Adherens Junction: Molecular Architecture and Regulation

Wenxiang Meng and Masatoshi Takeichi

RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Chuo-ku, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

Correspondence: takeichi@cdb.riken.jp

The adherens junction (AJ) is an element of the cell–cell junction in which cadherin receptors bridge the neighboring plasma membranes via their homophilic interactions. Cadherins associate with cytoplasmic proteins, called catenins, which in turn bind to cytoskeletal components, such as actin filaments and microtubules. These molecular complexes further interact with other proteins, including signaling molecules, rendering the AJs into highly dynamic and regulatable structures. The AJs of such nature contribute to the physical linking of cells, as well as to the regulation of cell–cell contacts, which is essential for morphogenesis and remodeling of tissues and organs. Thus, elucidating the molecular architecture of the AJs and their regulatory mechanisms are crucial for understanding how the multicellular system is organized.

The adherens junction (AJ) is a form of cell–cell adhesion structure observed in a variety of cell types, as well as in different animal species. It is characterized by a pair of plasma membranes apposed with a distance of 10–20 nm between them, whose intercellular space is occupied by rod-shaped molecules bridging the membranes (Hirokawa and Heuser 1981; Miyaguchi 2000), and the cytoplasmic side of the AJ is associated with condensed actin filaments. In polarized epithelia of vertebrates, the AJ is part of the tripartite junctional complex localized at the juxta-luminal region, which comprises the tight junction (zonula occludens), AJ, and desmosome (macula adherens) aligned in this order from the apical end of the junction (Farquhar and Palade 1963). In this type of epithelia, the AJ

is specifically termed the “zonula adherens” or “adhesion belt,” as it completely encloses the cells along with the F-actin lining, called the circumferential actin belt (Fig. 1). The AJs in other cell types assume different morphologies: For example, the AJs in fibroblastic cells are spotty and discontinuous (Yonemura et al. 1995), and those in neurons are organized into tiny puncta as a constituent of the synaptic junctions (Uchida et al. 1996).

A major function of AJs is to maintain the physical association between cells, as disruption of them causes loosening of cell–cell contacts, leading to disorganization of tissue architecture. Calcium chelators such as EDTA and EGTA are widely used as a reagent to promote the dissociation of cells in tissues or monolayer cultures. A major target of these chelators is the

Editors: W. James Nelson and Elaine Fuchs

Additional Perspectives on Cell Junctions available at www.cshperspectives.org

Copyright © 2009 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; all rights reserved; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002899

Cite this article as *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2009;1:a002899

W. Meng and M. Takeichi

Figure 1. Morphological variations of the adherens junction. In Caco2 cells (colonic carcinoma line), E-cadherin is localized along the actin circumferential belt to organize the zonula adherens (arrow). At the lateral portions of cell junction (arrowheads), E-cadherin signals are punctate, only occasionally overlapping with actin signals in this specific sample. The lateral patterns of cadherin and actin distribution, however, vary with cellular conditions. In MCF10A cells (mammary epithelial line), spotty adherens junctions are seen, where actin filaments perpendicularly terminate at E-cadherin puncta.

AJ, as this is a calcium-sensitive structure; although, calcium removal is generally insufficient for the complete dispersion of cells because of the presence of calcium-independent cell–cell adhesion mechanisms (Takeichi et al. 1977). Early studies to search for the molecules responsible for the calcium-dependent junctions resulted in the identification of a group of type-I transmembrane proteins, and its founding member was termed cadherin (Yoshida and Takeichi 1982; Yoshida-Noro et al. 1984). Related molecules identified were also called by various names, such as uvomorulin (Peyrieras et al. 1983), LCAM (Gallin et al. 1983), and ACAM (Volk and Geiger 1984). Later studies revealed that the cadherins form a superfamily, and therefore, the original cadherins are now called “classic” cadherins.

Another series of studies have identified nectins, a family of immunoglobulin-like transmembrane proteins, as an AJ component. Nectins function in a calcium-independent way to promote cell–cell adhesion (Nakanishi and Takai 2004). In this article, we overview the molecular organization of the AJs constructed with these membrane proteins, as well as the regulatory mechanisms that operate

to sustain or remodel these junctions, paying much attention to the linkages between the AJ and cytoskeletal or signaling proteins.

CADHERINS

The classic cadherin family comprises approximately 20 members that share a common domain organization. The members are called E-cadherin (cdh1), N-cadherin (cdh2), and so on, each of which shows a distinct tissue distribution pattern (Takeichi 1988). Their extracellular domain is divided into five repetitive subdomains, called cadherin repeats or EC domains, and each subdomain contains calcium-binding sequences (Overduin et al. 1995). The interaction of calcium ions with these sequences controls the conformation of the extracellular domain (Pokutta et al. 1994), switching its adhesive function “off” and “on.” On its association with calcium, the extracellular domain of cadherins on a cell undergoes homophilic interaction with that of cadherins present on the apposed cells (Fig. 2). The precise mechanism for this homophilic interaction is still controversial (Trojanovsky 2005), although current studies support the

Figure 2. Representative molecular constituents of the zonula adherens. These constituents vary with the types of adherens junction.

idea that a *trans* binding between cadherin monomers via their EC1 domains initiates their interactions (Zhang et al. 2009).

The calcium-sensitive sequences are highly conserved among the family members, whose sequences are the hallmarks for this family, and all classic cadherins show a similar calcium dependence. Other sequences in the extracellular domain, however, vary, and this sequence variation confers the adhesive specificity on each member (Nose et al. 1988). For example, E-cadherin preferentially binds E-cadherin, and N-cadherin does so to N-cadherin; although, the degree of the selectivity changes depending on the partners (Shimoyama et al. 2000; Patel et al. 2006). This nature of classic cadherins has been implicated in the sorting of different cell types (Takeichi 1988).

AJs occur in a wide variety of animal species, and classic cadherin-type molecules have been identified in many species (Oda et al. 2005). However, their molecular organization is not perfectly conserved. For example, although *Drosophila* E-cadherin (DE-cadherin) is a

component of the AJ, it has seven EC domains, instead of the five in the vertebrate classic cadherins (Oda et al. 1994). The other *Drosophila* classic cadherin, DN-cadherin, has even 17 EC domains (Iwai et al. 1997). These *Drosophila* cadherins also contain other domains, such as EGF-like and laminin globular-like domains, inserted between the set of EC domains and the transmembrane domain. As a result, these *Drosophila* cadherins are much larger in size than the vertebrate ones, despite the similar appearance of their AJs. The functional importance of AJs also differs among species. For example, *Caenorhabditis elegans* has a classic-cadherin-type molecule (HMR-1), but its role in cell junction formation is limited: HMR-1-deficient animals fail to enclose the epidermal sheets, but their general cell junctions look normal even in the absence of this cadherin (Cox et al. 2004). The organization of apical junctional complexes is also different between vertebrates and invertebrates. In *Drosophila*, the relative positions of the AJ and tight junction are inverse; that is, the AJ is

W. Meng and M. Takeichi

located above the septate junction, which is considered as a structure equivalent to the vertebrate tight junction. Irrespective of these species-dependent diversifications, the key structure and function of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain is highly conserved among different species; that is, the classic cadherins from any species interact with their conserved cytoplasmic partners (see the following).

