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Bacterial cells have evolved a variety of regulatory circuits that tightly synchronize their chromosome replication and cell division cycles, thereby ensuring faithful transmission of genetic information to their offspring. Complex multicomponent signaling cascades are used to monitor the progress of cytokinesis and couple replication initiation to the separation of the two daughter cells. Moreover, the cell-division apparatus actively participates in chromosome partitioning and, particularly, in the resolution of topological problems that impede the segregation process, thus coordinating chromosome dynamics with cell constriction. Finally, bacteria have developed mechanisms that harness the cell-cycle-dependent positioning of individual chromosomal loci or the nucleoid to define the cell-division site and control the timing of divisome assembly. Each of these systems manages to integrate a complex set of spatial and temporal cues to regulate and execute critical steps in the bacterial cell cycle.

In recent years, considerable progress has been made in understanding the cell biology of bacteria and, in particular, the organization and dynamics of their chromosomes (Shih and Rothfield 2006; Graumann 2007; Morris and Jensen 2008; Reyes-Lamothe et al. 2008b; Thanbichler and Shapiro 2008). It has emerged that bacterial cells have evolved a variety of mechanisms to closely coordinate replication and segregation of chromosomal DNA with cell division, thus ensuring that genetic information is passed on faithfully. In the absence of these regulatory circuits, premature formation of a division septum can lead to dissection of the nucleoid and generation of anucleate cells. Conversely, untimely origin firing before the end of cell division may result in the accumulation of supernumerary chromosomes, thereby interfering with proper cell cycle and gene regulation, and loading the cell with a substantial metabolic burden. This article first summarizes our current knowledge on checkpoints that couple replication initiation and the last stages of chromosome segregation to the progression...
of cell constriction. Subsequently, it discusses effects of chromosome dynamics on the temporal and spatial control of divisome assembly.

ORGANIZATION AND DYNAMICS OF CHROMOSOMAL DNA

Bacteria usually contain a single, circular chromosome that floats freely in the cytoplasm. Although not encased in a specific membrane compartment, it frequently occupies a distinct region within the cell, termed the nucleoid. With an average size of approximately four megabases, the contour length of a chromosome measures approximately 1 mm and thus exceeds the length of a typical bacterial cell by more than 1000-fold. Apparently, this huge molecule needs to be compacted significantly to fit into the confined space of the cell body. Biochemical and electron microscopic analyses on bacterial chromatin have failed to detect the distinct hierarchical organization seen in eukaryotes. As a consequence, the nucleoid has long been envisioned as a compact tangle of DNA lacking higher-order structure. However, recent studies investigating the localization of individual chromosomal loci within cells from a variety of different species have revealed that the nucleoid in fact has a defined architecture. In all organisms analyzed, chromosomal DNA appears to be arranged in a circular superstructure, in which the subcellular position of each locus is directly reflected by its position on the circular chromosomal map (Telemann et al. 1998; Viollier et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2006b). The underlying principles are still unclear, but current data suggest that the chromosome is organized into numerous compact, supercoiled loops that are lined up like pearls on a necklace (Postow et al. 2004; Thanbichler et al. 2005). Proper temporal and spatial organization of bacterial chromatin is critically dependent on the action of various topological regulators. Bacterial structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) complexes, for instance, act as molecular clamps that interconnect different DNA regions, thereby maintaining the chromosome in a state compatible with efficient DNA replication and segregation (Britton et al. 1998; Hirano 2006). In addition, every bacterium contains a varying set of small nucleoid-associated proteins, which bend or cross-link DNA, thereby adapting DNA topology to the needs of transcription and other cellular processes (Luijsterburg et al. 2006).

