Rizzoli and Jahn 2007). Notwithstanding recent dramatic advances in super-resolution light microscopy (Willig et al. 2006; Balaji and Ryan 2007; Dani et al. 2010), immuno-EM remains the standard method to investigate the nanoscale distribution of proteins within the presynaptic bouton. The most common technique uses peroxidase-based enzymatic amplification...
to generate an electron-dense diaminobenzidine reaction product. However, diffusion of the product typically leads to a localization uncertainty of \( \approx 100 \) nm. In this article, we focus on anatomical results based on immunogold methods, which provide a more quantitative and spatially accurate signal.

The cytomatrix proteins Bassoon and Piccolo concentrate at the AZ (Fig. 4H) (Zhai et al. 2001; Siksou et al. 2007; Tao-Cheng 2007). Voltage-, ligand-, and calcium-gated channels and transporters have also been detected in the AZ by immunogold labeling (e.g., the BK channel) (Fig. 4I,J), consistent with their functions in synaptic transmission (He et al. 2000; Miner et al. 2000, 2003; Hu et al. 2001; Tamaru et al. 2001; Darstein et al. 2003; Kulik et al. 2004; Nyiri et al. 2005; Serwanski et al. 2006; Tremblay et al. 2007; Furness et al. 2008; Ladera et al. 2008; Melone et al. 2009; Needleman et al. 2010). Several studies have provided immunogold evidence for other proteins at the active zone that may play a direct role in transmitter release, including CAST (Fig. 4K–M) and RIM1 (Hagiwara et al. 2005; Tao-Cheng 2006). Surprisingly, the t-SNARE-related proteins syntaxin and SNAP-25 show no obvious enrichment at the AZ (Hagiwara et al. 2005). Most of the membrane-bound proteins found in the AZ can also be detected away from it. In fact, a few receptors (e.g., mGluR3) (Tamaru et al. 2001) and most of the neurotransmitter transporters (He et al. 2000; Miner et al. 2000, 2003; Chen et al. 2002; Melone et al. 2009) are found at the presynaptic membrane close to the synaptic region but may be selectively excluded from the AZ itself.

Beyond the region of the active zone, immunogold data on proteins within presynaptic boutons from mammalian forebrain are quite limited, although one may extrapolate from more tractable models like the giant synapse of the lamprey (Bloom et al. 2003; Brodin and Shupliakov 2006). PICK1, synuclein, and CaMKII\(\alpha\) have been reported within the presynaptic cytoplasm of hippocampal neurons (Tao-Cheng et al. 2006; Tao-Cheng 2007; Haglerod et al. 2009). Different types of filaments appear to link presynaptic vesicles (Siksou et al. 2007, 2009). The chemical identity of these filaments remains uncertain, although both synapsin and actin are probably involved (Hirokawa 1989; Doussau and Augustine 2000).

THE SYNAPTIC CLEFT

The synaptic cleft is a widening (~20 nm) in the apposition between the presynaptic axon and its postsynaptic partner. Consistent with classical reports, recent ultrastructural work on quick-frozen hydrated material emphasizes that this widening is not really a “space,” but is instead packed with electron-dense material (Lucic et al. 2005; Zuber et al. 2005). The cleft appears to contain both standard extracellular matrix proteins and specialized synaptic proteins (Dityatev et al. 2010), but little direct immunogold evidence is available, except for the secreted pentraxins Narp and NP1 (O’Brien et al. 1999; Xu et al. 2003).

The synapse is a highly specialized adhesive junction. It is thus not surprising that several classes of adhesion molecules have also been identified at the synapse, including proteins of the immunoglobulin superfamily, integrins, neuroligin/neurexins, and ephrin/ephrineceptors (Gerrow and El-Husseini 2006; Craig and Kang 2007; Dalva et al. 2007; Shapiro et al. 2007; Dityatev et al. 2008; Togashi et al. 2009; Woo et al. 2009; Zipursky and Sanes 2010). Beyond their role in structural organization and maintenance of the synapse, many of these adhesion molecules play a special role in synaptogenesis and/or in long-term synaptic plasticity. Immunogold labeling has detected many adhesion molecules at or near synaptic membranes; however, even immunogold methods cannot readily resolve whether the labeling is strictly presynaptic, strictly postsynaptic, or both. Proteins for which immunogold data are available include NCAM (Fux et al. 2003), nectin (which associates with the actin-binding protein afadin and the synaptic scaffold molecule S-SCAM) (Nishioka et al. 2000; Mizoguchi et al. 2002; Yamada et al. 2003), cadherins and protocadherins (Fannon and Colman 1996; Phillips et al. 2003; Petralia et al. 2005; Huntley et al. 2010; Li et al. 2010), \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-catenin (Uchida et al. 1996; Petralia et al. 2005, 2010), neuroligin/
neurexin family members (Song et al. 1999; Pentryla et al. 2005; Taniguchi et al. 2007; Kasugai et al. 2010), ephrins/Eph receptors (Buchert et al. 1999; Tremblay et al. 2007), NGL (Kim et al. 2006), SALM (Seabold et al. 2008), and CASK, which can bind to syndecan, a heparan sulfate proteoglycan on the cell surface (Hsueh et al. 1998). Some of these proteins concentrate just outside the synaptic specialization, consistent with ultrastructural observations of puncta adherens seen at the edges of synapses (Fig. 4N) (Spacek and Harris 1998; Latefi and Colman 2007); thus, for example, immunogold labeling for αN- and β-catenin concentrates at puncta adherens near the edges of synapses (Fig. 4O).