After the discovery of the classic cadherins, a number of other molecules that share the conserved EC domains but have divergent cytoplasmic sequences were identified, and these are collectively called nonclassic cadherins. These include desmosomal cadherins (Wheeler et al. 1991; Buxton and Magee 1992), protocadherins (Redies et al. 2005; Morishita and Yagi 2007), Fat and Dachshous cadherins (Saburi and McNeill 2005; Tanoue and Takeichi 2005), and Flamingo/Celsr (Takeichi 2007) (see McNeill et al. 2009). Among them, desmosomal cadherins are the closest to the classic cadherins, and desmosomes, in fact, are similar in appearance to AJs, though not identical from a number of aspects (Holthofer et al. 2007) (see Green et al. 2009; Delva et al. 2009). Except for the desmosomal cadherins, other nonclassic cadherins appear not to organize specialized junctions, nor to be the components essential for AJ formation; although, they generally can undergo homophilic interactions at the cell–cell interfaces. These nonclassic cadherins seem to have acquired unique molecular roles, rather than that for the physical linking of cells. For example, some of the protocadherins negatively regulate the classic cadherin-dependent adhesion (Chen and Gumbiner 2006), and Fat (Nollet et al. 2000; Strutt and Strutt 2005; Tanoue and Takeichi 2005) and Flamingo (Usui et al. 1999) regulate planar cellular polarity as well as other forms of cellular interactions.

CADHERIN–CATENIN COMPLEX

The cytoplasmic domains are highly conserved among the classic cadherin members, and they bind common cytoplasmic molecules, collectively called catenins (Fig. 2). The juxtamembrane portion of the cytoplasmic domain

associates with p120-catenin, which belongs to a subfamily of the armadillo proteins (Reynolds et al. 1992; Shibamoto et al. 1995; Hatzfeld 2005). The carboxy-terminal half of the cytoplasmic domain, on the other hand, binds β -catenin or plakoglobin (γ -catenin), which are close relatives of each other (Ozawa et al. 1989; Knudsen and Wheelock 1992; Ozawa and Kemler 1992). As mentioned above, these molecular partners for the cytoplasmic domain are well conserved among different animal species; e.g., its invertebrate versions can bind both p120-catenin (JAC-1 in *C. elegans*) and β -catenin (Armadillo in *Drosophila*; HMP-2 in *C. elegans*) (Peifer and Wieschaus 1990; Cox et al. 2004). These catenins in turn associate with a variety of other molecules, including cytoskeletal proteins and their regulators. These cytoplasmic components of AJ affect the adhesive action of the extracellular domain of cadherins in various ways, leading to alterations in the strength and stability of cell–cell contacts.

INTERACTIONS WITH THE ACTIN CYTOSKELETON

The AJ is morphologically associated with actin filaments, posing the questions of how this association is established and what role the actin plays in AJ organization and function. A key player is thought to be α -catenin, a molecule similar to vinculin (Herrenknecht et al. 1991; Nagafuchi et al. 1991). The α -catenin binds β -catenin, resulting in the formation of the cadherin– β -catenin– α -catenin complex. Early biochemical studies showed that α -catenin can interact with actin filaments (Rimm et al. 1995), giving rise to the general belief that α -catenin acts as a linker between the cadherin– β -catenin complex and F-actin. However, this concept was challenged by the finding that the α -catenin complexed with cadherin and β -catenin cannot bind F-actin in vitro and that only free α -catenins can do so (Drees et al. 2005; Yamada et al. 2005). This finding suggested the possibility that the cadherin– β -catenin– α -catenin complex might interact with F-actin via some other

mediator(s). In fact, α -catenin has been shown to associate with actin-binding proteins such as formin (Kobielak et al. 2004) and vinculin (Watabe-Uchida et al. 1998), and a very recent study identified another actin-binding protein, EPLIN (epithelial protein lost in neoplasm; also known as Lima-1), as an α -catenin partner (Abe and Takeichi 2008). EPLIN is known to enhance the bundling of actin filaments and to stabilize them by suppressing F-actin depolymerization (Maul et al. 2003). The EPLIN can bind α -catenin when the latter is associated with the cadherin- β -catenin complex, and this entire complex binds F-actin. This finding illustrates a novel pathway for the interaction between cadherin and F-actin (Fig. 2).

It should be noted that the morphology of actin-AJ association differs with the cell types or cellular conditions (Yonemura et al. 1995). Although actin filaments run parallel along the ZA in simple cuboidal or columnar epithelia, these filaments often perpendicularly terminate at cell-cell borders in many other junctions, e.g., in those of stratified epithelium-derived cells and fibroblastic cells, and also in immature junctions of most cell types (Fig. 1). It is highly possible that different molecular mechanisms operate for the linking of F-actin to AJs in such different types of junction. In fact, even in the absence of EPLIN, F-actin still morphologically associates with AJs (see the following). Meanwhile, the actin-associated cadherins do not necessarily form a static domain. In some cell lines, actin filaments are aligned from the basal to apical end of cell junctions, and these actins display a type of retrograde flow. Cadherins are tethered to these actin filaments in an α -catenin-dependent manner and move together with the actins, displaying “cadherin flow” (Kametani and Takeichi 2007); although, the biological role of this flow is not understood yet. In mature epithelia, α -catenin is also important for regulating the mobility of cadherins (Cavey et al. 2008) (see Stepniak et al. 2009).

What happens if the linkage between the cadherin-catenin complex and F-actin is disrupted? When α -catenin is removed, AJ organization is disrupted, and the apical actin

belt becomes segregated from the cadherin-catenin complex (Watabe-Uchida et al. 1998). If this occurs in neural epithelia in vivo, their architecture is seriously damaged (Vasioukhin et al. 2001). Removal of neural α -catenin (α N-catenin) from synaptic AJs destabilizes synaptic contacts (Abe et al. 2004). On the other hand, EPLIN loss in epithelia results in different types of defects at the junctions. The circumferential actin belt disappears, being converted to radially oriented actin filaments, indicating that EPLIN is important not only for the linkage between cadherin and F-actin but also for stabilizing this unique configuration of actin fibers (Abe and Takeichi 2008). Importantly, the actin filaments, rearranged as a result of EPLIN loss, still target cadherins, which now assume a spotty localization as seen in fibroblasts or immature epithelial junctions. This finding suggests that EPLIN is not the sole linker between cadherin and F-actin and that other linkers must be present and also suggests the possibility that the shape of AJs could be altered by the type of cadherin-actin linkers.

Because the actin filaments are essential for AJ assembly, regulators of these filaments would be expected to affect it. In fact, Rho-family small GTPases, such as RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42, as well as their GEFs and GAPs, have been shown to regulate AJ formation and integrity (Braga 2000). Because these molecules are used for a wide variety of cell behavior, precise dissection of whether a given GTPase targets the actin filaments directly involved in junction formation or those involved in other processes, such as cell motility, is important for our correct understanding of the roles of these enzymes. aPKC has also been shown to be essential for the circumferential actin belt formation in epithelial cells. When aPKC is inactivated, the circumferential actin belt is lost, and AJs become spot-like (Suzuki et al. 2002). In vivo, aPKC knockout results in the loss of adherens junctions in neuroepithelial cells (Imai et al. 2006). It has been proposed that the action of aPKC is to antagonize the myosin-II-driven centripetal contraction of the circumferential actin cables (Kishikawa et al. 2008).