The conserved structure of bacterial chromosomes is a direct consequence of coreplicational DNA segregation. Chromosome replication is usually initiated at a single origin and then proceeds bidirectionally until the two replication forks meet in the terminus region (O’Donnell 2006). Immediately after duplication of the origin region, its two copies are rapidly moved apart by an active mechanism (Gordon et al. 1997; Webb et al. 1998; Viollier et al. 2004), setting the corner stones for the incipient sister nucleoids. As replication proceeds, newly synthesized sister duplexes are instantly separated once they emerge from the replication apparatus (replisome) and stacked on top of the preceding stretches of DNA that have already been deposited in the growing nucleoids, thus maintaining the original ordering of loci (Niki et al. 2000; Viollier et al. 2004; Nielsen et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2006b). Other than in the case of the origin regions, this process is likely to be driven by the pulling forces resulting from DNA recondensation, rather than by a dedicated segregation machinery. During the course of replication, the replisome migrates slowly along the two arms of the compacted chromosome, ending up near the cell-division plane when it reaches the terminus region (Bates 2008; Reyes-Lamothe et al. 2008a). After removal of DNA from the site of constriction, decatenation of the two sister chromosomes, and resolution of chromosome dimers, the cell divides in between the two fully established nucleoids, giving rise to siblings that each carry the full complement of genes present in the mother cell.

COORDINATION OF REPLICATION INITIATION WITH CELL DIVISION

Although bacterial replication origins differ considerably with respect to their precise architecture, most of them share several common
features (Zakrzewska-Czerwinska et al. 2007). Importantly, all bacteria investigated require the AAA+ ATPase DnaA to initiate replisome assembly. On ATP binding, DnaA interacts with conserved motifs at the replication origin, forming an oligomeric nucleoprotein complex that modifies the topology of the origin such as to promote local unwinding of the DNA duplex at a nearby AT-rich sequence (Mott and Berger 2007). Generation of single DNA strands then facilitates loading of the replicative DNA helicase, which in turn recruits the other components of the replication apparatus. The activity of DnaA is controlled by a variety of mechanisms, affecting the nucleotide state, the free concentration, and the cellular abundance of the protein, as well as the accessibility of its binding sites (Kaguni 2006; Leonard and Grimwade 2009). However, the exact contribution of these different pathways to the inactivation of DnaA after replication initiation and to its reactivation at the start of a new cell cycle still remains to be elucidated. Apart from DnaA-binding sites, replication origins usually contain additional functional elements, such as promoters and recognition motifs for the DNA-bending protein IHF (integration host factor), which support the action of DnaA by inducing changes in the superhelicity and architecture of the origin region.

Once the replisome is assembled, each of the two replication forks proceeds at a speed of about 1000 base pairs/second, which means that duplication of a four-megabase chromosome takes about 33 minutes. The generation time of many bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, is considerably shorter than the duration of S-phase. In these cases, replication is initiated more than once in a single cell, giving rise to siblings that inherit already partially duplicated chromosomes (Cooper and Helmstetter 1968; Niki and Hiraga 1998; Nielsen et al. 2007). The regulatory pathways that ensure the proper number of initiation events in organisms with such overlapping cell cycles are largely obscure.

The situation is different in slow-growing bacteria that only perform a single round of replication in the mother cell. A representative of this group is *Caulobacter crescentus*, an organism that divides asymmetrically into a sessile stalked cell and a motile, flagellated swarmer cell. Whereas the stalked cell initiates chromosome replication immediately after birth, the swarmer cell rests in a replicationally quiescent, G1-like state until it differentiates into a stalked cell and thus continues its cell cycle (Degnen and Newton 1972). *C. crescentus* has developed an elaborate mechanism to synchronize replication initiation with the physical separation of the two daughter cells (Fig. 1). One of the key players in the underlying control circuit is the two-component response regulator CtrA, a central component of the regulatory network that drives the *C. crescentus* cell cycle (Quon et al. 1996; Laub et al. 2007). On phosphorylation, CtrA interacts with the promoters of about 50 operons, governing the expression of more than 95 genes (Laub et al. 2002). In addition, it binds to five sites in the replication origin, which overlap with the DnaA- and IHF-binding sites, and with a conspicuously AT-rich region that includes a strong promoter implicated in replication control. Mutations in these sites indeed lead to increased initiation frequencies, indicating that CtrA~P acts as an inhibitor of chromosome replication (Quon et al. 1998; Siam and Marczynski 2000; Marczynski and Shapiro 2002; Siam et al. 2003; Bastedo and Marczynski 2009). Consistently, the levels of CtrA are high throughout most of the cell cycle, whereas they fall sharply during a short interval around the onset of S-phase, concomitant with a peak in the cellular abundance and activity of the replication initiator DnaA (Domian et al. 1997; Gorbatyuk and Marczynski 2005; Collier and Shapiro 2009).