POSTSYNAPTIC DENDRITIC SPINES

Dendritic spines vary greatly in their dimensions, not only across brain regions (Table 1), but even along short segments of a single dendrite. For example, spines along Purkinje cell dendrites (which synapse in the molecular layer with parallel fibers) all have similar “lollipop” shapes, with a bulbous head on a constricted neck (Fig. 5A). In contrast, dendritic spines in

Figure 5. Postsynaptic dendritic spines. (A) 3D reconstruction of cerebellar Purkinje cell dendrite; note the relatively uniform shape of the dendritic spines (arrows). (B) 3D reconstruction of hippocampal CA1 dendrite, showing immature filopodia-like (f) and stubby (st) protrusions on the same segment as spines with mushroom (m) and thin (t) shapes. Scale, 1 μm; the red surfaces are the PSD areas for both A and B. (C) The area of the PSD at hippocampal synapses is strongly correlated with both the volume of the spine (left y-axis) and the number of vesicles in the presynaptic boutons (right y-axis). (Panels A and B are from http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/anatomy/compare/compare.stm; reprinted, with permission, from J. Spacek; panel C is from Lisman and Harris 1993; reprinted, with permission, from the author.)
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hippocampus are much more variable in shape; even neighboring protrusions can vary from immature “filopodia-like” to mature mushroom-shaped spines (Fig. 5B) (Bourne and Harris 2010). In both regions, the size of the spine head correlates remarkably well with the number of presynaptic vesicles (Fig. 5C).

Table 1 summarizes spine dimensions and densities based on 3D reconstructions of dendritic segments from serial-section EM. The average dendritic spine on a cerebellar Purkinje cell is about twice as big (0.12 $\mu$m$^3$) as the average spine on a hippocampal pyramidal cell in the s. radiatum of CA1 (0.054 $\mu$m$^3$), but not in s. lacunosum-moleculare (0.11 $\mu$m$^3$). However, the range in spine volumes is much greater in area CA1 (0.003–0.56 $\mu$m$^3$) than in cerebellum (0.06–0.18 $\mu$m$^3$). The distributions are not normal but highly skewed, and additional quantitative data would provide further insight. For example, the CA1 hippocampus (the brain region with the largest available body of quantitative data) contains numerous small spines and a few very large spines that contain dendritic core structures such as polyribosomes and are associated with enlarged synapses following long-term potentiation (e.g., Bourne and Harris 2010). Whether the difference in range of dendritic spine sizes between cerebellum and hippocampus reflects an underlying difference in capacity for structural plasticity remains an open question.

Ultrastructure of the Postsynaptic Density

The most prominent postsynaptic component of excitatory synapses is the postsynaptic density (PSD), identified as a fuzzy electron-dense structure extending $\approx 35–50$ nm into the cytoplasm beneath the plasma membrane at asymmetric synapses (Gulley and Reese 1981; Landis and Reese 1983). The reconstructed surface of small synapses is usually regular (although not truly circular) and becomes more complex with size; many of the largest synapses are “perforated” by interior holes devoid of PSD material (Figs. 2, 5). The electron-dense fuzz that defines the PSD is apposed to the postsynaptic membrane in tight registry with the presynaptic active zone, likely reflecting direct trans-synaptic interactions. The degree of presynaptic and postsynaptic thickening varies greatly even among excitatory or inhibitory synapses in the same brain region (Colonnier 1968) (see also http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/anatomy/chemical/colh.htm). PSD thickness is also sensitive to experimental manipulations (e.g., hypoxia or intense stimulation) that impact the subcellular organization of constituent proteins (Hu et al. 1998; Otmakhov et al. 2004; Tao-Cheng et al. 2007). The surface area of the PSD correlates nearly perfectly with spine head volume and the total number of presynaptic vesicles (Fig. 5C), and with the number of vesicles docked at the AZ (Harris and Stevens 1988b; Lisman and Harris 1993; Harris and Sultan 1995; Schikorski and Stevens 2001).