W. Meng and M. Takeichi

The contractility of AJ-associated circumferential actin belts is used for morphogenesis. A well-known example is the Shroom3-dependent constriction of the zonula adherens (ZA) in epithelial layers, where constriction plays an important role in epithelial folding or bending (Haigo et al. 2003; Hildebrand 2005). In this case, the actin-binding protein Shroom3 recruits Rho kinases (ROCKs) near the ZA, and activates myosin-II, inducing the contraction of the circumferential actin belts (Nishimura and Takeichi 2008). The actomyosin-dependent regulation of the AJ is also implicated in cell intercalation during early morphogenesis of *Drosophila* embryos (Bertet et al. 2004; Zallen and Wieschaus 2004; Blankenship et al. 2006).

INTERACTIONS WITH MICROTUBULES

As compared with the actin cytoskeleton, less attention has been paid to microtubules (MTs) with reference to the structure and function of the AJ. Actually, the MTs do not show specialized condensation at the AJ, contrasted with the unique bundling of actin fibers along the AJ. However, MTs have occasionally been observed to be located in a close proximity to the AJ, running parallel to this junction. Moreover, the radially extending MTs are targeted to the AJs with their plus ends in a CLIP-170-dependent manner, and blocking of the MT extension toward the AJs causes a reduction in the accumulation of junctional E-cadherin (Stehbens et al. 2006). In addition, dynein was found to bind β -catenin, and this β -catenin-associated dynein was proposed to tether MTs to cell junctions (Ligon et al. 2001; Shaw et al. 2007). These observations suggest a potential interaction of AJ with MT plus ends, and their interaction seems to have some roles in AJ assembly. In support of this idea, reagents that depolymerize MTs are known to disrupt the integrity of the AJs (Waterman-Storer et al. 2000), and even inhibit the disassembly of cell junctions (Ivanov et al. 2006).

Recent studies have also revealed that MT minus ends interact with AJs via p120-catenin (p120). This catenin recognizes and binds a

specific sequence located in the juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain. The p120-cadherin binding is known to play a central role in the stability of cadherin-mediated junctions; i.e., when p120 is removed, the plasma membrane-associated cadherins become endocytosed, leading to reduced cell–cell associations (see the following discussion). This action of p120 requires the armadillo domain occupying its central region (Liu et al. 2007). Other series of studies have found that p120 can associate with MTs (Chen et al. 2003; Rocznik-Ferguson and Reynolds 2003; Franz and Ridley 2004; Yanagisawa et al. 2004), and this ability of p120 requires its carboxy-terminal domain (Ichii and Takeichi 2007), suggesting that the amino-terminal and armadillo domains of this catenin have separate functions. Further studies on the amino-terminal domain identified a new partner for p120 (Meng et al. 2008). This is PLEKHA7, which binds the amino-terminal domain of p120. Intriguingly, this protein localizes specifically along the ZA, but not in other portions of the junctions in epithelial sheets, despite the ubiquitous distribution of p120 and cadherins at the cell–cell contacts. Depletion of PLEKHA7 exclusively disrupts the ZA, but not the entire cell–cell junctions, suggesting that this protein is specifically required to maintain the ZA. Subsequently, PLEKHA7 was found to bind another protein, termed Nezha, which is again distributed along the ZA; although Nezha was also detected as punctate signals in the cytoplasm. Nezha displays an important property: It binds the minus ends of MTs, and tethers them to the ZA, allowing their extension and retraction from the cell junctions.

These studies have uncovered the presence of a novel population of MTs, whose minus ends are anchored at the ZA via the PLEKHA7–Nezha complex (Fig. 2). The functions of these MTs are not fully understood yet, but preliminary observations suggest that minus-end directed kinesin motors, such as KIFC3, use these MTs to transport themselves to the ZA. Depletion of PLEKHA7, Nezha, KIFC3, and MTs results in similar defects in ZA organization. Thus, these molecules

appear to work together by forming a complex to sustain the ZA architecture. It should be re-emphasized that this novel molecular complex appears to be important only for the ZA, but not for the entire cadherin-mediated cell–cell contacts, confirming that the ZA is a specialized domain of the cadherin-mediated junctions/AJs. The mechanisms of how PLEKHA7 interacts with p120 only at the ZA remains unknown.

In sum, evidence is accumulating that MTs play roles in AJ assembly, where both the plus and minus ends of MTs have been suggested to be involved. Although the molecular mechanisms for the plus-end interactions with AJs have not been determined yet, the two populations of MTs with the opposite polarity might cooperate together for AJ regulation.

COOPERATION BETWEEN CADHERIN AND NECTIN

Nectins are a family of immunoglobulin-like molecules, consisting of four members (Nakanishi and Takai 2004). They are accumulated at the AJ, colocalizing with cadherins (Fig. 2). The cytoplasmic domain of nectins associates with AF6/afadin via the carboxy-terminal PDZ-binding motif of the former. Afadin, on the other hand, was shown to interact with α -catenin, suggesting that a physical association might occur between the cadherin–catenin and nectin–afadin complexes (Tachibana et al. 2000). Because afadin is an actin-binding protein (Mandai et al. 1997; Takahashi et al. 1999), this system may also play a role in the linking of AJ to actin filaments.

Nectins interact with other nectins in either a homophilic or heterophilic way. Different from the classic cadherins, nectins prefer heterotypic partners to homotypic ones, and their heterophilic binding produces stronger cell–cell adhesion than the homophilic interactions (Fabre et al. 2002; Yasumi et al. 2003; Martinez-Rico et al. 2005). Importantly, during the process of early cell–cell contacts, nectins first accumulate at the contacts, and then cadherins follow them (Takai et al. 2003), suggesting that the former may guide the

latter in their junctional localization. This can be seen in the following example: When cells expressing nectin-1 and nectin-3 are mixed, these nectins preferentially accumulate at the heterotypic interfaces of the cells. In these cells, cadherins also become predominantly concentrated at the heterotypic nectin-positive cell boundaries (Togashi et al. 2006). Thus, this form of nectin interaction serves for recruiting cadherins to heterotypic cell–cell borders, which are otherwise distributed throughout cell–cell borders. This ability of nectins is used for recruiting cadherins to the synaptic contacts formed between two distinct domains of hippocampal neurons, i.e., axons and dendrites, which express nectin-1 and nectin-3, respectively (Togashi et al. 2006). Thus, nectins show important cooperativity with classic cadherins in generating heterotypic cell–cell contacts.