Aside from cell-cycle-regulated transcription of the ctrA gene, phosphorylation and targeted proteolysis are the key factors determining the abundance of active CtrA within the cell (Quon et al. 1996; Domian et al. 1997). Both processes are mediated by complex regulatory cascades, which converge at the single-domain response regulator DivK (Hecht et al. 1995) (Fig. 1A). The phosphorylation state of DivK is controlled by two proteins, the histidine kinase DivJ and the bifunctional histidine kinase/phosphatase PleC (Ohta et al. 1992;
Hecht et al. 1995; Wu et al. 1998; Lam et al. 2003; Paul et al. 2008). In stalked cells, DivJ and PleC form complexes that are localized to the stalked and the flagellated pole, respectively (Wheeler and Shapiro 1999; Jacobs et al. 2001; Viollier et al. 2002; Radhakrishnan et al. 2008). At this stage, both proteins function as kinases, ensuring a high level of DivK$^{/C24P}$ within the cell. After cell division, PleC switches from the kinase to the phosphatase mode. The DivK molecules captured in the swarmer sibling are thus dephosphorylated, whereas those remaining in the stalked sibling are still retained in the phosphorylated state (Jacobs et al. 2001; Lam et al. 2003; Matroule et al. 2004; Paul et al. 2008).

Nonphosphorylated DivK activates a phospho-signaling cascade that results in phosphoryl transfer to CtrA (Wu et al. 1998; Biondi et al. 2006) (Fig. 1B). The same pathway phosphorylates and thus inactivates the single-domain response regulator CpdR, which prevents proteolysis of CtrA$^{/C24P}$ and stops proteolysis of CtrA$^{/C24P}$ by targeting it to the chromosomal origin and prevent replication initiation. Once the swarmer cell differentiates into a stalked cell, DivJ replaces PleC at the newly formed stalked pole (Wheeler and Shapiro 1999). The resulting increase in the level of DivK$^{/C24P}$ abolishes CtrA and CpdR phosphorylation, thereby inactivating CtrA and triggering its degradation by the ClpXP protease (Iniesta et al. 2006; McGrath et al. 2006). Accordingly, CtrA is cleared from the replication origin, allowing DnaA to initiate replisome assembly. At the same time, elimination of CtrA$^{/C24P}$ induces transcription of several essential cell-division genes,
thereby marking the beginning of the next division cycle (Laub et al. 2002).

Later in the cell cycle, a new PleC cluster is formed at the pole opposite the stalk, again switched to kinase mode and thus stabilizing the DivK~P pool (Wheeler and Shapiro 1999; Paul et al. 2008). Because, as a consequence, CtrA~P levels remain low until the cell reaches the predivisional stage, CtrA-independent mechanisms must be in place to prevent supernumerary initiation events later in S-phase. Consistently, the replisome-associated protein Hda was found to contribute to proper replication control in *C. crescentus* by inhibiting the activity of DnaA once the first round of DNA synthesis has started (Collier and Shapiro 2009). Given that the replication origins of many α-proteobacteria contain CtrA binding sites that overlap elements critical for replication initiation, *C. crescentus* might not be the only bacterium using CtrA to synchronize its replication and division cycles (Brassinga et al. 2002; Ioannidis et al. 2007; Shaheen et al. 2009).