Molecular Anatomy of the PSD

The PSD contains a variety of receptors, scaffolding proteins, and signaling complexes involved in synaptic transmission and plasticity (see Sheng and Kim 2011). The biochemically isolated PSD has a molecular weight of $\approx 1$ billion daltons (Chen et al. 2005), and proteomic studies have identified hundreds of proteins in the PSD fractions. Antibodies have been raised to many of these proteins, but the dense matrix of the PSD limits antibody access, and extensive noncovalent protein–protein linkages interfere with antibody binding (Fukaya and Watanabe 2000; Burette et al. 2001). Thus, the standard “pre-embedding” technique, which relies on silver enhancement of tiny ($\approx 1$ nm) gold particles conjugated to a secondary antibody, may give a misleading impression that antigen is sparse or absent from the PSD, although the technique is effective for detecting nonsynaptic protein. Several strategies have been developed to address the problem. We first focus on proteins that have been found in the PSD with immunogold labeling via post-embedding methods, in which the immune reaction is performed directly on the EM grid. By exposing antibody only to the surface of the thin section, this method provides equal access to all tissue compartments exposed by the knife, allowing an unbiased
Table 1. Dendritic spine and synapse dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain region (cell/spine type)</th>
<th>Spine density (#/µm dendrite)</th>
<th>Spine volume (µm³ or fl)</th>
<th>Spine surface area (µm²)</th>
<th>PSD area (µm²)</th>
<th>Neck diameter (µm)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult rats</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1, SR</td>
<td>2.89&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.054&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.63**</td>
<td>0.069&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Harris and Stevens (1988); Megias et al. (2001); Cooney et al. (2002); Bourne et al. (2007b); Popov et al. (2007); Nicholson and Geinisman (2009); Mishchenko et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1, SL-M</td>
<td>0.81&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11**</td>
<td>0.90±&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.166&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Megias et al. (2001); Donohue et al. (2006); Nicholson and Geinisman (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 (thorny excrescences)</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.15***</td>
<td>(0.06–0.18)</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.18**</td>
<td>0.44–1.3**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chiciurel and Harris (1992); Rollenhagen et al. (2007); Stewart et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum (Purkinje cells)</td>
<td>4.87±&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (2.0–14.3)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12±&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (0.04–0.33)</td>
<td>1.22±&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (0.04–0.33)</td>
<td>0.04–0.36&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.20±&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (0.09–0.31)</td>
<td>Harris and Stevens (1988); Lu et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum (medium spiny neurons)</td>
<td>2.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.2&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Wilson et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral amygdala</td>
<td>2.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.2&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (SA&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ostroff et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult mice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>0.038 ± 0.036**</td>
<td>(0.06–0.42)</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.36**</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.06**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schikorski and Stevens (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.06**</td>
<td>(0.06–0.42)</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.36**</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.06**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spacek and Hartmann (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual cortex</td>
<td>0.15±&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (0.01–0.81)</td>
<td>2.08±&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (0.48–4.76)</td>
<td>1.05±&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (0.01–0.69)</td>
<td>0.20±&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (0.09–0.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spacek and Hartmann (1983); Arellano et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrel cortex</td>
<td>0.45±&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;–0.83&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(0.015–0.77)</td>
<td>(0.36–4.24)</td>
<td>(0.006–0.64)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Knott et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piriform cortex</td>
<td>0.10&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(0.015–0.77)</td>
<td>(0.36–4.24)</td>
<td>(0.006–0.64)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schikorski and Stevens (1999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Median of the means reported in cited studies.
<sup>b</sup> Mean.
<sup>c</sup> SD.
<sup>d</sup> Mean ± SEM (range).
<sup>e</sup> Mean was calculated across all spine types from the means and sample sizes reported in Nicholson and Geinisman (2009; Table 3).
<sup>f</sup> Mean ± SD was calculated from data reported in Rollenhagen et al. (2007; Table 1) to include all thorny excrescences from two age groups (P28 and P90–120).
estimate of antigen distribution. Alternatively, the immunogold reaction can be performed directly on biochemically isolated PSDs (“immunogold-PSD”); the disruption associated with biochemical purification exposes antigen to the reagents and allows high sensitivity and specificity, although exposure is limited to the exterior surfaces. A recently developed method provides similar advantages by performing the immunoreaction on a specially prepared freeze-fractured replica (“SDS-FRL”) as discussed below.