INTERACTIONS WITH CELL POLARITY REGULATORS

How is the apical junctional complex located apically? The apico-basal polarity of cells is regulated by a couple of molecular complexes, including the aPKC–Par6–Par3 complex localized at a subapical region of the cell junction (Margolis and Borg 2005). Genetic analysis using *Drosophila* embryos showed that the *Drosophila* homolog of Par3 (Bazooka) could establish apical complexes in the absence of AJs, indicating that Bazooka acts upstream of AJ formation (Harris and Peifer 2005). Some reports, on the other hand, suggest the AJs have a physical interaction with these polarity factors: Par3 and Par6, but not aPKC, coprecipitate with VE-cadherin, the endothelial-specific cadherin (Iden et al. 2006). Par3/Bazooka colocalizes with cadherins in epithelial junctions (Harris and Peifer 2005; Afonso and Henrique 2006). These observations illustrate a possible pathway by which the apical membrane domain is primarily determined by those apical determinants, and, in turn, the AJ/ZA is recruited to this domain, possibly via interactions with the pre-existing Par complex. Cadherins may also interact with another polarity regulator,

W. Meng and M. Takeichi

the Scrib-Dlg-Lgl complex localized at the lateral membrane (Reuver and Garner 1998; Navarro et al. 2005). However, the mechanisms responsible for the clustering of the three junctions, i.e., tight junction, AJ, and desmosomes, to form the apical junctional complex and their alignment in a specific order remain unresolved.

CADHERIN EXPRESSION AND RECYCLING

The AJ in mature tissues appears to be a static structure, but actually, cadherin molecules are turning over, and their surface levels are controlled by various mechanisms. Elucidating these mechanisms is important for a molecular understanding of the homeostatic nature of cell junctions. In epithelial cells, the newly synthesized cadherins are transferred from the Golgi to AJs via an exocyst-dependent mechanism (Yeaman et al. 2004). For recycling, E-cadherin is transported to recycling endosomes, and then trafficked to late endosomes for return to the cell surface. DE-cadherin trafficking depends on the interaction of Rab11 and β -catenin with exocyst components Sec15 and Sec10, respectively (Langevin et al. 2005).

The cell surface-located cadherins are stabilized by their homophilic interactions. When cell–cell junctions are artificially disrupted by depletion of extracellular calcium or by other means, the cadherins are actively internalized (Kartenbeck et al. 1991). Under the physiological situation, p120 plays a critical role in cadherin stability (Reynolds 2007). It has been proposed that the attachment of p120 to cadherin masks a dileucine motif on the juxta-membrane region of the cytoplasmic domain, which is sensitive to endocytotic signals (Miyashita and Ozawa 2007), and thereby stabilizes the cadherins. The p120-cadherin binding is strengthened by the interaction of p120 with nectin-associated afadin in a way depending on Rap1, a small GTPase known to be important for AJ formation (Kooistra et al. 2007), and this results in the suppression of E-cadherin endocytosis (Hoshino et al. 2005). A component of the tight junction, PALS1, can also regulate the cadherin

trafficking: In PALS1-knocked-down epithelial cells, the exocyst complex is mislocalized, and E-cadherin puncta accumulate in the cell periphery (Wang et al. 2007). Recently, the Cdc42-Par6-aPKC pathway was reported to stabilize the AJ via the control of Arp2/3-dependent endocytosis (Georgiou et al. 2008; Leibfried et al. 2008). Thus, the cadherin stability is regulated in a variety of ways.

The level of cadherins on the cell surface is also controlled by transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulators. Many zinc finger family transcription factors have been implicated in the control of cadherin expression. For example, the zinc finger transcription factor “Snail” is considered as a repressor of E-cadherin transcription, and the expression of Snail inversely correlates with that of E-cadherin (Cano et al. 2000). Other zinc-finger-family transcription factors, such as SIP1, δ EF1, Slug, Twist, and E12, similarly act as cadherin transcription repressors through their interaction with the E-box (Remacle et al. 1999; Comijn et al. 2001; Perez-Moreno et al. 2001; Hajra et al. 2002; Yang et al. 2004). Recently, a family of micro RNAs (miRNAs), such as miR-200, was reported to control the expression level of E-cadherin during the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT). Ectopic expression of miR-200 in cell lines up-regulates the expression of E-cadherin. These microRNAs act on E-cadherin transcriptional repressors ZEB1/ δ EF1 and ZEB2/SIP1, and thereby regulate EMT (Gregory et al. 2008; Park et al. 2008). Another miRNA, miR-373, was found to induce E-cadherin expression by recognizing a target site in the promoter of the gene for E-cadherin (Li et al. 2006; Place et al. 2008). These findings provide novel insights into the regulation of cadherin gene expression, which shows highly complex patterns during development (Takeichi 1988).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The AJs do not display highly specialized ultrastructures, except for having the actin undercoats, as compared with the tight junction, desmosome, and gap junction, each of which

shows uniquely decorated plasma membranes or intercellular architecture. The relatively simple structure of the AJ may reflect its dynamic nature and flexibility. In fact, the overall morphology of AJs varies with the cell type and changes during morphogenetic cell rearrangements such as convergent-extension and EMT. The observations of AJ remodeling during *Drosophila* germ band extension suggest that the AJs function not only as a physical ligand between cells but also as an active regulator for cell rearrangement (Lecuit and Lenne 2007). Under these circumstances, studies on AJs will continue for answering at least two lines of questions: How are the AJs maintained or disrupted? And, how does the regulation of AJs contribute to normal morphogenetic cell behavior as well as to the pathogenic one, such as cancer invasion and metastasis?

The integrity of AJs is sustained by cytoplasmic components, including catenins and associated molecules, actin filaments, and microtubules, as well as by the recycling machinery. Although the entire system is apparently complicated, one of the crucial mechanisms to maintain the AJs obviously underlies the interplay of cadherin and the cytoskeleton. We have not obtained a complete answer to the long-standing question of how actin regulates the adhesive function of cadherins. Now, a new question has been posed: What role do MTs have in AJ assembly? Future studies are needed to resolve these problems, through the analysis of the roles of actin regulators and MT-associated proteins.

To understand the morphogenetic roles of AJs, physical and mathematical modeling is becoming a powerful tool (Honda et al. 2008). For example, anisotropy of cortical tension at the AJs has been shown to be sufficient to drive tissue elongation (Rauzi et al. 2008). On the experimental biology side, it will be important to delineate the cooperative mechanisms between AJs and other morphogenetic regulators that work at cell–cell borders, for example, planar cell polarity (PCP) signaling (Fanto and McNeill 2004), as AJs alone would not be sufficient for responding to so many morphogenetic signals.