 ROLE OF THE CELL DIVISION PROTEIN FtsK IN CHROMOSOME SEGREGATION

Sister chromosomes are moved apart in a multistep process, involving active segregation of the newly synthesized origin regions, condensation-driven partitioning of the bulk of the chromosomes, and, finally, separation of the terminus regions. The last step in this cascade can be complicated by several problems. Toward the end of the replication cycle, the terminus regions may become trapped in the closing septum, leading to a block in cell division (Lau et al. 2003). Clearance of the division site is impeded by cationation of the two sister chromosomes, an effect that is routinely observed during replication of circular DNA molecules (Schwartzman and Stasiak 2004). A similar obstacle is formed by chromosome dimers, arising from an odd number of homologous recombination events between two newly synthesized chromosomal regions (Lesterlin et al. 2004). The cell-division apparatus, and in particular its constituent FtsK, play an important role in resolving these issues, thereby coupling cell separation and the final steps of chromosome segregation.

FtsK is a hybrid protein, composed of various functional domains. Its membrane-integral, amino-terminal region (FtsK_N) is part of the cell division apparatus and responsible for localization of FtsK to the division site. In *E. coli* and *C. crescentus*, FtsK_N is essential for cytokinesis, probably serving a structural function in divisome assembly (Begg et al. 1995; Liu et al. 1998; Wang and Lutkenhaus 1998; Yu et al. 1998a; Wang et al. 2006a). The soluble, carboxy-terminal part of FtsK (FtsK_C), by contrast, is generally dispensable for constriction. It is connected to FtsK_N via a variable linker and constitutes a molecular motor, belonging to the AAA+ ATPase family (Liu et al. 1998; Yu et al. 1998b; Aussel et al. 2002). The motor domains of different FtsK molecules assemble into hexameric rings whose central opening is large enough to accommodate a DNA duplex (Massey et al. 2006). These complexes function as pumps that actively move chromosomal DNA from the constriction site into the daughter cell compartments, reaching translocation rates of up to 7 kb/s (Saleh et al. 2004; Pease et al. 2005). To ensure proper sorting of the chromosomes, the cell uses molecular signposts called KOPS (FtsK-orienting polar sequences) (Fig. 2A). KOPS are short, conserved sequence motifs that are highly overrepresented in the genome, with their orientation being skewed toward a defined site (*dif*) in the terminus region (Bigot et al. 2005; Levy et al. 2005). They are specifically recognized by the γ-subdomain of FtsK_C and thus serve as the preferred loading zones of the translocation complex (Massey et al. 2006; Ptacin et al. 2006; Sivanathan et al. 2006). Their orientation dictates the positioning of FtsK_C on the DNA molecule and, consequently, the direction of the translocation process, ensuring net movement of FtsK_C toward the terminus region (Bigot et al. 2006). Although KOPS have initially been identified in *E. coli*, polarized sequences also appear to guide DNA translocation in other, related systems. The *Bacillus subtilis* chromosome, for instance, is interspersed with so-called SRS (SpoIIE recognition sequences), which are required to direct
the SpoIIIE-driven import of chromosomal DNA into the nascent forespore at the onset of the sporulation process (Wu and Errington 1994; Wu and Errington 1997; Bath et al. 2000; Ptacin et al. 2008).

The pumping activity of FtsK has several implications for chromosome segregation. Sorting of the terminus regions not only clears the division site of DNA but also prevents excessive entanglement of the two sister chromosomes, which may facilitate their distribution to the daughter compartments and their decatenation by topoisomerase (Topo) IV (Adams et al. 1992; Peng and Marians 1993; Zechiedrich and Cozzarelli 1995; Ullsperger and Cozzarelli 1996). In addition to establishing a favorable DNA arrangement, FtsK also affects chromosome decatenation in a direct manner. Topo IV is a heterotetramer composed of two different subunits, named ParC and ParE (Kato et al. 1990). In *E. coli* and *C. crescentus*, ParC colocalizes with the replisome, whereas ParE is dispersed within the cell (Espeli et al. 2003b; Wang and Shapiro 2004). Formation of the active enzyme occurs mostly during the late stages of the cell cycle, in a short interval between replication termination and cell separation (Espeli et al. 2003b). FtsKc was shown to interact with ParC and stimulate Topo IV activity in vitro (Espeli et al. 2003a; Espeli et al. 2003b). It might, therefore, capture ParC after its release from the disassembling replisome and promote interaction between the ParC and ParE subunits, thereby constituting a functional Topo IV complex (Espeli et al. 2003b) (Fig. 2B). This mechanism could allow close temporal and spatial coordination of the processes involved in the final steps of chromosome and cell separation. However, given that decatenation can still occur, albeit inefficiently, in *E. coli* cells producing a carboxy-terminally truncated form of FtsK, the stimulatory role of FtsKc does not appear to be essential for Topo IV activity (Liu et al. 1998; Yu et al. 1998b).