Proteins in the PSD show a laminar distribution in the orthogonal (“axo-dendritic”) axis when immunolabeled on ultrathin sections (Fig. 6A–C) (Valtschanoff and Weinberg 2001; Petersen et al. 2003), as confirmed by super-resolution light microscopy (Dani et al. 2010). The exterior face of the PSD is rich in neurotransmitter receptors and trans-synaptic adhesion molecules embedded within the plasma membrane. Beneath the receptors resides a dense matrix of proteins, including scaffold, actin-binding, and downstream signaling molecules. Ionotropic glutamate receptors concentrate in the plasma membrane at the PSD (for review, see Ottersen and Landsend 1997; Nusser 2000; Darstein et al. 2003; Masugi-Tokita and Shigemoto 2007). AMPAR labeling is directly proportional to PSD area, whereas the relationship between NMDAR labeling and PSD area is much weaker, implying that the smallest synapses may lack AMPARs completely (Nusser et al. 1998; Kharazia and Weinberg 1999; Takumi et al. 1999; Racca et al. 2000). Axospinous synapses on Purkinje cells appear to lack NMDARs but express high levels of the orphan receptors δ1 and δ2 (Zhao et al. 1998). Functional AMPARs are tightly linked to accessory proteins including the Stargazin family of transmembrane AMPA regulatory proteins (TARPs) (Fukaya et al. 2006). A few other membrane-bound receptors concentrate within the PSD (e.g., TrkB) (Petralia et al. 2005). Interestingly, NMDA receptors may be localized more centrally than AMPARs, whereas group I metabotropic glutamate receptors concentrate in an annulus just outside the edge of the PSD (Luían et al. 1996; Kharazia and Weinberg 1997; Kennedy 2000; Racca et al. 2000). Post-embedding immunogold has also detected P2X receptors at the PSD (Rubio and Soto 2001).

The best known group of scaffold proteins lying within the postsynaptic density is the PSD-95 family of MAGUK proteins, including PSD-95, PSD-93, SAP102, and SAP97 (Kim and Sheng 2004; Feng and Zhang 2009). MAGUKs contain several PDZ domains, an SH3 domain, and an (enzymatically dead) guanylate kinase domain; each domain has characteristic binding partners. The scaffold proteins concentrate in a zone 10–20 nm inside the plasma membrane (Fig. 6A,B) (Sans et al. 2000; Valtschanoff et al. 2000; Valtschanoff and Weinberg 2001) and are fairly uniformly distributed tangentially along the synaptic membrane, except for SAP97, which concentrates at the edge of the synapse and is thought to interact selectively with the AMPAR subunit GluA1. Recent results using the immunogold-PSD technique confirm these conclusions within the isolated PSD (DeGiorgis et al. 2006; Swulius et al. 2010). PSD-95 was originally thought to play a special role in anchoring NMDARs, but more recent evidence suggests that PSD-95 may be at least as important in regulating the surface expression of AMPARs (Schnell et al. 2002; Ehrlich and Malinow 2004).

Two other multi-PDZ proteins, GRIP (also known as GRIP1) and ABP (or GRIP2), concentrate at the PSD (Srivastava et al. 1998; Wyszynski et al. 1999). Both were originally thought to anchor AMPARs at the PSD, but current evidence points to a role in AMPAR trafficking, as suggested also by the considerable cytoplasmic immunogold labeling. The single PDZ-domain protein PICK1, also implicated in AMPAR trafficking, is located mainly in the cytoplasmic portion of the PSD (Haglerod et al. 2009). The GKAP/SAPAP proteins, which can bind to MAGUK family scaffolds, also lie in this intermediate zone of the PSD (Fig. 6A–C) (Naisbitt et al. 1997; Petralia et al. 2005), as do CRIP and IRSp53, two other MAGUK interacting proteins (Niethammer et al. 1998; Choi et al. 2005). Coupling to the SAPAP proteins is the Shank family of scaffold proteins, which lies on the cytoplasmic margin of the PSD (Naisbitt et al. 1999; Petralia et al. 2005). Shank proteins, in turn, can bind to the Homer family (associated
Figure 6. Molecular anatomy of the PSD. (A) Post-embedding immunogold labeling for synaptophysin (SYN), a presynaptic vesicle-associated protein, and seven postsynaptic proteins: NMDA receptor (NR2), PSD-95, neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS), cysteine-rich interactor of PDZ three (CRIPT), guanylate kinase-associated protein (GKAP), Shank, and dynein light chain (DLC). (See facing page for legend.)
with metabotropic glutamate receptors) and concentrate on the cytoplasmic side of the PSD (Petralia et al. 2005). Together, these multidiomain scaffold proteins compose the structural “core” of the PSD (Chen et al. 2008).