Other important issues yet unanswered include how the morphology of AJs differs between cell types, and the roles of different classic cadherin members in AJ formation. Each cadherin subtype, which shows a unique tissue distribution, might confer some kind of tissue specificity on the structure and functions of AJs, but this concept has not been tested. The roles of nonclassic cadherins in AJ regulation is another interesting issue, as some proto-cadherins can down-regulate the functions of classic cadherins (Chen and Gumbiner 2006; Nakao et al. 2008). There must be a number of unknown interactions between the classic and nonclassic cadherin systems, as both of their components accumulate at cell–cell contacts. All these studies targeted on the AJ give us deeper insights into the problem of how individual cells, which can freely move when isolated, can regulate themselves via cell–cell contacts to generate highly ordered multicellular systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Katsutoshi Taguchi for photographs. Work in our laboratory was supported by the program Grants-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

- Abe K, Chisaka O, Van Roy F, Takeichi M. 2004. Stability of dendritic spines and synaptic contacts is controlled by α N-catenin. *Nat Neurosci* **7**: 357–363.
- Abe K, Takeichi M. 2008. EPLIN mediates linkage of the cadherin catenin complex to F-actin and stabilizes the circumferential actin belt. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 13–19.
- Afonso C, Henrique D. 2006. PAR3 acts as a molecular organizer to define the apical domain of chick neuroepithelial cells. *J Cell Sci* **119**: 4293–4304.
- Bertet C, Sulak L, Lecuit T. 2004. Myosin-dependent junction remodelling controls planar cell intercalation and axis elongation. *Nature* **429**: 667–671.
- Blankenship JT, Backovic ST, Sanny JS, Weitz O, Zallen JA. 2006. Multicellular rosette formation links planar cell polarity to tissue morphogenesis. *Dev Cell* **11**: 459–470.
- Braga V. 2000. Epithelial cell shape: Cadherins and small GTPases. *Exp Cell Res* **261**: 83–90.

W. Meng and M. Takeichi

- Buxton RS, Magee AI. 1992. Structure and interactions of desmosomal and other cadherins. *Semin Cell Biol* **3**: 157–167.
- Cano A, Perez-Moreno MA, Rodrigo I, Locascio A, Blanco MJ, del Barrio MG, Portillo F, Nieto MA. 2000. The transcription factor snail controls epithelial-mesenchymal transitions by repressing E-cadherin expression. *Nat Cell Biol* **2**: 76–83.
- Cavey M, Rauzi M, Lenne PF, Lecuit T. 2008. A two-tiered mechanism for stabilization and immobilization of E-cadherin. *Nature* **453**: 751–756.
- Chen X, Gumbiner BM. 2006. Paraxial protocadherin mediates cell sorting and tissue morphogenesis by regulating C-cadherin adhesion activity. *J Cell Biol* **174**: 301–313.
- Chen X, Kojima S, Borisy GG, Green KJ. 2003. p120 catenin associates with kinesin and facilitates the transport of cadherin-catenin complexes to intercellular junctions. *J Cell Biol* **163**: 547–557.
- Comijn J, Berx G, Vermassen P, Verschuere K, van Grunsven L, Bruyneel E, Mareel M, Huylebroeck D, van Roy F. 2001. The two-handed E box binding zinc finger protein SIP1 downregulates E-cadherin and induces invasion. *Mol Cell* **7**: 1267–1278.
- Cox EA, Tuskey C, Hardin J. 2004. Cell adhesion receptors in *C. elegans*. *J Cell Sci* **117**: 1867–1870.
- Delva E, Tucker DK, Kowalczyk AP. 2009. The Desmosome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **1**: a002543.
- Drees F, Pokutta S, Yamada S, Nelson WJ, Weis WI. 2005. α -Catenin is a molecular switch that binds E-cadherin- β -catenin and regulates actin-filament assembly. *Cell* **123**: 903–915.
- Fabre S, Reymond N, Cocchi F, Menotti L, Dubreuil P, Campadelli-Fiume G, Lopez M. 2002. Prominent role of the Ig-like V domain in trans-interactions of nectins. Nectin3 and nectin 4 bind to the predicted C-C'-C''-D β -strands of the nectin1 V domain. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 27006–27013.
- Fanto M, McNeill H. 2004. Planar polarity from flies to vertebrates. *J Cell Sci* **117**: 527–533.
- Farquhar MG, Palade GE. 1963. Junctional complexes in various epithelia. *J Cell Biol* **17**: 375–412.
- Franz CM, Ridley AJ. 2004. p120 catenin associates with microtubules: Inverse relationship between microtubule binding and Rho GTPase regulation. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 6588–6594.
- Gallin WJ, Edelman GM, Cunningham BA. 1983. Characterization of L-CAM, a major cell adhesion molecule from embryonic liver cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **80**: 1038–1042.
- Georgiou M, Marinari E, Burden J, Baum B. 2008. Cdc42, Par6, and aPKC regulate Arp2/3-mediated endocytosis to control local adherens junction stability. *Curr Biol* **18**: 1631–1638.
- Green KJ, Getsios S, Troyanovsky S, Godsel LM. 2009. Intercellular junction assembly, dynamics and homeostasis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**: a000125.
- Gregory PA, Bracken CP, Bert AG, Goodall GJ. 2008. MicroRNAs as regulators of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cell Cycle* **7**: 3112–3118.
- Haigo SL, Hildebrand JD, Harland RM, Wallingford JB. 2003. Shroom induces apical constriction and is required for hinge-point formation during neural tube closure. *Curr Biol* **13**: 2125–2137.
- Hajra KM, Chen DY, Fearon ER. 2002. The SLUG zinc-finger protein represses E-cadherin in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* **62**: 1613–1618.
- Harris TJ, Peifer M. 2005. The positioning and segregation of apical cues during epithelial polarity establishment in *Drosophila*. *J Cell Biol* **170**: 813–823.
- Hatzfeld M. 2005. The p120 family of cell adhesion molecules. *Eur J Cell Biol* **84**: 205–214.
- Herrenknecht K, Ozawa M, Eckerskorn C, Lottspeich F, Lenter M, Kemler R. 1991. The uvomorulin-anchorage protein α catenin is a vinculin homologue. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **88**: 9156–9160.
- Hildebrand JD. 2005. Shroom regulates epithelial cell shape via the apical positioning of an actomyosin network. *J Cell Sci* **118**: 5191–5203.
- Hirokawa N, Heuser JE. 1981. Quick-freeze, deep-etch visualization of the cytoskeleton beneath surface differentiations of intestinal epithelial cells. *J Cell Biol* **91**: 399–409.
- Holthofer B, Windoffer R, Troyanovsky S, Leube RE. 2007. Structure and function of desmosomes. *Int Rev Cytol* **264**: 65–163.
- Honda H, Nagai T, Tanemura M. 2008. Two different mechanisms of planar cell intercalation leading to tissue elongation. *Dev Dyn* **237**: 1826–1836.
- Hoshino T, Sakisaka T, Baba T, Yamada T, Kimura T, Takai Y. 2005. Regulation of E-cadherin endocytosis by nectin through afadin, Rap1, and p120ctn. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 24095–24103.
- Ichii T, Takeichi M. 2007. p120-catenin regulates microtubule dynamics and cell migration in a cadherin-independent manner. *Genes Cells* **12**: 827–839.
- Iden S, Rehder D, August B, Suzuki A, Wollburg-Buchholz K, Wolburg H, Ohno S, Behrens J, Vestweber D, Ebnet K. 2006. A distinct PAR complex associates physically with VE-cadherin in vertebrate endothelial cells. *EMBO Rep* **7**: 1239–1246.
- Imai F, Hirai S, Akimoto K, Koyama H, Miyata T, Ogawa M, Noguchi S, Sasaoka T, Noda T, Ohno S. 2006. Inactivation of aPKC λ results in the loss of adherens junctions in neuroepithelial cells without affecting neurogenesis in mouse neocortex. *Development* **133**: 1735–1744.
- Ivanov AI, McCall IC, Babbini B, Samarin SN, Nusrat A, Parkos CA. 2006. Microtubules regulate disassembly of epithelial apical junctions. *BMC Cell Biol* **7**: 12.
- Iwai Y, Usui T, Hirano S, Steward R, Takeichi M, Uemura T. 1997. Axon patterning requires DN-cadherin, a novel neuronal adhesion receptor, in the *Drosophila* embryonic CNS. *Neuron* **19**: 77–89.
- Kametani Y, Takeichi M. 2007. Basal-to-apical cadherin flow at cell junctions. *Nat Cell Biol* **9**: 92–98.
- Kartenbeck J, Schmelz M, Franke WW, Geiger B. 1991. Endocytosis of junctional cadherins in bovine kidney epithelial (MDBK) cells cultured in low Ca²⁺ ion medium. *J Cell Biol* **113**: 881–892.
- Kishikawa M, Suzuki A, Ohno S. 2008. aPKC enables development of zonula adherens by antagonizing centripetal contraction of the circumferential actomyosin cables. *J Cell Sci* **121**: 2481–2492.