Aside from catenation, dimer formation is a severe impediment to the completion of the SpoIIIE-driven import of chromosomal DNA into the nascent forespore at the onset of the sporulation process (Wu and Errington 1994; Wu and Errington 1997; Bath et al. 2000; Ptacin et al. 2008).

The pumping activity of FtsK has several implications for chromosome segregation. Sorting of the terminus regions not only clears the division site of DNA but also prevents excessive entanglement of the two sister chromosomes, which may facilitate their distribution to the daughter compartments and their decatenation by topoisomerase (Topo) IV (Adams et al. 1992; Peng and Marians 1993; Zechiedrich and Cozzarelli 1995; Ullsperger and Cozzarelli 1996). In addition to establishing a favorable DNA arrangement, FtsK also affects chromosome decatenation in a direct manner. Topo IV is a heterotetramer composed of two different subunits, named ParC and ParE (Kato et al. 1990). In *E. coli* and *C. crescentus*, ParC colocalizes with the replisome, whereas ParE is dispersed within the cell (Espeli et al. 2003b; Wang and Shapiro 2004). Formation of the active enzyme occurs mostly during the late stages of the cell cycle, in a short interval between replication termination and cell separation (Espeli et al. 2003b). FtsKc was shown to interact with ParC and stimulate Topo IV activity in vitro (Espeli et al. 2003a; Espeli et al. 2003b). It might, therefore, capture ParC after its release from the disassembling replisome and promote interaction between the ParC and ParE subunits, thereby constituting a functional Topo IV complex (Espeli et al. 2003b) (Fig. 2B). This mechanism could allow close temporal and spatial coordination of the processes involved in the final steps of chromosome and cell separation. However, given that decatenation can still occur, albeit inefficiently, in *E. coli* cells producing a carboxy-terminally truncated form of FtsK, the stimulatory role of FtsKc does not appear to be essential for Topo IV activity (Liu et al. 1998; Yu et al. 1998b).