Numerous other proteins within the PSD matrix are probably involved in downstream signaling (Kennedy et al. 2005). Enzymes for which direct immunogold evidence shows an association with the PSD include the kinases CaMKIIa and ErbB4 (Fig. 6D) (Petersen et al. 2003; Petralia et al. 2005) and the tyrosine kinase interactor liprin-α (Wyszynski et al. 2002); additionally, the phosphatases spinophilin, neurabin, and protein phosphatase-1 occur in the PSD (Muly et al. 2004a,b; Bordelon et al. 2005), although these enzymes are also present in the spine cytoplasm. Several small GTPases have been implicated in synaptic function; immunogold has identified Rab family GTPases (Gerges et al. 2004; Brown et al. 2005); the small GTPase activating proteins SynGAP, GIT1, and SPAR (Ko et al. 2003; Petralia et al. 2005); and the GTPase exchange factors Tiam1 and BRAG1 (Tolias et al. 2005; Sakagami et al. 2008; Sanda et al. 2009) in the PSD; likewise, most of these are also in the spine cytoplasm. Most of these molecules appear to play a role in synaptic plasticity, as does Arc and the putative synapse-to-nucleus signaling molecule AIDA-1 (Moga et al. 2004; Jacob et al. 2010). There is also immunogold evidence for several PSD-associated proteins linked to the actin cytoskeleton including α-actinin, cofilin, and cortactin (Wyszynski et al. 1998; Racz and Weinberg 2004, 2006), as well as the dynein subunit DLC and the kinesin KIF1 (Naisbitt et al. 2000; Shin et al. 2003).

Molecular Anatomy beyond the PSD

A host of other ligand-gated receptors and channels have been identified by pre-embedding methods, mainly concentrated along the spine plasma membrane, away from the PSD (but as noted above, these methods may fail to detect protein within the PSD), including dopamine and 5-HT receptors, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, and EphA receptors (Miner et al. 2000, 2003; Riad et al. 2000; Fabian-Fine et al. 2001; Tremblay et al. 2007; Duffy et al. 2009). New data come from the “SDS-FRL” approach (sodium dodecyl sulfate-freeze-fracture replica labeling), in which freeze-fractured tissue is coated with an inert film of platinum and carbon (to protect proteins embedded in the membrane from subsequent digestion in hot SDS, which removes tissue from the back of the platinum-carbon replica) before immunolabeling (Fig. 6E). Besides providing superior sensitivity, the SDS-FRL technique is highly specific; in fact, a number of proteins have been successfully immunolabeled only with SDS-FRL. The method provides a powerful tool for study of integral membrane proteins, such as receptors (e.g., the data shown in Fig. 6E,G are from a quantitative study showing asymmetry in glutamate receptor subtype expression at hippocampal synapses [Shinohara et al. 2008]), but

Figure 6. (Continued) (B) The diagram illustrates supramolecular organization of the PSD. Location of each ellipsoid is according to mean immunogold positions, ellipsoid volumes are proportional to the molecular weight of each protein, and contacts are shown between proteins known to interact biochemically. (C) Axodendritic positions relative to the postsynaptic plasma membrane (0 nm on the x-axis) of gold particles coding for three of these proteins (PSD-95, CRIP1, and GKAP). (D) “Immunogold-PSD” labeling for CaMKIIα (orange) on a biochemically isolated PSD (green) viewed en face (top) and with EM tomography from the side, where the postsynaptic membrane (PM) is at the bottom. (E) The schematic illustrates methods for SDS-FRL: Freeze-fracture follows the hydrophobic interior of the plasma membrane, and proteins pull to either the exoplasmic (E-face) or the cytoplasmic (P-face). After application of a thin platinum/carbon film, the material is digested in hot SDS, and the replicas are immunogold labeled. (F) Hippocampal CA1 spine synapses in s. radiatum, labeled by SDS-FRL for the GluR1 and NR1 glutamate receptor subunits, and (G) NR2B and NR1 subunits (the black line outlines the intramembranous particle aggregate in the E-face). Scale bars, 100 nm. (Panels A–C are from Valtschanoff and Weinberg 2001; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel D is from Petersen et al. 2003; reprinted, with permission, from the Journal of Neuroscience © 2001; panels E–G are modified from Shinohara et al. 2008.)
is unsuitable for detecting proteins beyond the plasma membrane. Both SDS-FRL and standard pre-embedding techniques have identified a variety of voltage-dependent potassium channels in spine membranes, including KV1, KV3, KV4, Kv3, HCN, BK, and TASK subtypes (Lorincz et al. 2002; Luján et al. 2003; Callahan et al. 2004; Notomi and Shigemoto 2004; Burkhalter et al. 2006; Kaufmann et al. 2009; Puente et al. 2010). In addition, several transporters and pumps have been detected within the spine plasma membrane, including glutamate transporters (He et al. 2000), the calcium exchanger NCX1 (Lorincz et al. 2007), and multiple PMCA isoforms (Burette and Weinberg 2007; Burette et al. 2009, 2010; Kentyon et al. 2010). It seems likely that other pumps (e.g., the Na⁺–K⁺-ATPase) are also present, but immunogold data are not yet available.