- Knudsen KA, Wheelock MJ. 1992. Plakoglobin, or an 83-kD homologue distinct from β -catenin, interacts with E-cadherin and N-cadherin. *J Cell Biol* **118**: 671–679.
- Kobielak A, Pasolli HA, Fuchs E. 2004. Mammalian formin-1 participates in adherens junctions and polymerization of linear actin cables. *Nat Cell Biol* **6**: 21–30.
- Kooistra MR, Dube N, Bos JL. 2007. Rap1: A key regulator in cell-cell junction formation. *J Cell Sci* **120**: 17–22.
- Langevin J, Morgan MJ, Sibarita JB, Aresta S, Murthy M, Schwarz T, Camonis J, Bellaiche Y. 2005. *Drosophila* exocyst components Sec5, Sec6, and Sec15 regulate DE-Cadherin trafficking from recycling endosomes to the plasma membrane. *Dev Cell* **9**: 365–376.
- Lecuit T, Lenne PF. 2007. Cell surface mechanics and the control of cell shape, tissue patterns and morphogenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **8**: 633–644.
- Leibfried A, Fricke R, Morgan MJ, Bogdan S, Bellaiche Y. 2008. *Drosophila* Cip4 and WASp define a branch of the Cdc42-Par6-aPKC pathway regulating E-cadherin endocytosis. *Curr Biol* **18**: 1639–1648.
- Li LC, Okino ST, Zhao H, Pookot D, Place RF, Urakami S, Enokida H, Dahiya R. 2006. Small dsRNAs induce transcriptional activation in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 17337–17342.
- Ligon LA, Karki S, Tokito M, Holzbaur EL. 2001. Dynein binds to beta-catenin and may tether microtubules at adherens junctions. *Nat Cell Biol* **3**: 913–917.
- Liu H, Komiya S, Shimizu M, Fukunaga Y, Nagafuchi A. 2007. Involvement of p120 carboxy-terminal domain in cadherin trafficking. *Cell Struct Funct* **32**: 127–137.
- Mandai K, Nakanishi H, Satoh A, Obaishi H, Wada M, Nishioka H, Itoh M, Mizoguchi A, Aoki T, Fujimoto T, Matsuda Y, Tsukita S, Takai Y. 1997. Afadin: A novel actin filament-binding protein with one PDZ domain localized at cadherin-based cell-to-cell adherens junction. *J Cell Biol* **139**: 517–528.
- Margolis B, Borg JP. 2005. Apicobasal polarity complexes. *J Cell Sci* **118**: 5157–5159.
- Martinez-Rico C, Pincet F, Perez E, Thierry JP, Shimizu K, Takai Y, Dufour S. 2005. Separation force measurements reveal different types of modulation of E-cadherin-based adhesion by nectin-1 and -3. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 4753–4760.
- Maul RS, Song Y, Amann KJ, Gerbin SC, Pollard TD, Chang DD. 2003. EPLIN regulates actin dynamics by cross-linking and stabilizing filaments. *J Cell Biol* **160**: 399–407.
- McNeill H. 2009. Planar cell polarity: Keeping hairs straight is not so simple. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**: a003376.
- Meng W, Mushika Y, Ichii T, Takeichi M. 2008. Anchorage of microtubule minus ends to adherens junctions regulates epithelial cell-cell contacts. *Cell* **135**: 948–959.
- Miyaguchi K. 2000. Ultrastructure of the zonula adherens revealed by rapid-freeze deep-etching. *J Struct Biol* **132**: 169–178.
- Miyashita Y, Ozawa M. 2007. A dileucine motif in its cytoplasmic domain directs β -catenin-uncoupled E-cadherin to the lysosome. *J Cell Sci* **120**: 4395–4406.
- Morishita H, Yagi T. 2007. Protocadherin family: Diversity, structure, and function. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **19**: 584–592.
- Nagafuchi A, Takeichi M, Tsukita S. 1991. The 102 kd cadherin-associated protein: Similarity to vinculin and posttranscriptional regulation of expression. *Cell* **65**: 849–857.
- Nakanishi H, Takai Y. 2004. Roles of nectins in cell adhesion, migration and polarization. *Biol Chem* **385**: 885–892.
- Nakao S, Platek A, Hirano S, Takeichi M. 2008. Contact-dependent promotion of cell migration by the OL-protocadherin-Nap1 interaction. *J Cell Biol* **182**: 395–410.
- Navarro C, Nola S, Audebert S, Santoni MJ, Arsanto JP, Ginestier C, Marchetto S, Jacquemier J, Isnardon D, Le Bivic A, Birnbaum D, Borg JP. 2005. Functional recruitment of mammalian Scribble relies on E-cadherin engagement. *Oncogene* **24**: 4330–4339.
- Nishimura T, Takeichi M. 2008. Shroom3-mediated recruitment of Rho kinases to the apical cell junctions regulates epithelial and neuroepithelial planar remodeling. *Development* **135**: 1493–1502.
- Nollet F, Kools P, van Roy F. 2000. Phylogenetic analysis of the cadherin superfamily allows identification of six major subfamilies besides several solitary members. *J Mol Biol* **299**: 551–572.
- Nose A, Nagafuchi A, Takeichi M. 1988. Expressed recombinant cadherins mediate cell sorting in model systems. *Cell* **54**: 993–1001.
- Oda H, Uemura T, Harada Y, Iwai Y, Takeichi M. 1994. A *Drosophila* homolog of cadherin associated with armadillo and essential for embryonic cell-cell adhesion. *Dev Biol* **165**: 716–726.
- Oda H, Tagawa K, Akiyama-Oda Y. 2005. Diversification of epithelial adherens junctions with independent reductive changes in cadherin form: Identification of potential molecular synapomorphies among bilaterians. *Evol Dev* **7**: 376–389.
- Overduin M, Harvey TS, Bagby S, Tong KI, Yau P, Takeichi M, Ikura M. 1995. Solution structure of the epithelial cadherin domain responsible for selective cell adhesion. *Science* **267**: 386–389.
- Ozawa M, Kemler R. 1992. Molecular organization of the uvomorulin-catenin complex. *J Cell Biol* **116**: 989–996.
- Ozawa M, Baribault H, Kemler R. 1989. The cytoplasmic domain of the cell adhesion molecule uvomorulin associates with three independent proteins structurally related in different species. *EMBO J* **8**: 1711–1717.
- Park SM, Gaur AB, Lengyel E, Peter ME. 2008. The miR-200 family determines the epithelial phenotype of cancer cells by targeting the E-cadherin repressors ZEB1 and ZEB2. *Genes Dev* **22**: 894–907.
- Patel SD, Ciatto C, Chen CP, Bahna F, Rajebhosale M, Arkus N, Schieren I, Jessell TM, Honig B, Price SR, Shapiro L. 2006. Type II cadherin ectodomain structures: Implications for classical cadherin specificity. *Cell* **124**: 1255–1268.
- Peifer M, Wieschaus E. 1990. The segment polarity gene armadillo encodes a functionally modular protein that is the *Drosophila* homolog of human plakoglobin. *Cell* **63**: 1167–1176.