Aside from catenation, dimer formation is a severe impediment to the completion of
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**Figure 2.** Role of KOPS-regulated DNA translocation by FtsK in the final steps of chromosome segregation. (A) Effect of KOPS (FtsK-orienting polar sequences) on the direction of FtsK movement. The boxed sequence indicates the *E. coli* KOPS consensus motif. Hexameric rings, assembled from the carboxy-terminal portions of different FtsK molecules (FtsKc), load onto DNA in a KOPS-dependent manner, and then translocate in the direction determined by the polarity of the KOPS elements (green arrows). (B) Stimulation of chromosome decatenation by FtsK. Translocation of FtsKc toward the terminal *dif* site positions catenanes at the cell-division plane. Unlinking of the two chromosomes is catalyzed by topoisomerase IV, a tetrameric enzyme composed of the proteins ParC (red spheres) and ParE (blue spheres). FtsK directly interacts with ParC, thereby concentrating the activity of topoisomerase IV to the vicinity of the cell-division site. (C) Role of FtsK in chromosome dimer resolution. The translocase activity of FtsKc moves the two *dif* sites of a chromosome dimer to the cell-division plane, thereby promoting formation of a productive recombination synapse. In addition, FtsKc directly interacts with the recombinase XerD (green spheres) and thus induces the first pair of strand exchanges. The recombinase XerC (blue spheres) then completes the recombination reaction, restoring the two original chromosomes.
chromosome segregation (Steiner and Kuempel 1998). Cells have evolved a specialized machinery to cope with this problem, consisting of the two tyrosine recombinases XerC and XerD. These proteins cooperate to catalyze a site-specific recombination event between the two terminal dif sites, thereby restoring the original two chromosomes (Blakely et al. 1993). The DNA translocase activity of FtsKC helps align the two XerCDdif complexes at the division site and thus promotes the formation of a productive recombination synapse (Fig. 2C). Moreover, it may induce topological changes in the vicinity of dif that are required for proper recombination (Perals et al. 2000; Aussel et al. 2002; Capiaux et al. 2002; Ip et al. 2003; Massey et al. 2004). Apart from its role in synapse formation, FtsKC was shown to interact directly with XerD and thereby stimulate XerD to perform a first pair of strand exchanges, resulting in the generation of a Holliday junction. This intermediate is subsequently converted to a crossover by a second pair of strand exchanges, catalyzed by XerC in an FtsKC-independent manner (Grainge and Sherratt 1999; Barre et al. 2000; Aussel et al. 2002; Massey et al. 2004; Yates et al. 2006). Given that successive rounds of XerCD-mediated recombination can unlink catenated DNA molecules in vitro, FtsK may also have a direct role in chromosome decatenation that is independent of its interaction with Topo IV (Ip et al. 2003; Grainge et al. 2007). Interestingly, dif recombination requires a closing septum and only occurs shortly before cell division (Steiner and Kuempel 1998; Kennedy et al. 2008). Thus, an increase in the local concentration of FtsK, resulting from constriction of the divisome, might be required for DNA translocation and efficient stimulation of XerCD activity.

**ROLE OF NUCLEOID OCCLUSION IN THE REGULATION OF CELL DIVISION**

In most bacteria, the cell division apparatus comprises more than 15 different proteins, which assemble into an annular structure at the future division site. The fundament of this complex machinery is a ring-shaped polymer formed by the tubulin homolog FtsZ (Bi and Lutkenhaus 1991; Lowe and Amos 1998; Mukherjee and Lutkenhaus 1998; Li et al. 2007). The FtsZ ring recruits, directly and indirectly, all other components of the divisome, and its constriction is thought to provide a major driving force for the subsequent division process (Goehring and Beckwith 2005; Osawa et al. 2008). Reorganization of the FtsZ ring is facilitated by its rapid turnover kinetics, with subunits being exchanged at a half-time of only a few seconds (Anderson et al. 2004; Thanedar and Margolin 2004; Peters et al. 2007). Owing to its central role in cytokinesis, FtsZ is the primary target of pathways regulating cell division in bacteria.