A number of signaling and motor-related proteins are found within the cytoplasm of the dendritic spine. There is abundant evidence, including immunogold labeling, for actin (Morales and Fifkova 1989; Korobova and Svitkina 2010) and, to a lesser degree, also for myosin (Morales and Fifkova 1989; Petralia et al. 2001). Mechanisms controlling actin remodeling within the spine are of keen interest because actin remodeling is implicated in structural neuroplasticity and defects are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders (for review, see Carlisle and Kennedy 2005; Tada and Sheng 2006; Penzes et al. 2008; Kasai et al. 2010; Svitkina et al. 2010). Immunogold methods have identified a variety of actin-binding proteins in the spine cytoplasm, including cortactin, profilin, drebrin, and the Arp2/3 complex (Racz and Weinberg 2004, 2008; Neuhoff et al. 2005; Kobayashi et al. 2007).

Molecular Anatomy of Inhibitory Postsynaptic Membranes

GABA_A receptors are typical heteropentamic ligand-gated channels. They are constituted from 19 different subunit proteins, allowing for enormous heterogeneity (Luscher and Keller 2004; Tretter and Moss 2008). Immunogold EM shows that GABA_A receptors concentrate at synapses (Nusser et al. 1996; Bergersen et al. 2003; Baude et al. 2007; Masugi-Tokita and Shigemoto 2007), but extrasynaptic receptors are also present and may be functionally significant. The number of GABA_A receptors at a synapse (which varies considerably) is directly related to the magnitude of the inhibitory postsynaptic current (Nusser et al. 1997). Besides differing in functional properties, subunit composition plays a role in targeting receptors to specific types of synapses (Nyiri et al. 2001; Klausberger et al. 2002; Serwanski et al. 2006; Baude et al. 2007). The biochemically unrelated GABAB receptors are G-protein-coupled dimers, whose functional properties are largely determined by auxiliary subunits (Pinard et al. 2010); immunogold EM shows their presence in the plasma membrane at postsynaptic, presynaptic, and non-synaptic locations (Gonchar et al. 2001; Luján et al. 2003; Kulik et al. 2006).

Consistent with the classical morphological descriptions of Gray type II synapses as lacking a prominent PSD, relatively few intracellular proteins have been linked to inhibitory synapses. The best known is gephyrin, which is linked to both GABAergic and glycnergic synapses (Danglot et al. 2003). Profilin and specific isoforms of GRIP associate with the GABA_A receptor (Li et al. 2005; Neuhoff et al. 2005; Yu et al. 2008); the association with GRIP is striking, since GRIP is usually thought to bind selectively to AMPA receptors. Immunogold evidence that GABA_B receptors are associated with Kir3.2 channels (Kulik et al. 2006) has direct functional implications because inwardly rectifying potassium channels are important for the normal function of these receptors.