W. Meng and M. Takeichi

- Perez-Moreno MA, Locascio A, Rodrigo I, Dhondt G, Portillo F, Nieto MA, Cano A. 2001. A new role for E12/E47 in the repression of E-cadherin expression and epithelial-mesenchymal transitions. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 27424–27431.
- Peyrieras N, Hyafil F, Louvard D, Ploegh HL, Jacob E. 1983. Uvomorulin: A nonintegral membrane protein of early mouse embryo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **80**: 6274–6277.
- Place RF, Li LC, Pookot D, Noonan EJ, Dahiya R. 2008. MicroRNA-373 induces expression of genes with complementary promoter sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 1608–1613.
- Pokutta S, Herrenknecht K, Kemler R, Engel J. 1994. Conformational changes of the recombinant extracellular domain of E-cadherin upon calcium binding. *Eur J Biochem* **223**: 1019–1026.
- Rauzi M, Verant P, Lecuit T, Lenne PF. 2008. Nature and anisotropy of cortical forces orienting *Drosophila* tissue morphogenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* **10**: 1401–1410.
- Redies C, Vanhalst K, Roy F. 2005. δ -Protocadherins: Unique structures and functions. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **62**: 2840–2852.
- Remacle JE, Kraft H, Lerchner W, Wuytens G, Collart C, Verschuere K, Smith JC, Huylebroeck D. 1999. New mode of DNA binding of multi-zinc finger transcription factors: δ EF1 family members bind with two hands to two target sites. *EMBO J* **18**: 5073–5084.
- Reuver SM, Garner CC. 1998. E-cadherin mediated cell adhesion recruits SAP97 into the cortical cytoskeleton. *J Cell Sci* **111**: 1071–1080.
- Reynolds AB. 2007. p120-catenin: Past and present. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1773**: 2–7.
- Reynolds AB, Herbert L, Cleveland JL, Berg ST, Gaut JR. 1992. p120, a novel substrate of protein tyrosine kinase receptors and of p60v-src, is related to cadherin-binding factors beta-catenin, plakoglobin and armadillo. *Oncogene* **7**: 2439–2445.
- Rimm DL, Koslov ER, Kebraie P, Cianci CD, Morrow JS. 1995. α 1(E)-catenin is an actin-binding and -bundling protein mediating the attachment of F-actin to the membrane adhesion complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **92**: 8813–8817.
- Roczniak-Ferguson A, Reynolds AB. 2003. Regulation of p120-catenin nucleocytoplasmic shuttling activity. *J Cell Sci* **116**: 4201–4212.
- Saburi S, McNeill H. 2005. Organising cells into tissues: New roles for cell adhesion molecules in planar cell polarity. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **17**: 482–488.
- Shaw RM, Fay AJ, Puthenveedu MA, von Zastrow M, Jan YN, Jan LY. 2007. Microtubule plus-end-tracking proteins target gap junctions directly from the cell interior to adherens junctions. *Cell* **128**: 547–560.
- Shibamoto S, Hayakawa M, Takeuchi K, Hori T, Miyazawa K, Kitamura N, Johnson KR, Wheelock MJ, Matsuyoshi N, Takeichi M, et al. 1995. Association of p120, a tyrosine kinase substrate, with E-cadherin/catenin complexes. *J Cell Biol* **128**: 949–957.
- Shimoyama Y, Tsujimoto G, Kitajima M, Natori M. 2000. Identification of three human type-II classic cadherins and frequent heterophilic interactions between different subclasses of type-II classic cadherins. *Biochem J* **349**: 159–167.
- Stehbens SJ, Paterson AD, Crampton MS, Shewan AM, Ferguson C, Akhmanova A, Parton RG, Yap AS. 2006. Dynamic microtubules regulate the local concentration of E-cadherin at cell-cell contacts. *J Cell Sci* **119**: 1801–1811.
- Stepniak E, Radice GL, Vasioukhin V. 2009. Adhesive and signaling functions of cadherins and catenins in vertebrate development. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **1**: a002949.
- Strutt H, Strutt D. 2005. Long-range coordination of planar polarity in *Drosophila*. *Bioessays* **27**: 1218–1227.
- Suzuki A, Ishiyama C, Hashiba K, Shimizu M, Ebnet K, Ohno S. 2002. aPKC kinase activity is required for the asymmetric differentiation of the premature junctional complex during epithelial cell polarization. *J Cell Sci* **115**: 3565–3573.
- Tachibana K, Nakanishi H, Mandai K, Ozaki K, Ikeda W, Yamamoto Y, Nagafuchi A, Tsukita S, Takai Y. 2000. Two cell adhesion molecules, nectin and cadherin, interact through their cytoplasmic domain-associated proteins. *J Cell Biol* **150**: 1161–1176.
- Takahashi K, Nakanishi H, Miyahara M, Mandai K, Satoh K, Satoh A, Nishioka H, Aoki J, Nomoto A, Mizoguchi A, Takai Y. 1999. Nectin/PRR: An immunoglobulin-like cell adhesion molecule recruited to cadherin-based adherens junctions through interaction with Afadin, a PDZ domain-containing protein. *J Cell Biol* **145**: 539–549.
- Takai Y, Irie K, Shimizu K, Sakisaka T, Ikeda W. 2003. Nectins and nectin-like molecules: Roles in cell adhesion, migration, and polarization. *Cancer Sci* **94**: 655–667.
- Takeichi M. 1988. The cadherins: Cell-cell adhesion molecules controlling animal morphogenesis. *Development* **102**: 639–655.
- Takeichi M. 2007. The cadherin superfamily in neuronal connections and interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **8**: 11–20.
- Takeichi S, Otsuka H, Kimura S. 1977. Studies on tumors produced by cells transformed with herpes simplex virus type 2. *Gann* **68**: 653–661.
- Tanoue T, Takeichi M. 2005. New insights into Fat cadherins. *J Cell Sci* **118**: 2347–2353.
- Togashi H, Miyoshi J, Honda T, Sakisaka T, Takai Y, Takeichi M. 2006. Interneurite affinity is regulated by heterophilic nectin interactions in concert with the cadherin machinery. *J Cell Biol* **174**: 141–151.
- Troyanovsky S. 2005. Cadherin dimers in cell-cell adhesion. *Eur J Cell Biol* **84**: 225–233.
- Uchida N, Honjo Y, Johnson KR, Wheelock MJ, Takeichi M. 1996. The catenin/cadherin adhesion system is localized in synaptic junctions bordering transmitter release zones. *J Cell Biol* **135**: 767–779.
- Usui T, Shima Y, Shimada Y, Hirano S, Burgess RW, Schwarz TL, Takeichi M, Uemura T. 1999. Flamingo, a seven-pass transmembrane cadherin, regulates planar cell polarity under the control of Frizzled. *Cell* **98**: 585–595.
- Vasioukhin V, Bauer C, Degenstein L, Wise B, Fuchs E. 2001. Hyperproliferation and defects in epithelial polarity upon conditional ablation of α -catenin in skin. *Cell* **104**: 605–617.