Many organisms use a dual mechanism to control divisome positioning and assembly, involving both the Min system and nucleoid occlusion (Fig. 3). In *E. coli*, the Min system is composed of three proteins, encoded by the miniCDE operon (de Boer et al. 1989). It establishes an autonomous oscillatory system that confines the FtsZ polymerization inhibitor MinC to the cell poles, thus limiting assembly of the divisome to the midcell region (Hu and Lutkenhaus 1999; Hu et al. 1999; Raskin and de Boer 1999a; Raskin and de Boer 1999b; Hale et al. 2001; Rothfield et al. 2005). *B. subtilis* and other Gram-positive bacteria, by contrast, use a different variant of the Min system, which does not produce pole-to-pole oscillations but stably tethers MinC at the late-division septum and the cell poles (Cha and Stewart 1997; Edwards and Errington 1997; Marston et al. 1998; Bramkamp et al. 2008; Gregory et al. 2008; Patrick and Kearns 2008). In mutants lacking the Min system, division septa are formed randomly within the DNA-free regions of the cell, but never on top of nucleoids (Marston et al. 1998; Yu and Margolin 1999). This phenomenon, termed nucleoid occlusion, indicates a negative effect of chromosomal DNA on FtsZ ring assembly (Mulder and Woldringh 1989; Woldringh et al. 1990; Margolin 2001). Its mechanistic basis lies in the activity of DNA-associated proteins, such as SmlA from *E. coli* and Noc from *B. subtilis*, that act as inhibitors of FtsZ polymerization (Wu and Errington 2004; Bernhardt and de
Figure 3. Model for the positioning of the FtsZ ring by the nucleoid occlusion and Min systems in *E. coli*. (A) Temporal and spatial regulation of cell division by nucleoid occlusion. The nucleoid occlusion protein SlmA preferentially associates with the pole-proximal regions of the nucleoid. At the beginning of the division cycle, the longitudinal dimensions of the nucleoid are small, thereby placing SlmA close to midcell and blocking FtsZ ring assembly. In the course of chromosome replication and segregation, the two nascent daughter nucleoids move apart. As a consequence, the midcell region is cleared of SlmA, allowing FtsZ polymerization to occur. (B) Inhibition of polar cell-division events by the Min system. MinD, bound to the cell division inhibitor MinC, assembles on the cytoplasmic membrane, forming a cap-like polymeric layer that prevents FtsZ ring formation in the polar region of the cell. MinE is organized into a ring-shaped structure that gradually displaces MinCD from the membrane. Free MinC and MinD subunits reassemble at the opposite cell pole, thus establishing a new polar cap and restarting the cycle. (C) Cooperation of the nucleoid occlusion and Min systems. The combined action of SlmA and the Min system targets the FtsZ ring to midcell and ensures that divisome formation is delayed to the final phase of the replication cycle.
In the absence of these factors, Min-deficient cells accumulate clusters of FtsZ that overlap with the nucleoid and, under certain conditions, initiate cell-division events that lead to bisection of the chromosome. Thus, nucleoid occlusion may have evolved as a safeguard mechanism to protect DNA against guillotining during cytokinesis.

Although evolutionarily unrelated, SlmA and Noc both contain a helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain. In addition, both proteins display similar localization patterns, as they concentrate predominantly in the pole-proximal regions of the nucleoid (Wu and Errington 2004; Bernhardt and de Boer 2005). In *B. subtilis*, this uneven subcellular distribution could be attributed to a skew in the distribution of Noc binding sites. Noc interacts specifically with a conserved 14-base pair sequence, from which it spreads laterally into the flanking chromosomal regions, thereby amplifying the amount of protein associated with the nucleoid (Wu et al. 2009). About 70 copies of this recognition motif are found in the genome, but none of them are located in the terminal quarter of the chromosome. Integration of ectopic binding sites in the terminus region causes a delay in cell division, suggesting that the asymmetric positioning of Noc is important for the timing of divisome formation (Wu et al. 2009). Noc might only be able to block the midcell region efficiently in early S-phase, when the nucleoid is small and its Noc-associated regions are in proximity to each other. However, once chromosome replication and segregation have started, expansion of the nucleoid likely displaces the majority of Noc from the cell center. As a consequence, divisome assembly may be allowed to initiate before the two daughter nucleoids are actually fully separated, facilitating closure of the septum immediately after completion of the replication cycle (Wu et al. 2009).

The mechanism whereby SlmA and Noc affect divisome assembly is still unclear. SlmA can recruit FtsZ to the nucleoid when overproduced and promote bundling of FtsZ filaments in vitro. It could, therefore, act by out-competing other cell-division proteins that associate with and stabilize the FtsZ ring (Bernhardt and de Boer 2005).