Smooth Endoplasmic Reticulum in Dendrites and Spines

Some dendritic spines contain smooth endoplasmic reticulum (SER), a membrane-bound network that is continuous throughout the neuron. Nearly all dendritic spines in cerebellum contain SER (Fig. 7A,B), and the SER volume correlates well with total spine volume (Harris and Stevens 1988a). In contrast, <15% of dendritic spines in hippocampus contain SER, usually only the largest spines (Fig. 7C) (Spacek and...
Figure 7. Dendritic SER. (A) Purkinje cell dendrite (star) and associated spines determined by serial section EM (arrows, some of which are numbered); all of these spines contain SER (e.g., large arrow in spine 25); and a non-spiny dendrite of an interneuron (non). (B) 3D reconstructions of cerebellar dendritic spines (top row, short, medium, long, and branched) and SER tubules (bottom row) illustrate close correlation between spine and SER volume. (C) 3D reconstruction of CA1 dendrite SER, which enters only three spines, two of which have a spine apparatus (SA). (D) The SA has laminated SER (arrows) interspersed with dense-staining material (wavy lines). (E) Summary diagram of Golgi- and secretory-related molecules found in SA and vesicular compartments. (F) SA containing silver-enhanced gold labeling for giantin (red arrowheads), a protein involved in vesicle trafficking through the Golgi apparatus. (G) Post-embedding immunogold labeling for synaptopodin (black arrows), an actin-associated protein, in a spine apparatus from a dendritic spine in the s. radiatum of area CA3. (H) Post-embedding immunogold labeling for IP3 receptors (red arrowheads) along SER in a cerebellar spine head (Sp). Scale bar in B is 1 μm and applies to A–C. Scale bars in D, F, G, and H are all 250 nm. (See facing page for legend.)
The spine apparatus is an enigmatic organelle variously suggested to be involved in the regulation of calcium, synthesis of proteins, and/or posttranslational modification of proteins (Fig. 7D). It contains SER arranged in laminae separated by dense-staining bars, which contain the actin-binding protein synaptopodin (Fig. 7G) (Deller et al. 2000). The spine apparatus also contains numerous proteins, such as giantin, that are known to be involved in vesicular trafficking through the Golgi apparatus in the soma (Fig. 7E,F) (Pierce et al. 2000, 2001). The SER of cerebellar spines contains high levels of the IP3 receptor, involved in calcium signaling (Fig. 7H), unlike hippocampal and cortical dendritic spines, where IP3 receptors (as well as ryanodine receptors) are largely confined to SER in the dendritic shaft (Walton et al. 1991). Interestingly, the amount of SER varies greatly along the dendritic shaft (Fig. 7C), in proportion to the size of the spines emerging along the shaft, at least in hippocampus (Spacek and Harris 1997). Whether the uniform expression of SER in cerebellar dendritic spines might explain their relative stability, in contrast to the plasticity of hippocampal spines (Bourne and Harris 2007), remains to be determined.

Polyribosomes in Dendrites and Spines

Ribosomes are needed for local translation in dendrites (Steward and Schuman 2003; Bramham and Wells 2007) and can be found in a subset of dendritic spines (Fig. 8A). Individual ribosomes are 10–25-nm electron-dense spheres surrounded by a gray halo; they can be unambiguously identified when organized as polyribosomes that form a cluster of three or more ribosomes, but monosomes are usually not quantified because they are difficult to distinguish from other dark-staining proteins in the cytoplasm (Fig. 8B). Free polyribosomes, which synthesize cytoplasmic proteins such as CaM-KIIα and PSD-95, are more prevalent in spines after LTP-inducing stimuli (Ostroff et al. 2002; Bourne et al. 2007a; Bourne and Harris 2010). Bound polyribosomes associated with endoplasmic reticulum synthesize integral membrane proteins, including receptors. Polyribosomes can also be found in the vicinity of a spine apparatus (Fig. 8C) (see also Steward and Reeves 1988), supporting the hypothesis that the spine apparatus is a Golgi outpost, as described in the main dendritic shafts of neurons (Horton et al. 2005). The non-uniform distribution of ribosomes suggests that different degrees of local protein synthesis occur along relatively short dendritic segments, perhaps reflecting local regions of synaptic growth and plasticity.

Endosomal Compartments in Dendrites and Spines

Reconstruction of serial sections is required to distinguish the discrete tubules and vesicles of the endosomal compartments from SER. Further clarification came when recycling endosomes were shown by their uptake of gold particles conjugated with bovine serum albumin (BSA-gold) delivered in the extracellular space of hippocampal slices (Fig. 9A–D). Quantitative work shows that ~50% of normal hippocampal dendritic spines contain no membrane-bound organelles. Some spines contain endosomes and some contain SER, but only rarely does the same spine contain both organelles; analysis of 3D reconstructions suggests that a single endosomal sorting complex serves about 10 to 20 hippocampal dendritic spines (Cooney et al. 2002). Interestingly, the endocytosis-related proteins clathrin, AP-2, dynamin (Fig. 9E–G) (Racz et al. 2004; Lu et al. 2007), and an isoform of the exocytosis-related protein K.M. Harris and R.J. Weinberg

Figure 7. (Continued) (Panels A and B are from Harris and Stevens 1988a; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel C is from Cooney et al. 2002; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panel D is from Spacek and Harris 1997; reprinted, with permission, from the author; panels E and F are from Pierce et al. 2001; reprinted, with permission, from Elsevier © 2001; panel G is modified from Deller et al. 2000; panel H is modified from Kelm et al. 2010.)
syntaxin (Kennedy et al. 2010) are all localized to a putative endocytic zone lateral to the PSD. Endosomal compartments are dynamically regulated during synaptic plasticity and may provide a local source of new membrane for spine enlargement (Park et al. 2006). Some endosomal compartments in dendrites may also be involved in autophagocytosis, a process in which proteins and other structures are engulfed by a membrane-bound organelle and incorporated into the lysosomal pathway for subsequent degradation (Bingol and Sheng 2011).