Adherens Junction

- Volk T, Geiger B. 1984. A 135-kd membrane protein of inter-cellular adherens junctions. *EMBO J* **3**: 2249–2260.
- Wang Q, Chen XW, Margolis B. 2007. PALS1 regulates E-cadherin trafficking in mammalian epithelial cells. *Mol Biol Cell* **18**: 874–885.
- Watabe-Uchida M, Uchida N, Imamura Y, Nagafuchi A, Fujimoto K, Uemura T, Vermeulen S, van Roy F, Adamson ED, Takeichi M. 1998. α -Catenin-vinculin interaction functions to organize the apical junctional complex in epithelial cells. *J Cell Biol* **142**: 847–857.
- Waterman-Storer CM, Salmon WC, Salmon ED. 2000. Feedback interactions between cell-cell adherens junctions and cytoskeletal dynamics in newt lung epithelial cells. *Mol Biol Cell* **11**: 2471–2483.
- Wheeler GN, Buxton RS, Parker AE, Arnemann J, Rees DA, King IA, Magee AI. 1991. Desmosomal glycoproteins I, II and III: Novel members of the cadherin superfamily. *Biochem Soc Trans* **19**: 1060–1064.
- Yamada S, Pokutta S, Drees F, Weis WI, Nelson WJ. 2005. Deconstructing the cadherin-catenin-actin complex. *Cell* **123**: 889–901.
- Yanagisawa M, Kaverina IN, Wang A, Fujita Y, Reynolds AB, Anastasiadis PZ. 2004. A novel interaction between kinesin and p120 modulates p120 localization and function. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 9512–9521.
- Yang J, Mani SA, Donaher JL, Ramaswamy S, Itzykson RA, Come C, Savagner P, Gitelman I, Richardson A, Weinberg RA. 2004. Twist, a master regulator of morphogenesis, plays an essential role in tumor metastasis. *Cell* **117**: 927–939.
- Yasumi M, Shimizu K, Honda T, Takeuchi M, Takai Y. 2003. Role of each immunoglobulin-like loop of nectin for its cell-cell adhesion activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **302**: 61–66.
- Yeaman C, Grindstaff KK, Nelson WJ. 2004. Mechanism of recruiting Sec6/8 (exocyst) complex to the apical junctional complex during polarization of epithelial cells. *J Cell Sci* **117**: 559–570.
- Yonemura S, Itoh M, Nagafuchi A, Tsukita S. 1995. Cell-to-cell adherens junction formation and actin filament organization: Similarities and differences between non-polarized fibroblasts and polarized epithelial cells. *J Cell Sci* **108**: 127–142.
- Yoshida C, Takeichi M. 1982. Teratocarcinoma cell adhesion: Identification of a cell-surface protein involved in calcium-dependent cell aggregation. *Cell* **28**: 217–224.
- Yoshida-Noro C, Suzuki N, Takeichi M. 1984. Molecular nature of the calcium-dependent cell-cell adhesion system in mouse teratocarcinoma and embryonic cells studied with a monoclonal antibody. *Dev Biol* **101**: 19–27.
- Zallen JA, Wieschaus E. 2004. Patterned gene expression directs bipolar planar polarity in *Drosophila*. *Dev Cell* **6**: 343–355.
- Zhang Y, Sivasankar S, Nelson WJ, Chu S. 2009. Resolving cadherin interactions and binding cooperativity at the single-molecule level. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 109–114.

Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology

Adherens Junction: Molecular Architecture and Regulation

Wenxiang Meng and Masatoshi Takeichi

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2009; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002899 originally published online August 5, 2009

Subject Collection [Cell-Cell Junctions](#)

Vascular Endothelial (VE)-Cadherin, Endothelial Adherens Junctions, and Vascular Disease

Maria Grazia Lampugnani, Elisabetta Dejana and Costanza Giampietro

Adherens Junctions and Desmosomes Coordinate Mechanics and Signaling to Orchestrate Tissue Morphogenesis and Function: An Evolutionary Perspective

Matthias Rübsam, Joshua A. Broussard, Sara A. Wickström, et al.

Cell–Cell Contact and Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Signaling

Christine Chiasson-MacKenzie and Andrea I. McClatchey

Hold Me, but Not Too Tight—Endothelial Cell–Cell Junctions in Angiogenesis

Anna Szyzborska and Holger Gerhardt

Connexins and Disease

Mario Delmar, Dale W. Laird, Christian C. Naus, et al.

Cell Junctions in Hippo Signaling

Ruchan Karaman and Georg Halder

Loss of E-Cadherin-Dependent Cell–Cell Adhesion and the Development and Progression of Cancer

Heather C. Bruner and Patrick W.B. Derksen

Signaling by Small GTPases at Cell–Cell Junctions: Protein Interactions Building Control and Networks

Vania Braga

Making Connections: Guidance Cues and Receptors at Nonneural Cell–Cell Junctions

Ian V. Beamish, Lindsay Hinck and Timothy E. Kennedy

The Cadherin Superfamily in Neural Circuit Assembly

James D. Jontes

Mechanosensing and Mechanotransduction at Cell–Cell Junctions

Alpha S. Yap, Kinga Duszyc and Virgile Viasnoff

Beyond Cell–Cell Adhesion: Sensational Cadherins for Hearing and Balance

Avinash Jaiganesh, Yoshie Narui, Raul Araya-Secchi, et al.

Cell–Cell Junctions Organize Structural and Signaling Networks

Miguel A. Garcia, W. James Nelson and Natalie Chavez

Cell Biology of Tight Junction Barrier Regulation and Mucosal Disease

Aaron Buckley and Jerrold R. Turner

For additional articles in this collection, see <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/>

**All Modifications and
Oligo Types Synthesized**

Long Oligos • Fluorescent • Chimeric • DNA • RNA • Antisense

Oligo Modifications?
Your wish is our command.

Desmosomes and Intermediate Filaments: Their Consequences for Tissue Mechanics

Mechthild Hatzfeld, René Keil and Thomas M. Magin

Integration of Cadherin Adhesion and Cytoskeleton at *Adherens* Junctions

René Marc Mège and Noboru Ishiyama

For additional articles in this collection, see <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/>

All Modifications and Oligo Types Synthesized

Oligo Modifications?
Your wish is our command.

Long Oligos • Fluorescent • Chimeric • DNA • RNA • Antisense