**CONTROL OF DIVISION SITE PLACEMENT BY THE SPATIAL REGULATOR MipZ**

There are a number of bacteria that divide by medial fission, even though they lack the Min and nucleoid occlusion systems, suggesting the existence of alternative mechanisms for the control of divisome assembly. Work in *C. crescentus* has indeed identified a novel cell-division regulator, designated MipZ, which couples the positioning and assembly of the FtsZ ring to the initiation of chromosome replication and the bipolar positioning of the two sister origin regions (Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006). MipZ is a member of the Walker A cytoskeletal ATPase (WACA) family (Michie and Lowe 2006) and highly conserved among α-proteobacteria. Its function is critically dependent on the DNA-binding protein ParB, which acts as a central regulator of chromosome dynamics in bacteria (Thanbichler 2009). In *C. crescentus*, ParB recognizes four conserved sites (parS) in the vicinity of the chromosomal origin of replication (Mohl and Gober 1997; Figge et al. 2003). After initial site-specific binding, it spreads laterally into the neighboring chromosomal regions and forms a centromer-like nucleoprotein complex that cooperates with the DNA-partitioning protein ParA to actively separate the newly synthesized replication origins (Mohl and Gober 1997; Figge et al. 2003; Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006; Toro et al. 2008). Once segregation is finished, ParB additionally interacts with the scaffolding protein PopZ, thereby mediating attachment of the segregated origin regions at the cell poles (Bowman et al. 2008; Ebersbach et al. 2008).

Newborn *C. crescentus* cells contain a single chromosome, which extends throughout the whole cell, with its replication origin being attached to the old cell pole (Jensen and Shapiro 1999). On entry into S-phase, the parS-containing segment is among the first chromosomal regions to be duplicated. The two copies immediately reassoc
then move apart in a ParA-dependent manner (Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006; Toro et al. 2008; Shebelut et al. 2009). During this partitioning process, one of the sister origin regions remains at its original location, whereas the other one moves rapidly across the cell toward the opposite cell pole (Viollier et al. 2004). MipZ directly interacts with ParB and thus follows the movement of the origin regions (Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006) (Fig. 4). However, it is not stably tethered to the cell poles but rather distributed in a gradient, with its concentration progressively increasing toward the polar ParB · parS nucleoprotein complexes. In vitro studies showed that MipZ acts as an inhibitor of FtsZ polymerization (Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006). Accordingly, FtsZ is consistently localized to the subcellular region that exhibits the lowest concentration of MipZ. Before the start of chromosome replication, the MipZ · ParB complex resides at the old pole, resulting in the accumulation of FtsZ at the opposite end of the cell. However, on duplication and segregation of the origin regions, this polar FtsZ cluster is disassembled and a new one is formed at the cell center (Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006). Synthesis of other cell-division proteins, which occurs later in the C. crescentus cell cycle, may then lead to reorganization of these polymers into a septal ring that can establish a functional divisome and initiate cytokinesis (Sackett et al. 1998; Laub et al. 2000). MipZ thus serves as a molecular ruler that uses the two segregated origin regions as landmarks to determine the cell center, ensuring that the cell constricts in between the two nascent daughter nucleoids.

It is unknown why most α-proteobacteria use MipZ instead of the Min or nucleoid occlusion system to regulate division site placement. Conversely, however, a MipZ-based mechanism is clearly not applicable to organisms such as E. coli and B. subtilis, which allow overlapping replication cycles and thus accumulate multiple origin regions within a single cell. Thus, bacteria may have evolved a variety of regulatory mechanisms to control divisome assembly that are specifically tailored to their distinct structural and physiological needs.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Although considerable advances have been made in understanding the mechanisms that interface chromosome dynamics and cell division in bacteria, many questions remain to be answered.
Importantly, the pathways that control the frequency of origin firing in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* are still obscure. Furthermore, the biochemical basis for the inhibition of divisome assembly by nucleoid occlusion proteins and for the establishment of the MipZ gradient requires further investigation. It will be interesting to see how widespread the systems identified in the common model organisms are among other bacterial species and what kind of variations have evolved on these schemes. Given the enormous diversity of bacteria and the small number of phyla that are currently studied at the molecular biological level, our current knowledge on bacterial cell biology likely represents only a small piece of the overall picture. Thanks to the increasing ease of whole genome sequencing, transcriptome analyses, and high-throughput ORF cloning, the development of new model systems may become more straightforward in the near future. It will be fascinating to follow the progress of the field and see the surprises that still await discovery.
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