Trans-Endocytosis via Spinules

Besides the classical mechanisms of synaptic communication, another path for signaling between neurons is trans-endocytosis of the plasma membrane via spinules (Spacek and Harris 2004; Richards et al. 2005). Spinules form when presynaptic axons engulf part of a postsynaptic dendritic spine (often in the perforation of a PSD) (Fig. 9H,I); when a neighboring axon engulfs part of the nonsynaptic membrane of the spine (Fig. 9J,K); and when perisynaptic astroglial processes engulf spinules from the nonsynaptic plasma membrane of a spine (Fig. 9L). Intrinsic dendritic recycling via endosomes can modify dendritic spine morphology and composition and may help to redistribute these membrane resources locally (Fig. 9L). Trans-endocytosis may also cause remodeling of dendritic spine and synapse morphology (Fig. 9M); it may also serve interneuronal signaling between dendritic spines and presynaptic or neighboring axons (Fig. 9N) and glia (Fig. 9O).
Figure 9. Endocytosis and trans-endocytosis at dendritic spines. (A) SER, small vesicles (sv), and recycling endosomes; the latter may be identified by their content of gold-BSA endocytosed from the extracellular space (ECS) into large vesicles (lv), (B) tubules, (C) amorphous vesicular clumps, and (D) multivesicular bodies. (E–G) Silver-enhanced pre-embedding immunogold identifies three proteins (AP-2, clathrin, and dynamin) critical for endocytosis; label for all three concentrates close to the spine plasma membrane. To assess their position with respect to the synapse, the locus of each particle was projected onto the spine plasma membrane as illustrated in F, allowing computation of a normalized tangential distance along the plasma membrane. (See facing page for legend.)
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Other Components in Dendritic Spines

Mitochondria are crucial for generation of ATP and can be important in the regulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) levels and synaptic plasticity. Hence, their location may predict sites of enhanced synaptic activity (MacAskill et al. 2010). Mitochondria are present along the entire length of dendritic shafts, but rarely in simple dendritic spines, such as those found in hippocampal area CA1 or cerebellar cortex. However, mitochondria are not uncommon in very large and complex spines, such as the branched spines or “thorny excrescences” located on proximal dendrites of CA3 pyramidal cells (Chicurel and Harris 1992). In cultured hippocampal neurons, mitochondria have been observed with live imaging to enter dendritic spine-like protrusions during periods of intense synaptic remodeling (Li et al. 2004).

Microtubules are crucial for trafficking organelles such as SER and vesicles, as well as for the trafficking of certain proteins and mRNAs. Tubulin has been detected in PSD fractions; however, microtubules are not readily observed through serial section electron microscopy in mature hippocampal, cortical, or cerebellar dendritic spines under normal conditions. Microtubules do occur normally in the extra-large CA3 thorny excrescences (Chicurel and Harris 1992) and in hippocampal and cortical dendritic spines during development, apparently emanating from the spine apparatus (Westrum and Gray 1977; Westrum et al. 1980). During the short period of synaptic quiescence after making a hippocampal slice, microtubules protrude into mature dendritic spines; however, these spine microtubules are no longer detected by 30 min after the slice has recovered in vitro (Fiala et al. 2003). Recent work in hippocampal cultures suggests that microtubules are highly dynamic and rapidly enter and retract from dendritic spines (Gu et al. 2008; Hu et al. 2008; Jaworski et al. 2009; Dent et al. 2011), such that at any one moment fewer than 1% of spines may contain a microtubule. This transience, along with the sensitivity to calcium (see “Other Axonal Components” above), may explain why microtubules are rarely detected in a polymerized state in mature dendritic spines of aldehyde-fixed brain in vivo.

SUMMARY

In this article, we have described the diverse structure and composition of presynaptic axons and postsynaptic spines. Postsynaptic size is proportional to presynaptic vesicle content, and larger dendritic spines contain more of the subcellular organelles needed to remodel and sustain...
synapses. Synaptic structure is static in electron micrographs, but accumulating evidence shows that the synapse is highly dynamic in vivo, undergoing dramatic changes in gross morphology and intracellular organization, especially in response to conditions that elicit sustained changes in synaptic efficacy. The structure and composition of synapses can vary across brain regions and may change during development, maturation, normal aging, neurological disorders, and trauma. A deeper appreciation of this structural diversity and plasticity is leading to new insight into the processes that govern synapse formation, growth, maintenance, and elimination, topics that will be pursued in other articles in this collection.
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